

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Preface: Expanded Core Framework Series

This is a new series using an **Expanded Core Framework** that evolved from the original **Core Framework** .

This expanded analysis was created through continued collaboration between Thomas J. Bryan and Claude (Anthropic's AI system). The collaboration improved both the methodology and the conclusions.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research direction and case study selection
- Theoretical framework and interpretation
- Final analytical judgments and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis and bibliographic research
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Intellectual challenge and critical questioning
- Pattern identification and comparative analysis

Thomas J. Bryan

October 2025

Published on Archive.org

Original preface ("An Unexpected Journey") published separately provides full context for how this research project developed.

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	1
Preface: Expanded Core Framework Series.....	1
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	9
Part 1 of 4: Engineering the "Pearl of the Antilles" and the Elite Conflicts That Enabled Revolution (1697-1791).....	9
French Creole Societies Project.....	9
Introduction: Elite Power Conflicts, Not Romantic Revolution.....	9
I. Foundation Conditions: Engineering the "Pearl of the Antilles".....	11
II. Labor Systems: Code Noir Slavery and the Enslaved Hierarchy (1697-1791).....	19
Conclusion to Part 1: A System Engineered for Extraction, Primed for Explosion.....	26
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	29
Part 2 of 4: The Revolution and Elite Power Struggles (1791-1804).....	29
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: Revolutionary Narrative.....	29
Introduction: Elite Conflicts, Not Spontaneous Uprising.....	29
Phase 1: Chaos and Competing Powers (1791-1794).....	31
Phase 2: British Invasion and Toussaint's Rise (1794-1798).....	37
Phase 3: Napoleon's Attempted Restoration and Final Haitian Victory (1802-1804).....	43
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	54
Part 2 of 4: The Revolution and Elite Power Struggles (1791-1804).....	54
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Analytical Framework.....	54
Section III: Land and Resource Control (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804).....	54
Section IV: Legal and Institutional Frameworks (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804).....	58
Section V: Narrative Construction (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804).....	62
Conclusion to Part 2: Revolutionary Rupture and Elite Capture.....	66
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	70
Part 3 of 4: Independence, Indemnity, and Elite Capture (1804-1915).....	70
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: The Indemnity and Elite Competition.....	70
Introduction: Freedom Without Transformation.....	70
Dessalines' Empire: Liberation and Authoritarianism (1804-1806).....	71
Haiti Divided: Two States, Two Systems (1806-1820).....	74
Jean-Pierre Boyer: Reunification and the Indemnity (1820-1843).....	77
The French Indemnity: Extortion and Financial Extraction (1825-1947).....	78
Post-Boyer Political Instability: Elite Competition (1843-1915).....	83
The Dominican Independence: Loss of Eastern Territory (1844).....	87
Economic Stagnation: 1804-1915.....	87
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	90
Part 3 of 4: Independence, Indemnity, and Elite Capture (1804-1915).....	90
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Framework Analysis.....	90
Section VI: Wealth Extraction Mechanisms (1804-1915).....	90
Section VII: Information and Education Control (1804-1915).....	97
Conclusion to Part 3: Extraction Adapted, Not Broken.....	104

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	112
Part 4 of 4: Occupation, Dictatorship, and Contemporary Crisis (1915-2025).....	112
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: The Final Century.....	112
Introduction: 220 Years of Adapted Extraction.....	112
The US Occupation: Imposed Order and Reinforced Authoritarianism (1915-1934).....	113
Post-Occupation Instability and Military Dominance (1934-1957).....	119
The Duvalier Dictatorship: Kleptocracy and Terror (1957-1986).....	122
Post-Duvalier Instability: Failed Democratization (1986-2004).....	128
Contemporary Crisis: Earthquake, Cholera, State Collapse (2004-2025).....	131
Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025).....	137
Part 4 of 4: Occupation, Dictatorship, and Contemporary Crisis (1915-2025).....	137
French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Final Framework Analysis and Comprehensive Conclusion.....	137
Section VIII: Resistance Suppression Mechanisms (1697-2025).....	137
Section IX: Elite Network Coordination (1697-2025).....	143
COMPREHENSIVE CONCLUSION: 328 YEARS OF EXTRACTION (1697-2025).....	149
Complete Bibliography.....	157
End Notes.....	161

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 1 of 4: Engineering the "Pearl of the Antilles" and the Elite Conflicts That Enabled Revolution (1697-1791)

French Creole Societies Project

Introduction: Elite Power Conflicts, Not Romantic Revolution

On the night of August 22-23, 1791, enslaved people across the northern plain of Saint-Domingue rose in coordinated revolt. Within weeks, hundreds of sugar and coffee plantations burned. By August 27, 1791, approximately 1,000 whites and 10,000 enslaved people had died. By September, the revolt controlled most of the northern province. This was the beginning of what became the Haitian Revolution—the only successful slave revolution in history, culminating in independence on January 1, 1804.

The conventional narrative portrays this as field slaves spontaneously overthrowing their masters through heroic resistance. This narrative is emotionally satisfying but historically inaccurate. It obscures the actual mechanisms that enabled the revolution's success and the patterns that shaped post-independence Haiti. Understanding what really happened requires examining elite power dynamics, not romanticizing popular uprising.

The revolution succeeded because competing elite factions and imperial powers created openings that enabled transformation:

First, the three-tier social structure. Saint-Domingue had approximately 40,000 whites, 28,000 free people of color (*gens de couleur libres*), and 500,000 enslaved Africans by 1789. The free coloreds were not marginal—they owned approximately one-third of plantations and one-quarter of enslaved people. Many were substantial planters. This created a free colored elite with economic power but no political rights, generating profound grievances.

Second, the revolutionary leadership. The men who led the revolution were not field hands. Toussaint Louverture was a coachman and plantation manager who could read and write.

Jean-Jacques Dessalines was a field slave who became a foreman/overseer. Henri Christophe was a skilled worker. These were enslaved people with status, literacy, and organizational capacity—the "enslaved elite." Field hands provided the mass base, but skilled enslaved people and free coloreds provided leadership, military expertise, and coordination.

Third, imperial competition. Spain, which controlled the eastern two-thirds of Hispaniola (Santo Domingo), armed and trained enslaved rebels to weaken French Saint-Domingue. Britain invaded with 25,000 troops (1793-1798), attempting to conquer the colony during French Revolutionary chaos. France sent republican commissioners (1792) then counter-revolutionary armies under Napoleon (1802). These competing powers didn't create the revolution, but they created the military and political chaos that enabled enslaved people and free coloreds to seize power.

Fourth, French Revolutionary ideology. The 1789 French Revolution's *liberté, égalité, fraternité* rhetoric reached Saint-Domingue. Free coloreds demanded equal rights with whites. Some enslaved people understood Revolutionary principles. The French National Convention's February 4, 1794 abolition decree (prompted by Saint-Domingue events) provided legal cover for Toussaint to ally with France against Spain and Britain. Revolutionary ideology was tool and weapon, not cause.

Fifth, the elite capture of revolution. The revolution destroyed the white planter class, but it didn't create egalitarian society. Toussaint, Dessalines, and Christophe became large landowners. They attempted to restore plantation production using forced labor. The revolution transferred power to a new Black elite—many formerly enslaved, some free colored—who reproduced plantation extraction patterns. Property relations didn't fundamentally transform; ownership changed hands.

This case study examines Haiti's trajectory from French colonial engineering (1697-1791) through revolution (1791-1804), independence and indemnity (1804-1915), US occupation and Duvalier dictatorship (1915-1986), to contemporary crisis (1986-2025). The analysis applies the 9-part framework used across Portuguese, Dutch, British, and French Creole Societies comparative projects, focusing on what happened to average people's lives and what structures determined whether extraction patterns broke or persisted.

Haiti tests whether revolutionary elite destruction can break extraction patterns. The answer, examined across four parts, reveals both the revolution's genuine achievements—abolishing slavery, destroying planter class, establishing Black sovereignty—and its limitations—new elite reproduction of extraction, French indemnity burden, chronic instability. Understanding this complexity matters for assessing transformation possibilities in societies engineered for extraction.

Part 1 covers 1697-1791: French colonial engineering under the Code Noir, the creation of the "Pearl of the Antilles" through systematic extraction, and the pre-revolutionary elite conflicts that created revolutionary opportunity. Parts 2-4 will examine the revolution itself, post-independence trajectories, and contemporary outcomes.

I. Foundation Conditions: Engineering the "Pearl of the Antilles"

The Spanish Inheritance and French Acquisition (1492-1697)

Columbus claimed Hispaniola for Spain in December 1492 during his first voyage. Spanish colonization devastated the indigenous Taíno population through disease, forced labor, and violence. By 1550, the Taíno had been effectively exterminated—from an estimated 400,000-1,000,000 in 1492 to perhaps a few thousand survivors who fled to mountains or were absorbed into mixed populations. This genocide was foundation for subsequent plantation colonization: the island's indigenous peoples could not resist European appropriation of land and resources.

Spain focused on the eastern and southern portions of Hispaniola, establishing Santo Domingo as colonial capital in 1496. The western third of the island remained sparsely populated, covered in dense tropical forest, and largely ignored by Spanish authorities. This western region became refuge for pirates, buccaneers, and escaped slaves who established maroon communities in the mountains.

French buccaneers and settlers began occupying the western coast in the 1620s-1640s, particularly on Tortuga Island (Île de la Tortue) off the northwest coast. These early French settlers were not initially plantation colonists but rather hunters, pirates, and tobacco growers operating outside formal colonial control. The French Crown gradually formalized control, and the 1697 Treaty of Ryswick officially ceded the western third of Hispaniola to France. France named its new possession Saint-Domingue.

At the Treaty of Ryswick (1697), Saint-Domingue had perhaps 5,000-7,000 French settlers, approximately 2,000 enslaved Africans, and a small free colored population. The colony's economy was mixed: tobacco, indigo, cacao, cattle hides. This was not yet a plantation society. That transformation occurred 1697-1740, as French planters systematically engineered Saint-Domingue into history's most profitable extraction economy.

The Plantation Transformation (1697-1740)

Between 1697 and 1740, French planters, with Crown support and legal frameworks provided by the Code Noir (1685), transformed Saint-Domingue from frontier settlement into sugar plantation colony. This transformation required:

- 1. Land appropriation:** French officials distributed land grants to settlers, ignoring any remaining indigenous claims and expelling Spanish settlers from western areas. By 1730, virtually all arable land in the western and northern plains had been claimed by French planters.

The colony was divided into three provinces: North (Cap-Français/Cap-Haïtien as capital), West (Port-au-Prince), and South (Les Cayes).

2. Capital investment: Sugar production required significant capital—mills, boiling houses, curing houses, warehouses, carts, animals. French merchants in Bordeaux, Nantes, and Le Havre provided credit and financing to Saint-Domingue planters. Many plantations operated on borrowed capital, with mortgages held by metropolitan merchants. This financial integration tied Saint-Domingue's economy directly to French metropolitan capital.

3. Massive importation of enslaved Africans: Sugar production is labor-intensive. Between 1697 and 1740, French traders imported approximately 150,000-200,000 enslaved Africans to Saint-Domingue. The brutal conditions meant death rates exceeded birth rates—plantations required constant importation of new enslaved people to replace those worked to death. By 1740, Saint-Domingue's enslaved population reached approximately 100,000-150,000, vastly outnumbering the 15,000-20,000 whites and small free colored population.

4. Technical expertise: Sugar production technology—grinding mills, boiling techniques, curing processes—required skilled labor and management. French planters brought expertise from Martinique and Guadeloupe (colonized earlier) or hired experienced overseers. Enslaved people were trained as skilled workers (sugar boilers, carpenters, coopers, masons), creating an enslaved hierarchy with skilled workers having somewhat better conditions than field hands.

5. Transportation infrastructure: Roads connecting plantations to ports (Cap-Français, Port-au-Prince, Les Cayes) were built using enslaved labor. Warehouses, docks, and shipping facilities enabled export of sugar and import of food, manufactured goods, and enslaved people. Saint-Domingue became integrated into the French Atlantic economy as specialized sugar producer dependent on imports for everything else.

By 1740, Saint-Domingue was producing approximately 60,000-80,000 tons of sugar annually, making it one of the Caribbean's major sugar exporters. But this was just the beginning.

The "Pearl of the Antilles": 1740-1789

Between 1740 and 1789, Saint-Domingue became the most profitable colony in the world—the "Pearl of the Antilles." Several factors drove this extraordinary wealth extraction:

Geographic advantages: Saint-Domingue had extensive fertile plains ideal for sugar and coffee cultivation. The northern plain (Plaine du Nord) around Cap-Français was particularly productive. Mountain regions suited coffee. The colony was large enough (10,714 square miles—about half of Hispaniola) to support thousands of plantations while still having frontier areas for expansion.

Optimal climate: Tropical climate with adequate rainfall but also dry seasons suited sugar cane. The growing season was year-round, enabling continuous cultivation. Coffee grew in mountain elevations with cooler temperatures.

French mercantile system: France's exclusive trading rights (*l'exclusif*) meant all Saint-Domingue exports went to France and all imports came from France. This captured trade benefits for French merchants while ensuring colonial production served metropolitan interests.

Massive capital and labor inputs: French merchants invested enormous sums in Saint-Domingue plantations. Between 1740 and 1789, approximately 790,000 enslaved Africans were imported to Saint-Domingue—the largest forced migration to any single Caribbean colony in the 18th century. Death rates remained horrific (8-12% annually), but constant importation maintained and grew the labor force.

Diversified production: Unlike Barbados or Jamaica (primarily sugar), Saint-Domingue produced sugar, coffee, indigo, cotton, and cacao. By 1789, Saint-Domingue produced:

- 40% of world sugar supply (approximately 163,000 tons annually)
- 60% of world coffee supply (approximately 68,000 tons annually)
- Significant indigo and cotton

The scale was staggering. By 1789, Saint-Domingue had:

- Population: ~500,000 enslaved Africans, ~40,000 whites (grands blancs planters, petits blancs poor whites, French officials), ~28,000 free people of color
- Approximately 8,000 plantations (792 sugar plantations, ~3,000 coffee plantations, ~3,000 indigo/cotton plantations, plus smaller operations)
- Annual exports worth approximately 140 million livres (roughly one-third of French foreign trade)
- Generated more wealth than all thirteen North American colonies combined

Saint-Domingue was the crown jewel of France's empire, more valuable than all of Canada, more profitable than French possessions in India. French merchants, ship owners, refiners, and financiers built fortunes on Saint-Domingue trade. Cities like Nantes, Bordeaux, and Le Havre thrived on slave trade and colonial commerce. The wealth extraction was comprehensive and systematic.

But this wealth came from human consumption on a scale difficult to comprehend.

The Engineering of Extraction: Code Noir and Racial Hierarchy

Saint-Domingue's extraction system required legal and social engineering to function. The 1685 Code Noir—promulgated by Louis XIV for all French Caribbean colonies—provided comprehensive legal framework governing slavery, racial hierarchy, and colonial administration.

The Code Noir's purpose was elite problem-solving: how to maintain control of enslaved Black majority while extracting maximum wealth, prevent rebellion, and create legal order that normalized extraction. Its 60 articles addressed:

Article 2: All enslaved people must be baptized and instructed in Catholic faith. This provided ideological legitimation—slavery was "civilizing" Africans by bringing Christianity—while doing nothing to ameliorate material conditions.

Article 9: Banned religious assemblies of enslaved people day or night under severe penalties. Catholic instruction was compulsory, but enslaved people couldn't organize religious practice independently. This prevented gatherings that might enable organizing.

Article 12: Children born to enslaved mothers inherited enslaved status (*partus sequitur ventrem*). This made slavery perpetual and heritable, ensuring permanent labor supply through reproduction.

Article 22: Masters must provide specified food rations and clothing. This created minimal obligation but was rarely enforced—starvation and inadequate clothing were common.

Article 27: Enslaved people deemed by masters as having nothing to eat could seize provisions, and masters couldn't prosecute. This was theoretical protection, practically meaningless.

Article 33: Enslaved person who struck master, mistress, or their children "producing blood or bruising" faced death penalty. Violence flowed one direction only.

Article 38: Enslaved person age 25 or older could be freed by master's declaration. Manumission was possible but required master's consent and official approval. This created incentive for obedience—freedom was gift, not right.

Article 42: Masters could chain and beat enslaved people but couldn't torture or mutilate. This theoretical protection was unenforceable—who would prosecute masters?

Article 44: Freed people had same rights as those born free. This created legal basis for free colored class but didn't prevent discrimination.

The Code Noir defined enslaved people as *meubles* (movable property)—not immovable property like land, but not persons with legal standing. This enabled enslaved people to be sold, mortgaged, inherited, and seized for debt. The Code provided comprehensive legal framework for treating humans as commodities while maintaining Catholic veneer of paternalism.

In Saint-Domingue, Code Noir implementation created three-tier racial hierarchy:

Tier 1: Whites (~40,000 by 1789)

- **Grands blancs** (big whites): Plantation owners, high officials, wealthy merchants. Perhaps 5,000-8,000 people controlled most plantations and dominated colonial administration. Many lived in France as absentees, leaving plantations to managers.

- **Petits blancs** (small whites): Small planters, overseers, artisans, shopkeepers, clerks, sailors. Perhaps 30,000-35,000 people. Poor whites often resented wealthy planters but defended white supremacy ferociously as their only privilege.
- Whites held all political power—Colonial Assembly, courts, militia officer positions. Only whites could vote or hold office.

Tier 2: Free People of Color (*gens de couleur libres*) (~28,000 by 1789)

- Most were mixed-race (*mulâtres, quarterons*), children of white men and enslaved women who had been freed
- Some were Black freedmen who purchased freedom or were manumitted
- **Critically: Free coloreds owned approximately one-third of plantations and one-quarter of enslaved people by 1789.** Many were substantial planters. Some were wealthy—as wealthy as middling or even wealthy whites.
- Despite property ownership, free coloreds faced legal discrimination:
 - Couldn't vote or hold political office
 - Couldn't become lawyers, doctors, or enter professions
 - Required permission to move between provinces
 - Forbidden from wearing certain clothes, carrying certain weapons
 - Required to address whites with deference
- This created a class with economic power but no political rights—a profound grievance that would fuel revolutionary participation

Tier 3: Enslaved Africans and Afro-Creoles (~500,000 by 1789)

- Overwhelmingly African-born (*bossales*) rather than Creole (born in colony), due to high mortality requiring constant importation
- Internal hierarchy among enslaved:
 - **Enslaved elite:** Plantation managers, drivers, skilled artisans (carpenters, masons, coopers, blacksmiths), domestic servants in wealthy households, sugar boilers. Perhaps 50,000-80,000 people. Better food, housing, clothing than field hands. Some could read and write. Some accumulated property. **This group provided revolutionary leadership.**
 - **Field hands:** Vast majority, perhaps 400,000+ people working sugar and coffee plantations under gang labor system. Brutal conditions, high mortality, minimal provisions. Subject to constant violence and overwork.
 - **Urban enslaved:** Domestics, artisans, dock workers in Cap-Français, Port-au-Prince, Les Cayes. Perhaps 20,000-30,000. Somewhat better conditions than plantation field hands.

The racial hierarchy was not simply Black vs. white but a complex gradation designed to prevent solidarity among the oppressed. Free coloreds were given stake in system (property ownership) while denied political rights, creating incentive to defend property rather than challenge slavery itself—at least until revolutionary crisis created opening.

The Plantation as Consumption Machine

Understanding Saint-Domingue requires understanding the plantation as systematized human consumption. This was not "harsh labor"—it was organized dying.

A typical sugar plantation (*sucrerie*) in Saint-Domingue in 1780:

- 200-300 acres in cane cultivation
- 200-300 enslaved people (ratios varied)
- Owner might be absentee in France; manager (*gérant*) ran day-to-day operations
- White overseer (*économe*) supervised labor
- Enslaved drivers (*commandeurs*) directly supervised gang labor and administered punishment
- Infrastructure: cane fields, mill, boiling house, curing house, distillery, warehouses, slaves' quarters, great house

The labor regime:

Field work (approximately 75% of enslaved population):

- Enslaved people divided into gangs—"first gang" (prime workers), "second gang" (children, elderly, weakened), sometimes "third gang"
- First gang performed hardest labor: clearing fields, digging cane holes, planting, weeding, cutting cane
- Work day: sunrise to sunset, 6 days per week—typically 12-14 hours except during harvest
- During harvest (January-June): 16-18 hour days, often working into night
- Tasks were exhausting: cutting cane in tropical heat, loading heavy bundles, carrying to mills
- Drivers enforced pace through immediate violence—whipping for "laziness"
- No rest for sickness unless physically unable to stand

Mill and boiling house work (approximately 10-15% of enslaved population):

- Mills crushed cane—dangerous work, many crushed fingers/hands
- Boiling houses processed cane juice—extreme heat (100°F+), steam, fumes
- Skilled sugar boilers determined when to transfer juice between kettles—critical for sugar quality
- Work continued through night during harvest
- Exhaustion led to accidents—burns, falls into boiling sugar

Skilled work (approximately 10% of enslaved population):

- Carpenters, coopers (barrel makers), masons, blacksmiths, cart drivers
- Essential for plantation operations—buildings, barrels, carts, tools
- Better provisions than field hands, some autonomy

- Still enslaved, still subject to violence, but preferable to field labor

Domestic work (approximately 5% of enslaved population):

- House servants, cooks, laundresses, valets, maids
- Better food, clothing, shelter than field workers
- Subject to master's direct control—sexual exploitation of women was routine
- Psychological burden of constant proximity to masters

Provisions:

- Code Noir required masters to provide adequate food and clothing
- In practice: minimal rations (manioc, salt fish, occasional salt beef), inadequate clothing (two shirts per year typical)
- Many plantations gave enslaved people small provision grounds where they grew food on Sundays—their only "free" time
- Chronic malnutrition weakened immune systems and reduced life expectancy

Punishment:

- Whipping was routine—for "laziness," "insolence," perceived infractions
- Drivers administered 10-30 lashes regularly; overseers could order more
- Severe punishments: branding, mutilation (ears cut off), torture
- Runaways faced escalating punishment—first offense might be branding, repeat offenses could mean mutilation or death
- Masters and overseers could kill enslaved people with impunity—Code Noir Article 42 forbade "excessive" violence but was unenforced

Health and mortality:

- Diseases: yellow fever, malaria, dysentery, tetanus, tropical infections
- Medical care: minimal or nonexistent
- Mortality rates: 8-12% annually—higher than any other Caribbean colony
- New arrivals (*bossales*) had even higher mortality—"seasoning" period killed 25-30% within first 3 years
- Life expectancy for enslaved person arriving at age 20: approximately 10-15 more years
- Birth rates could not replace deaths—plantations required constant importation

The mathematics of extraction:

- Saint-Domingue imported approximately 790,000 enslaved Africans 1740-1789 (49 years)
- By 1789, enslaved population was ~500,000
- This means approximately 290,000 died or were killed through overwork, disease, punishment—plus all natural deaths of those already present in 1740

- Average "economic life" of enslaved field worker: 7-10 years before death or inability to work
- Planters calculated it was more profitable to work people to death and import replacements than to provide adequate nutrition, rest, and medical care

This was not accidental—it was rational calculation from the elite perspective. Enslaved people were capital equipment with calculable replacement costs. Working them to maximum capacity until death was economically rational given prices for sugar, costs for enslaved people, and interest rates on borrowed capital.

The Wealth Flows: Who Benefited

Saint-Domingue's extraordinary productivity generated enormous wealth. Who captured it?

French merchants and ship owners:

- Controlled trade monopoly under *l'exclusif*
- Imported enslaved Africans, food, manufactured goods; exported sugar, coffee, indigo
- Shipping firms in Nantes, Bordeaux, La Rochelle, Le Havre built fortunes
- Insurance underwriters profited from insuring cargoes
- By 1789, French Atlantic trade involved 700+ ships and employed ~100,000 sailors

Sugar refiners in France:

- Raw sugar from Saint-Domingue was refined in French ports
- Refined sugar sold throughout Europe at high prices
- Refiners captured significant value-added
- Nantes alone had 30+ refineries by 1789

Credit providers and financiers:

- Most Saint-Domingue plantations operated on borrowed capital
- Mortgages held by French banking houses and merchant firms
- Interest payments flowed to France continuously
- Many planters were deeply indebted—property technically belonged to creditors

The French Crown:

- Export/import duties on colonial trade
- By 1789, colonial commerce contributed significantly to royal revenues

Planter elite in Saint-Domingue:

- Grands blancs captured remaining surplus after paying debts, shipping costs, and purchasing supplies
- Wealthiest planters accumulated enormous fortunes—some worth millions of livres

- Many lived in France as absentees, consuming profits in Paris luxury
- Resident planters lived opulently in Saint-Domingue—large houses, servants, imported luxuries

Who DIDN'T benefit:

- Enslaved people received nothing—not even adequate food or clothing
- Petits blancs remained poor despite white privilege
- Free coloreds accumulated property but faced legal discrimination

The extraction was comprehensive. Conservative estimates suggest Saint-Domingue generated 140-200 million livres in annual exports by 1789. After costs (shipping, insurance, commissions, debt service), perhaps 50-80 million livres in net profits accrued to French merchants, refiners, financiers, and planters. None went to the 500,000 enslaved people who produced it.

This wealth built France's Atlantic economy, financed French urban development, and enriched thousands of merchants and investors. The magnificent 18th century buildings in Nantes, Bordeaux, La Rochelle—many were built on Saint-Domingue wealth. French economic growth 1740-1789 was substantially financed by Caribbean extraction, with Saint-Domingue as the crown jewel.

II. Labor Systems: Code Noir Slavery and the Enslaved Hierarchy (1697-1791)

The Middle Passage to Saint-Domingue

The labor system in Saint-Domingue began with the Middle Passage—the forced transportation of Africans across the Atlantic. Between 1697 and 1791, approximately 865,000-900,000 Africans were forcibly transported to Saint-Domingue on French ships. This was the largest forced migration to any single Caribbean colony.

Origins: Saint-Domingue's enslaved population came from diverse African regions:

- Senegambia (15-20%): Wolof, Bambara, Mandinka peoples
- Bight of Benin (25-30%): Fon, Ewe, Yoruba peoples (often called "Arada" in Saint-Domingue)
- West-Central Africa (35-40%): Kongo, Angola peoples (often called "Congo" in records)
- Bight of Biafra (10-15%): Igbo, Ibibio peoples
- Smaller numbers from Gold Coast, Sierra Leone, Mozambique

The passage conditions:

- 2-3 month voyage from African coast to Saint-Domingue
- Enslaved people chained below decks in overcrowded holds
- Minimal food and water
- Disease: dysentery, smallpox, typhus spread rapidly
- Mortality: 15-25% died during passage on typical voyage; some ships lost 30-40%
- Bodies thrown overboard
- Survivors arrived traumatized, weakened, diseased

Arrival and "seasoning":

- Ships arrived at Cap-Français, Port-au-Prince, or Les Cayes
- Enslaved people sold at auction—buyers inspected teeth, muscles, genitals like livestock
- Purchasers transported new arrivals to plantations
- "Seasoning" period (first 3 years): learning plantation routines, adapting to Caribbean diseases, recovering from passage trauma
- Mortality during seasoning: 25-35%—yellow fever and malaria killed many African arrivals
- Survivors who lived through seasoning had better long-term survival odds

The scale was relentless: Saint-Domingue averaged 16,000-20,000 African arrivals annually in the 1780s. This wasn't growth—it was replacement. Death rates exceeded birth rates continuously.

The Gang Labor System

Saint-Domingue plantations used the gang labor system—the most intensive form of enslaved labor organization. This differed from task systems (where enslaved people completed assigned tasks then stopped) or less organized systems. Gang labor meant synchronized, continuous work under direct supervision from sunrise to sunset.

The gang structure:

First Gang (Grand Atelier):

- 30-50% of plantation's enslaved population
- Men and women aged 15-40 in prime physical condition
- Performed hardest labor: clearing land, digging cane holes (backbreaking work), planting, weeding, cutting cane during harvest
- Supervised by enslaved driver (*commandeur*) who enforced pace and administered whippings
- Overseer (*économe*) supervised multiple gangs
- Work pace was relentless—rest breaks minimal, any slowdown met with whipping

Second Gang (Petit Atelier):

- 30-40% of plantation's enslaved population

- Children aged 8-14, elderly, pregnant women, those weakened by disease or injury
- Lighter tasks: weeding, carrying water, gathering fodder, tending livestock
- Still exhausting work for children and elderly
- Supervised by drivers, though supervision was less intense than first gang

Third Gang (where present):

- Very young children (5-7), very elderly, severely injured or ill
- Minimal work: gathering firewood, tending garden plots
- Many plantations didn't separate third gang from second

Skilled Workers:

- 10-15% of plantation's enslaved population
- Carpenters, coopers, masons, blacksmiths, carters, sugar boilers
- Often worked under less direct supervision
- Some accumulated tools, property, even small amounts of money
- **This group was critical source of revolutionary leadership**—literacy rates higher, organizational capacity greater, broader knowledge of plantation operations

Domestic Servants:

- 3-5% of plantation's enslaved population
- Household work for planter family: cooking, cleaning, laundry, child care, personal service
- Better food, clothing, shelter than field workers
- Direct exposure to planter family created complicated relationships
- Women frequently subjected to sexual exploitation by masters and overseers
- Children born from these rapes often freed (creating free colored class)

The pace of work:

- Field gangs worked dawn to dusk, six days per week
- During cane harvest (January-June): 16-18 hour days
- Mills and boiling houses operated 24 hours during harvest—shifts rotated
- Sunday was theoretically rest day, but many enslaved people worked provision grounds to grow food
- No vacation, no rest for illness unless incapacitated
- This pace continued year after year until death or inability to work

Enforcement through violence:

- Drivers carried whips and administered punishment immediately for any slowdown
- Typical punishment: 10-30 lashes with cowhide whip
- Overseers could order more severe punishment: 50-100 lashes, branding, stocks
- Public whippings served as terror demonstration

- Severe infractions: mutilation (cutting off ears), torture, execution
- Sexual violence against women was routine and unpunished
- Masters and overseers operated with impunity—Code Noir's theoretical protections were meaningless

The cumulative effect: Gang labor under these conditions broke bodies within years. Most field workers aged 20 had perhaps 7-10 years before death or debilitation made them unable to perform first gang labor. Those who survived moved to second gang, then died or lived in misery until death. Few enslaved field workers lived past age 40-45.

The Internal Hierarchy Among Enslaved People

A critical aspect of Saint-Domingue's labor system was the hierarchy among enslaved people. This wasn't accidental—planters deliberately created stratification to prevent solidarity and identify reliable supervisors.

At the top: Enslaved managers and skilled workers

Some enslaved people had genuine authority and privileges:

- **Plantation managers (*procureurs or économes*):** On some plantations, enslaved men managed operations when planter was absent. Toussaint Louverture held such a position before the revolution. These men could read, write, keep accounts, and supervise other enslaved workers. They had better housing, food, clothing than field workers. Some accumulated property.
- **Drivers (*commandeurs*):** Supervised gang labor and administered punishments. Power over other enslaved people made them resented but also essential to plantation operations. Some were brutal; others tried to moderate violence while maintaining appearance of compliance.
- **Skilled artisans:** Carpenters, masons, blacksmiths were valuable. They worked with some autonomy, had better provisions, could accumulate tools and sometimes property. Some learned to read and write. Many revolutionary leaders came from this group.
- **Sugar boilers:** Specialized skill critical to sugar quality. High status among enslaved workers. Better provisions and housing.

Middle tier: Domestic servants, skilled workers, better-treated field workers

- Household servants had better food, clothing, shelter than field hands
- Some developed relationships with planter families—complicated by power imbalance
- Children born from master-slave sexual relationships sometimes freed, creating free colored class
- Cart drivers, livestock tenders, gardeners had less brutal work than field gangs

Bottom tier: Field hands, especially recent African arrivals

- Newly arrived Africans (*bossales*) faced language barriers, cultural disorientation, and highest mortality
- Field hands in first gang suffered worst conditions—brutal labor, minimal provisions, constant violence
- Those in second gang (weakened, elderly, children) lived in misery until death

Why this hierarchy mattered:

- **Divided enslaved population:** Skilled workers and drivers had stakes in system, making unified resistance harder
- **Created resentment:** Field hands resented drivers and enslaved elite who enforced plantation discipline
- **But also created capacity:** Skilled enslaved people had literacy, organizational ability, broader knowledge—essential for revolution
- **Revolutionary leadership came from enslaved elite, not field hands:** Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe, key military leaders were not field workers but enslaved men with status, skills, and organizational capacity

This challenges romantic narratives of revolution. Field hands provided mass base and burning rage. But leadership, military organization, political strategy came from enslaved elite and free coloreds—people with literacy, military training (some free coloreds served in militia), and understanding of plantation operations.

Free Colored Property Ownership: The Third Force

The 28,000 free people of color (*gens de couleur libres* and *affranchis*) were not marginal to Saint-Domingue's economy—they were major stakeholders. By 1789:

Free coloreds owned:

- Approximately 25-30% of plantations (exact percentage uncertain, but roughly 2,000-2,500 estates)
- Approximately 25% of enslaved people (roughly 100,000-125,000 people)
- Substantial urban properties in Cap-Français, Port-au-Prince, Les Cayes
- Coffee plantations particularly (easier entry than sugar due to lower capital requirements)

Wealthiest free coloreds were genuinely wealthy:

- Some owned estates worth hundreds of thousands of livres
- Julien Raimond, wealthy free colored planter, owned substantial properties and operated in metropolitan French business circles
- Vincent Ogé, who led 1790 free colored uprising, came from wealthy free colored family
- Many free colored planters lived comfortably, employed white overseers, and operated plantations identical to white-owned estates

But legal discrimination: Despite wealth, free coloreds faced systematic legal discrimination:

- Couldn't vote or hold political office
- Excluded from professions (law, medicine)
- Required permission to move between provinces
- Forbidden from wearing European dress, carrying swords (marks of status)
- Required to address whites deferentially regardless of wealth disparity
- Marriage between whites and free coloreds illegal
- In courts, free colored testimony given less weight than white testimony

This created explosive grievance: Free coloreds had economic power but no political rights. They owned property in system based on slavery and racial hierarchy, yet were denied full status. When French Revolution promised *liberté, égalité, fraternité*, free coloreds demanded equal rights with whites.

The political implications:

- Free coloreds were stakeholders in slavery—they owned enslaved people
- But racial discrimination made them potential allies with enslaved people against white supremacy
- This ambiguity shaped revolutionary politics: some free coloreds initially wanted only civil equality with whites, not abolition of slavery
- Later, as revolution radicalized, some free coloreds allied with enslaved rebels; others remained loyal to property and order
- The three-tier system (white, free colored, enslaved) was unstable—crisis would force choosing sides

Marronage: Resistance Before Revolution

Throughout Saint-Domingue's history, enslaved people ran away—*marronage* (from Spanish *cimarrón*, wild). This took two forms:

Petit marronage: Temporary absence

- Running away for days or weeks
- Motivations: escaping punishment, visiting family on nearby plantation, resting from exhausting labor
- Most returned or were captured
- Punishment: whipping, branding, sometimes mutilation
- Very common—plantations routinely had multiple runaways at any time

Grand marronage: Permanent escape

- Flight to mountains, establishing independent communities
- Saint-Domingue's mountainous terrain (unlike flat Barbados) enabled maroon communities

- By 1791, perhaps 3,000-5,000 maroons lived in mountain communities
- Some communities lasted decades, growing crops, hunting, occasionally raiding plantations
- Maroon leaders like François Mackandal (captured 1758) became legendary
- French authorities periodically sent military expeditions to destroy maroon camps
- Some maroons joined revolutionary forces in 1791

Why marronage matters:

- Demonstrated enslaved people's continuous resistance
- Mountain maroon communities were ready-made military bases when revolution began
- Maroon leaders and fighters brought guerrilla warfare skills to revolution
- But marronage was individual/small-group escape, not collective transformation
- Revolution required organized military and political action, not just flight

Labor Resistance Short of Rebellion

Enslaved people resisted in ways short of outright rebellion or escape:

Work slowdowns: Working slowly enough to reduce output without triggering punishment

Tool breaking: "Accidentally" damaging tools, forcing delays

Feigning illness: Claiming sickness to avoid work

Sabotage: Damaging equipment, spoiling stored goods, setting small fires

Poisoning: Enslaved people with knowledge of Caribbean plants poisoned masters, overseers, livestock (Mackandal was accused of organizing poison network)

Cultural resistance: Maintaining African languages, religious practices, cultural traditions despite Catholic instruction requirement

These acts were dangerous—discovery meant severe punishment. But they were rational responses to exploitation: reducing masters' profits without risking immediate death.

The Labor System's Fundamental Instability

By 1789, Saint-Domingue's labor system was extremely productive but fundamentally unstable:

Demographics: 500,000 enslaved people, 28,000 free coloreds, 40,000 whites. The ratio was ~12.5:1 enslaved to free, one of the most extreme in Caribbean history. Any breakdown in control mechanisms would enable overwhelming force.

Violence dependence: The system required constant violence to maintain. Whipping, torture, executions were daily occurrences. This created pervasive rage that could explode if opportunity arose.

African majority: Most enslaved people were African-born (*bossales*), not Creole. This meant they retained memories of freedom, African military experience, languages enabling

communication among themselves. They hadn't been socialized into accepting enslavement as natural.

Geographic vulnerability: Mountainous terrain enabled marronage and provided military strongholds. Unlike Barbados where geography aided control, Saint-Domingue's mountains aided resistance.

Free colored grievances: 28,000 property owners with economic power but no political rights. Many were educated, militarily trained (some served in militia), and aware of Enlightenment ideas. When French Revolution promised equality, they demanded it.

Competing empires: Spain controlled eastern Hispaniola (Santo Domingo). Britain and France were periodic enemies. Any imperial conflict could create opening for enslaved people and free coloreds to maneuver.

French Revolutionary ideology: When 1789 French Revolution proclaimed *liberté, égalité, fraternité*, these ideas reached Saint-Domingue. Whites interpreted them as protecting planter autonomy from metropolitan interference. Free coloreds interpreted them as promising civil equality. Some enslaved people heard them as promising freedom.

The system was productive but brittle. It would take external shock—French Revolutionary crisis, Spanish intervention, British invasion, French factional warfare—to shatter it. But the internal tensions were ready to explode.

Conclusion to Part 1: A System Engineered for Extraction, Primed for Explosion

By August 1791, Saint-Domingue had been systematically engineered over 94 years (1697-1791) into history's most profitable extraction economy. French planters, with Code Noir legal frameworks and massive capital inputs from French merchants, had created:

- The world's largest sugar producer (40% of global supply)
- The world's largest coffee producer (60% of global supply)
- A colony generating one-third of French foreign trade
- A society of 568,000 people: 500,000 enslaved, 28,000 free coloreds, 40,000 whites

This "Pearl of the Antilles" was built on systematic human consumption. Approximately 865,000-900,000 Africans had been forcibly imported 1697-1791. By 1791, enslaved population was 500,000—meaning approximately 365,000 had died through Middle Passage mortality, "seasoning" deaths, overwork, disease, violence, and normal mortality. Average life expectancy for field worker arriving at age 20 was perhaps 10-15 more years.

The wealth extracted was comprehensive. French merchants, refiners, ship owners, financiers, and planters captured enormous profits. None flowed to the 500,000 people who produced it. The extraction was rational from elite perspective: working people to death and importing replacements was more profitable than providing adequate nutrition, rest, and medical care.

But the system contained explosive internal contradictions:

First, the demographic imbalance. 12.5:1 enslaved to free was extreme. Any breakdown in control mechanisms would enable overwhelming force.

Second, the free colored grievance. 28,000 property owners—many wealthy—faced legal discrimination. They owned one-quarter of enslaved people and one-third of plantations but couldn't vote, hold office, or receive civil equality. French Revolutionary promises of equality created expectation that white planters refused to fulfill.

Third, the enslaved hierarchy. While creating division, the hierarchy also created capacity. Enslaved managers, drivers, and skilled workers had literacy, organizational ability, military experience (some served as militia), and understanding of plantation operations. When revolution came, leadership emerged from this enslaved elite—Toussaint Louverture (plantation manager), Jean-Jacques Dessalines (driver/overseer), Henri Christophe (skilled worker). Field hands provided mass base and burning rage, but enslaved elite provided leadership.

Fourth, the competing empires. Spain controlled eastern Hispaniola. Britain coveted France's Caribbean wealth. Any imperial conflict could create maneuvering room for enslaved people and free coloreds.

Fifth, the French Revolutionary crisis. The 1789 French Revolution destabilized metropolitan authority. Colonial whites, free coloreds, and enslaved people all interpreted Revolutionary ideology differently and made conflicting demands. Metropolitan France was too weak and divided to impose order.

These contradictions would explode in August 1791. But the explosion wasn't spontaneous uprising by field hands. It was carefully planned by enslaved elite coordinating with free coloreds, triggered by Spanish support, and enabled by French weakness. The revolution succeeded because competing elites created opening that enabled transformation.

Part 2 will examine the revolutionary period (1791-1804): how enslaved people and free coloreds destroyed white planter class, fought Spanish, British, and French armies, and established independent Haiti. But we'll see that revolutionary success didn't create egalitarian society—new Black elite captured power and attempted to restore plantation production using forced labor. The revolution was genuine rupture, but extraction patterns adapted.

[End of Part 1]

Part 2 will cover 1791-1804: The Revolution, examining how competing elites and imperial powers created opening, how enslaved people and free coloreds fought for freedom, and how new Black elite emerged to capture power.

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 2 of 4: The Revolution and Elite Power Struggles (1791-1804)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: Revolutionary Narrative

Introduction: Elite Conflicts, Not Spontaneous Uprising

On the night of August 22-23, 1791, enslaved people across the northern plain of Saint-Domingue rose in coordinated revolt. The conventional narrative portrays this as field slaves spontaneously overthrowing their masters through sheer force of rage and numbers. This narrative is false—not because enslaved people lacked rage (they had every reason for it), but because it misunderstands how the revolution actually succeeded.

The Haitian Revolution was not primarily a story of the oppressed rising against oppressors, though that element existed. It was fundamentally a story of **competing elite factions creating openings that enabled transformation**. Understanding this distinction is critical to understanding both how the revolution succeeded and why post-independence Haiti developed as it did.

By August 1791, Saint-Domingue contained multiple elite factions with conflicting interests:

White planters (grands blancs): Approximately 30,000 by 1791, controlling plantations and enormous wealth. But divided internally—some wanted autonomy from France, others wanted metropolitan protection. The French Revolution (1789) split them further: royalists vs. republicans, autonomists vs. loyalists.

Free people of color (gens de couleur libres): Approximately 28,000 by 1789, owning one-third of plantations and one-quarter of enslaved people. Substantial property owners, many educated, some wealthy. But legally discriminated against—couldn't vote, hold office, or receive civil equality despite property ownership. The French Revolution's promise of *liberté, égalité, fraternité* gave them ideological weapon to demand rights. When whites refused, free coloreds became revolutionary force.

Enslaved "elite": Within the 500,000 enslaved population existed hierarchies. Drivers, overseers, skilled craftsmen, domestic servants, plantation managers—these enslaved people had status, literacy (some), organizational capacity, and understanding of white society's operations. They weren't field hands. When revolution came, leadership emerged from this enslaved elite: Toussaint Louverture (coachman and plantation manager, literate), Jean-Jacques Dessalines (field slave who became driver/overseer), Henri Christophe (skilled worker and former cook).

Field hands: The mass base—approximately 450,000 people working sugar and coffee plantations under brutal conditions. They provided numbers, burning rage, and willingness to fight. But they didn't provide strategic leadership or military organization. That came from enslaved elite and free coloreds.

Competing imperial powers: Spain controlled eastern Hispaniola (Santo Domingo). Britain coveted French Caribbean wealth. Both would intervene, arming and training rebels, creating military and political chaos that enabled enslaved people and free coloreds to seize power.

The French Revolution's destabilizing effect: The 1789 French Revolution created ideological opening and metropolitan weakness. Different groups in Saint-Domingue interpreted Revolutionary principles differently. Whites claimed they meant planter autonomy. Free coloreds claimed they meant civil equality. Some enslaved people understood they meant freedom. Metropolitan France was too weak and divided to impose order.

The August 1791 uprising didn't emerge from field hand spontaneity. It emerged from careful planning by enslaved elite and free coloreds, coordination enabled by Spanish support, and opportunities created by white elite divisions. The revolution succeeded because competing elites created openings—not because masses spontaneously overcame their oppressors.

This is not diminishing enslaved people's agency or courage. It's understanding how power actually works. Field hands couldn't have succeeded without leadership, organization, weapons, training, and external support. The enslaved elite and free coloreds provided these. Imperial powers (Spain, then Britain, then France's internal conflicts) created the chaos that made victory possible.

Understanding this is essential because it explains post-independence outcomes. The revolution destroyed the white planter class—genuinely eliminated them through death, exile, or property seizure. But the new Black elite (revolutionary generals, free colored property owners) attempted to reproduce plantation extraction patterns. They became large landowners. They tried to restore plantation production using forced labor under new legal forms. Property relations didn't fundamentally transform—ownership changed hands.

This pattern—elite capture of revolution—appears again and again in Part 2's narrative. The revolution was genuine rupture: slavery abolished, white planters destroyed, Black sovereignty established. But it was also elite power struggle: new Black elite reproduced extraction patterns, attempted to restore plantation production, captured state power for their own benefit.

Part 2 examines the revolutionary period (1791-1804) in three phases:

Phase 1 (1791-1794): Chaos and Competing Powers—the initial uprising, three-way civil war (whites vs. free coloreds vs. enslaved rebels), Spanish intervention arming and training rebels, French republican abolition (1794) as strategic necessity.

Phase 2 (1794-1798): British Invasion and Toussaint's Rise—British attempt to conquer colony, Toussaint's military consolidation, his forced labor plantation system showing elite capture pattern.

Phase 3 (1802-1804): Napoleon's Restoration Attempt and Final Victory—Napoleon's cynical betrayal of Revolutionary principles, secret orders to restore slavery, massive French invasion, yellow fever devastation, final Haitian victory and independence declaration.

This chronological narrative demonstrates how elite conflicts created revolutionary opening, how enslaved people and free coloreds exploited that opening to seize power, and how new Black elite emerged to capture the revolution's fruits. The second artifact will then apply the analytical framework (Land Control, Legal Frameworks, Narrative Construction) to assess what structures changed and what persisted.

Phase 1: Chaos and Competing Powers (1791-1794)

The August 1791 Uprising: Planned Coordination, Not Spontaneity

The conventional narrative dates the Haitian Revolution to the night of August 22-23, 1791, portraying it as spontaneous uprising by desperate field slaves. The reality was more complex and more organized.

The Bois Caïman ceremony (August 14, 1791): Traditional histories romanticize this as mystical Vodou ceremony where Boukman Dutty, a Vodou priest, led ritual sacrifice and inspired masses to revolt. The ceremony happened—sources confirm it. But it wasn't spontaneous religious fervor. It was a **planning meeting**.

Boukman was a Vodou priest, yes. But he was also an enslaved coachman—literate, organized, with access to information and ability to travel between plantations. The ceremony brought together enslaved leaders from multiple plantations to coordinate timing, targets, and strategy. Vodou served as organizing framework—providing ritual legitimacy, creating solidarity, identifying participants through shared religious practice. But the ceremony's purpose was coordination, not inspiration.

The attendees weren't random field hands. They were enslaved elite from various plantations: drivers, overseers, skilled workers, domestic servants with access to information. These were people who could read (some), who understood plantation operations, who had organizational

capacity. They planned the uprising's timing (harvest season when labor was most valuable), targets (northern plain plantations), and coordination (simultaneous attacks across region).

The uprising begins (August 22-23, 1791): Enslaved people on plantations across the northern plain rose simultaneously. They burned cane fields, sugar mills, and plantation houses. They killed white planters, overseers, and their families—violence was indiscriminate and brutal. Within 48 hours, hundreds of plantations burned. By August 27, approximately 1,000 whites and 10,000 enslaved people had died.

The violence was genuine rage unleashed after generations of brutality. But the coordination was deliberate. Simultaneous attacks across dozens of plantations required planning, communication, and leadership. This wasn't spontaneous—it was organized insurgency.

The leadership: The uprising's leaders were enslaved elite, not field hands:

Boukman Dutty (died November 1791): Vodou priest and coachman. Led initial uprising in northern plain. Captured by French forces and executed in November 1791—his head was displayed on a pike in Cap-Français as warning. But the uprising continued without him, demonstrating that organization extended beyond individual leaders.

Jean-François Papillon (c. 1740-1805): Enslaved person who became general. One of the uprising's primary leaders in the north. Received Spanish military commission in 1791 and fought for Spain against France. Literate, organizationally sophisticated, military commander. After 1795, he moved to Spanish territory and lived as Spanish officer until death in 1805.

Georges Biassou (died 1801): Another early leader who received Spanish commission. Commanded thousands of troops fighting for Spain. Toussaint Louverture initially served under him as physician (Toussaint had medical knowledge). After 1795, Biassou moved to Spanish Florida and died there in 1801.

Toussaint Louverture (1743-1803): The figure who would dominate the revolution's later phases started as subordinate to Jean-François and Biassou. Born enslaved but freed by his master around 1776, Toussaint worked as coachman and plantation manager. He was **literate**—he could read and write French, an enormous advantage. He had medical knowledge (served as Biassou's physician). He understood plantation operations from management perspective. He joined the uprising in late 1791 but wasn't initially a primary leader. His rise came later (1793-1794) through military success and strategic brilliance.

This leadership profile is critical: these weren't field hands who spontaneously rose. They were enslaved people with status, skills, literacy (some), and organizational capacity—the enslaved elite. Field hands provided mass base and rage. Enslaved elite provided leadership, strategy, and coordination.

The three-way civil war (1791-1793): The uprising didn't create simple binary (slaves vs. masters). It created three-way conflict:

White planters attempting to suppress rebellion and maintain control. But divided among themselves: royalists supported monarchy and opposed French Revolution; republicans supported revolution but wanted racial hierarchy maintained; autonomists wanted independence from France but not abolition.

Free people of color initially divided. Some sided with whites (they were property owners and feared slave rebellion). Some stayed neutral. But white planters' refusal to grant civil equality pushed many free coloreds toward rebellion. In 1791, Vincent Ogé, a wealthy free colored from Cap-Français, led revolt demanding rights—whites crushed it and executed Ogé brutally (broken on the wheel). This radicalized free colored population. By 1792-1793, many free coloreds allied with enslaved rebels against whites.

Enslaved rebels initially fought for limited goals—better treatment, freedom for leaders, formal slavery maintained but reformed. Not abolition of slavery itself. Only gradually did the goal expand to universal freedom. The movement was internally diverse: some wanted to negotiate with French authorities, some wanted independence, some wanted Spanish protection.

The violence was extraordinary and multidirectional. Enslaved rebels killed white planters and their families—men, women, children. White militias killed captured rebels through torture (burning alive, breaking on the wheel, dismemberment). Free coloreds killed whites who refused them equality. Whites killed free coloreds suspected of supporting rebellion. This wasn't neat revolutionary struggle—it was chaotic, brutal civil war with racial, class, and factional dimensions.

Spanish Intervention: Arming and Training the Rebels (1791-1794)

This is the critical factor that conventional histories often minimize or ignore: Spain armed, trained, and commissioned the rebel leaders. Without Spanish support, the uprising might have been suppressed like other slave revolts.

Why Spain intervened: Spain controlled the eastern two-thirds of Hispaniola (Santo Domingo). The French Revolution (1789) and subsequent wars between France and Spain (War of the First Coalition, 1793-1795) meant Spain and France were enemies. Spain saw opportunity to weaken French Saint-Domingue—possibly even conquer it—by supporting the slave rebellion.

What Spain provided:

Military commissions: Spain gave Jean-François, Biassou, and later Toussaint formal military commissions as Spanish officers. They wore Spanish uniforms, carried Spanish military ranks, and received Spanish pay. This gave rebels **legitimacy**—they weren't just rebel slaves, they were commissioned officers of Spanish Crown.

Weapons and ammunition: Spain supplied muskets, ammunition, cannons, and military supplies. Rebel forces couldn't have sustained multi-year war without external arms supply. Spanish arsenals in Santo Domingo provided continuous resupply.

Military training: Spanish officers trained rebel forces in European military tactics—formation fighting, artillery use, cavalry operations, siege warfare. The rebel army transformed from guerrilla band to organized military force capable of fighting European armies.

Safe haven: When French forces pressed rebel armies, they retreated to Spanish territory for rest, resupply, and reorganization. Spanish Santo Domingo provided sanctuary that made sustained resistance possible.

Coordination with Spanish forces: Rebel armies fought alongside Spanish regular forces in coordinated campaigns against French Saint-Domingue. This wasn't distant support—it was active military alliance.

Toussaint's Spanish service (1791-1794): Toussaint joined the rebellion in late 1791, initially serving as physician to Biassou. He rose rapidly through military success—he was brilliant tactician and organizer. By 1793, Spain had commissioned him as general. He commanded thousands of troops fighting **for Spain against France**.

This is essential to understand: the man who would become hero of Haitian independence spent 1791-1794 fighting for Spanish monarchy against French republic. He wore Spanish uniform. He received Spanish pay. He fought to advance Spanish interests. This wasn't ideological commitment to Spain—it was pragmatic alliance. Spain provided weapons, training, legitimacy, and support. Toussaint took it.

French vulnerability: Why couldn't French forces suppress the rebellion? Multiple reasons:

French Revolutionary chaos: The 1789 French Revolution destabilized metropolitan authority. Colonial whites were divided (royalists vs. republicans). Metropolitan France sent contradictory orders. Colonial administration collapsed.

Metropolitan weakness: France was at war with multiple European powers (1792-1795). It couldn't spare large military forces for Caribbean operations when fighting Britain, Austria, Prussia, and Spain in Europe.

Colonial divisions: White planters, free coloreds, and petit blancs all had different agendas and wouldn't cooperate. Colonial military forces were weak and divided.

Spanish and British threats: Spain invaded from east (1793). Britain invaded from sea (1793). French Saint-Domingue faced multiple enemies simultaneously.

The rebel success wasn't just Black military prowess—it was French weakness and Spanish support creating opening that rebels exploited brilliantly.

French Republican Abolition (1793-1794): Strategic Necessity, Not Humanitarian Triumph

The conventional narrative celebrates France's 1794 abolition of slavery as Revolutionary triumph—*liberté, égalité, fraternité* extended to all. The reality was more cynical: French officials abolished slavery to **gain rebel support** against Spain and Britain. It was strategic calculation, not humanitarian commitment.

The sequence:

August 29, 1793: French Civil Commissioner Léger-Félicité Sonthonax, facing military collapse in northern Saint-Domingue, issued abolition decree for the north. He did this **without authorization from Paris**—it was desperate military move.

Why Sonthonax did it: His position was catastrophic. Spanish forces and rebel armies controlled most of the colony. Britain was invading. White planters opposed his republican authority. Free coloreds were unreliable. His only potential allies were the enslaved people fighting for Spain. He needed to win them away from Spain. So he abolished slavery and promised freedom to anyone who fought for France.

September-October 1793: Commissioners Sonthonax and Polverel extended abolition to western and southern provinces.

February 4, 1794: The French National Convention in Paris ratified abolition for all French colonies. The Convention delegates had little choice—Sonthonax's abolition was *fait accompli*, and France desperately needed Saint-Domingue rebels as allies against Spain and Britain.

This was strategic necessity:

- France was losing Saint-Domingue militarily
- Spanish support for rebels was effective
- British invasion threatened to conquer colony
- Abolition was the only way to win rebel support away from Spain
- Metropolitan politicians rationalized it with Revolutionary rhetoric, but the motivation was military necessity

The abolition was genuine—enslaved people in Saint-Domingue gained legal freedom. But it wasn't humanitarian triumph. It was desperate military calculation.

Toussaint's Strategic Switch to France (May 1794)

When France abolished slavery (February 1794), Toussaint faced choice: continue fighting for Spanish monarchy or switch to French republic?

He switched to France in May 1794, bringing his army with him. Why?

Strategic calculation:

French abolition provided better legitimacy: Toussaint could claim to fight for freedom and Revolutionary principles rather than for Spanish monarchy. This was ideologically more defensible.

Republican ideology useful: France was republic (briefly); Spain was monarchy. Revolutionary rhetoric about liberty and equality provided better legitimacy for Black leadership than monarchical hierarchy.

Spanish unreliability: Spain would negotiate peace with France eventually. When that happened, would Spain continue supporting Black generals? Doubtful. Better to switch to France while it needed allies.

Military opportunity: French forces in Saint-Domingue were weak. Switching sides put Toussaint in strong negotiating position with French authorities. He could demand recognition, rank, authority.

Toussaint's goals: He wanted power—for himself, for Black leadership, for permanent freedom. Spanish alliance was tactical, not ideological. When France offered better opportunity, he switched.

The switch was brilliant strategy. Toussaint's forces were battle-hardened, well-organized, and numerous (estimates suggest 4,000-6,000 trained soldiers). France desperately needed them. Toussaint negotiated commission as French general, authority over his troops, and recognition of abolition's permanence.

From Spanish officer to French general: In 1794, Toussaint stopped fighting for Spain and started fighting for France—same army, same commander, different flag. This demonstrates that the war wasn't primarily about ideology. It was about power, with elites (Spanish monarchy, French republic, Black generals, white planters) competing for control while enslaved masses and free coloreds fought for freedom and equality.

The pattern emerging: Enslaved elite (Toussaint, Dessalines, others) led military forces, made strategic alliances, switched sides based on calculation, and pursued power. They were liberators, yes—they genuinely fought for freedom. But they were also emerging elite seeking to capture state power and economic resources. This duality—liberation and elite capture—defines the revolution.

By end of 1794:

- Spanish forces largely defeated in Saint-Domingue
- British invasion underway but struggling
- Toussaint emerged as dominant Black military leader
- French republic nominally controlled colony but relied on Toussaint's forces
- Slavery legally abolished but plantation production collapsed
- White planter class devastated but not yet eliminated
- Free coloreds held significant military and political positions

- New Black elite (Toussaint and generals) emerging as power brokers

Phase 2 (1794-1798) would see British invasion fail, Toussaint consolidate power, and the new Black elite begin reproducing plantation extraction patterns.

Phase 2: British Invasion and Toussaint's Rise (1794-1798)

The British Invasion (1793-1798): Imperial Opportunism Meets Yellow Fever

While Spain armed rebels to weaken France, Britain attempted direct conquest. In September 1793, British forces invaded Saint-Domingue, seeking to add France's richest colony to British Caribbean empire. This invasion would fail catastrophically—not primarily due to military defeat, but because yellow fever killed the British army.

British invasion force: Britain sent approximately 25,000 troops to Saint-Domingue between 1793 and 1798—one of the largest military commitments of the Revolutionary/Napoleonic Wars era. These weren't garrison troops—they were British regulars, battle-hardened veterans from European campaigns.

Initial British success (1793-1794): British forces captured key ports: Port-au-Prince (June 1794), Môle-Saint-Nicolas, Jérémie, and other coastal cities. White planters, fearing slave revolt and republican abolition, welcomed British as "protectors." Many planters collaborated with British occupation, hoping Britain would restore order and slavery.

By early 1794, Britain controlled most coastal areas and major ports. The colonial capital (Port-au-Prince) was British-occupied. French authority existed mainly in the mountains and northern interior. It appeared Britain might conquer Saint-Domingue.

Yellow fever strikes: Then disease devastated the British army. Yellow fever (*Aedes aegypti* mosquito-borne virus) was endemic in Caribbean but relatively rare in Britain. British soldiers had no immunity. When they arrived in tropical climate, they died in enormous numbers.

The casualty statistics are staggering:

- **Year 1:** (1793-1794): Perhaps 5,000 British soldiers died, mostly disease
- **Year 2-3:** (1794-1796): Another 8,000-10,000 dead
- **Year 4-5:** (1796-1798): Final 5,000-8,000 dead
- **Total British deaths:** Estimates range from 12,000 to over 15,000 dead
- **Additional wounded/incapacitated:** Thousands more were invalided home, permanently disabled

The mortality rate was catastrophic—possibly 50-60% of British soldiers sent to Saint-Domingue died there. Yellow fever caused far more casualties than combat. Contemporaries described hospitals overflowing, doctors helpless, bodies buried in mass graves.

One British officer described the situation in 1796: "The men are dying so fast that we can scarcely bury them... The hospitals are full beyond capacity... Yellow fever has destroyed this army more completely than any battle ever could." (Quoted in Geggus, *Slavery, War and Revolution*)

British strategic calculation failed: Britain expected to conquer Saint-Domingue with manageable casualties, restore slavery and plantation production, and gain enormous wealth. Instead, Britain lost 15,000+ soldiers, spent millions of pounds, and gained nothing. The campaign was military disaster.

Why yellow fever was so deadly: Modern understanding reveals that yellow fever mortality is highest in non-immune adults experiencing first infection. Caribbean-born people often had childhood exposure and partial immunity. European soldiers arriving as adults had no immunity. Concentration in port cities with poor sanitation created ideal conditions for mosquito breeding and disease transmission.

The British didn't understand disease mechanism (germ theory and mosquito transmission weren't discovered until late 19th century). They attributed deaths to "tropical miasmas" and "bad air." Some doctors suspected disease was transmitted somehow but couldn't prevent it. British military command kept sending replacements, who promptly died.

Toussaint's guerrilla warfare: While yellow fever killed British soldiers in ports, Toussaint's forces fought guerrilla war in the interior and mountains. The British couldn't effectively control the countryside. Every time British patrols left coastal cities, they faced ambushes.

Toussaint's tactical genius emerged during this period. He avoided set-piece battles against British regulars (which he would likely lose). Instead, he used:

- **Hit-and-run attacks:** Ambush British patrols, disappear into mountains
- **Scorched earth:** Destroy crops and supplies, deny British resources
- **Local knowledge:** Use terrain and population support
- **Patience:** Let yellow fever do the work, avoid costly battles

British commanders recognized they were fighting brilliantly led guerrilla force in impossible terrain while their army died of disease. By 1797, British position was hopeless.

British withdrawal (1798): In 1798, Britain negotiated withdrawal. British forces evacuated Port-au-Prince and other positions. Toussaint's forces took control. British general Thomas Maitland negotiated directly with Toussaint (not French authorities), implicitly recognizing Toussaint as de facto ruler.

The British evacuation included secret agreements with Toussaint:

- Britain would not interfere with Saint-Domingue's trade
- Toussaint would not support slave rebellions in British Jamaica
- Trade arrangements beneficial to both sides

This was remarkable: British general negotiating with former enslaved person as equal, making strategic agreements. It demonstrated Toussaint's emerging power and British recognition of reality.

The British disaster's significance:

Military failure: Britain lost 15,000+ soldiers and gained nothing. This was one of worst military defeats in British history, though often forgotten because it was disease, not battle, that killed the army.

Toussaint's consolidation: British withdrawal gave Toussaint control of southern and western provinces. By 1798, he dominated most of Saint-Domingue militarily.

Imperial lessons: British defeat demonstrated that European powers couldn't easily reconquer Saint-Domingue. The combination of military resistance and yellow fever made conventional European military conquest nearly impossible.

Pattern reinforcement: Once again, imperial power conflict created opening that Black leadership exploited. Britain invaded to gain colony, but yellow fever and military resistance destroyed British army, leaving Toussaint in control.

Toussaint's Military and Political Consolidation (1798-1800)

With Spanish defeated (1795 Treaty of Basel ended Spanish support for rebels) and British withdrawn (1798), Toussaint moved to consolidate power throughout Saint-Domingue.

Defeating rival factions:

The War of Knives (1799-1800): André Rigaud, a wealthy free colored general who controlled the south, challenged Toussaint's authority. Rigaud had fought for French republic and commanded significant forces—perhaps 10,000 troops. He was educated, sophisticated, and had support among free colored population.

Toussaint waged brutal campaign against Rigaud called the "War of Knives" (Guerre des Couteaux) because of its vicious close-quarters combat. Toussaint's forces, commanded by his lieutenant Jean-Jacques Dessalines, systematically destroyed Rigaud's army. Dessalines earned reputation for ruthlessness—he killed prisoners, destroyed villages supporting Rigaud, and accepted no compromise.

By August 1800, Rigaud was defeated and fled to France. Toussaint controlled the south. The War of Knives was significant because it was **Black general vs. free colored general**—not

whites vs. Blacks but emerging Black elite vs. free colored elite. This was power struggle among non-white elites, with Toussaint emerging victorious.

Conquering Spanish Santo Domingo (1801): In January 1801, Toussaint invaded and conquered Spanish-controlled eastern Hispaniola (Santo Domingo). Spain had ceded it to France in 1795 Treaty of Basel, but Spain still administered it. Toussaint invaded with 10,000 troops, met minimal resistance, and declared himself governor of the entire island.

This was extraordinary: formerly enslaved person commanding army that conquered European colonial territory. Toussaint proclaimed abolition of slavery in Spanish Santo Domingo, freeing approximately 10,000 enslaved people there.

The conquest demonstrated:

- Toussaint's military capability extended beyond guerrilla warfare
- He commanded organized army capable of conventional operations
- He aimed to control entire island, not just western portion
- He acted independently of French authority—France didn't authorize the invasion

By mid-1801, Toussaint's power was unmatched: He controlled all of Hispaniola. He commanded perhaps 20,000-25,000 troops. He had defeated all rivals (white planters, British, Spanish, free colored factions). French authority existed only nominally—French officials could do nothing without Toussaint's consent.

Toussaint's Forced Labor Plantation System: Elite Capture Begins

Here is where the pattern of **elite capture** becomes explicit. Toussaint didn't destroy the plantation system—he attempted to restore it using forced labor under new legal forms.

The "Cultivator" system: Toussaint issued regulations (particularly the 1800 Rural Code and provisions in his 1801 Constitution) that created forced labor system called "cultivatorship":

Cultivators' legal status: Formerly enslaved people were now legally free—not property, not enslaved. They had legal personhood. Families couldn't be broken up. They couldn't be bought or sold.

But they couldn't leave plantations: Cultivators were required to remain on the plantations where they worked. They needed written permission from plantation manager to leave. This was called being "attached to the soil."

Mandatory labor: Cultivators had to work assigned hours (typically from dawn to mid-afternoon, six days per week). They couldn't refuse work without punishment.

Wages: Cultivators received payment—typically one-quarter of crop value after expenses. This was real money, not token. But it was low, and plantation managers controlled accounting.

Military enforcement: Toussaint used military force to enforce labor discipline. His officers commanded plantations or supervised managers. Soldiers ensured cultivators worked. Resistance meant military punishment.

Toussaint's rationale (stated explicitly in his speeches and regulations):

"Agriculture is the foundation of prosperity. Without plantation production, Saint-Domingue cannot survive. We must restore sugar and coffee exports to generate revenue for the army and government. Cultivators must work to rebuild the colony."

This reasoning was economically rational from elite perspective: Saint-Domingue's wealth derived from export agriculture. Plantation production required disciplined labor. Without exports, no government revenue. Without government revenue, no army. Without army, no protection from European reconquest.

But from cultivators' perspective, this looked like slavery renamed. Yes, they were legally free. Yes, they received wages. Yes, families stayed together. But they couldn't leave, had to work assigned hours, faced military punishment for resistance, and remained poor while others (Toussaint's officers, managers, merchants) captured most wealth.

Who got the land?

This is critical question. When white planters were killed, exiled, or fled (1791-1800), what happened to plantation property?

The pattern:

- Some plantations became state property (Toussaint's government)
- Many were allocated to Toussaint's officers and generals as rewards
- Some went to free colored elites who had supported the revolution
- Very little was distributed to former field workers

Toussaint himself became large landowner: He acquired multiple plantations as personal property. Other generals (Dessalines, Christophe, Clervaux, Maurepas, and others) similarly acquired estates. The revolutionary elite became the new planter class.

This was **elite capture**: The revolution destroyed white planters but created new Black elite who reproduced plantation ownership patterns. Property didn't become communal or equally distributed. It concentrated in hands of new elite—revolutionary generals who led the military struggle.

Cultivators resisted: Many former slaves refused to work at plantation pace. They wanted to work their own small plots (provision grounds), grow food for family consumption, and sell surpluses in markets. They didn't want to produce sugar for export and receive one-quarter share.

Toussaint's response: military force. His officers compelled cultivators back to plantations. Soldiers punished those who refused work. The system wasn't as brutal as slavery—no whipping to death, no mutilation, no sale of children. But it was coerced labor nonetheless.

Production partially recovered: Under Toussaint's forced labor system, plantation production increased from catastrophic lows of the mid-1790s:

- Sugar production: perhaps 20-30% of pre-1791 levels by 1801
- Coffee production: perhaps 50-60% of pre-1791 levels
- Other crops: limited recovery

This wasn't full restoration—production remained far below slavery-era levels. But it was substantial increase from revolutionary chaos of 1791-1795. This demonstrated that forced labor system could extract increased production.

The pattern's significance: Toussaint was genuine liberator—he abolished slavery, defeated multiple armies, established Black sovereignty. But he was also emerging elite member who reproduced extraction patterns. He became large landowner. He enforced labor discipline through military coercion. He captured plantation wealth.

This duality—liberator AND elite captor—defines Toussaint's legacy. He wasn't simply hero or villain. He was complex figure who destroyed white planters while creating new Black elite that attempted to reproduce plantation extraction.

Toussaint's Constitution of 1801: In July 1801, Toussaint issued constitution for Saint-Domingue without French authorization. Key provisions:

Article 3: Slavery is forever abolished—this was genuine and permanent commitment

Article 14: Forced labor system codified: "Every individual is obligated to employ his time usefully." Cultivators must remain on plantations. "The state in which he was at the creation of the present constitution will determine the future residence of each cultivator."

Article 27: Toussaint named himself Governor-for-Life with power to name his successor

Article 28: All power flows from Governor—legislative, executive, military

This was presidential dictatorship: One-man rule, lifetime appointment, forced labor system, no democratic accountability. Toussaint had become autocrat.

Why this matters: The Constitution of 1801 reveals elite capture pattern. Toussaint abolished slavery (good) but created authoritarian system with forced labor (bad). He destroyed white planters (good) but concentrated power in his own hands (bad). The revolution succeeded militarily but the new elite reproduced extraction patterns under new legal forms.

Metropolitan French reaction: When Napoleon Bonaparte (First Consul of France by 1801) learned of Toussaint's Constitution, he was furious. Toussaint had:

- Declared himself Governor-for-Life without French approval
- Conquered Spanish Santo Domingo without authorization
- Issued constitution without consulting France
- Essentially declared autonomy (not formal independence, but acting independently)

Napoleon decided to destroy Toussaint and restore French control. This leads to Phase 3: Napoleon's attempted restoration (1802-1804).

Phase 3: Napoleon's Attempted Restoration and Final Haitian Victory (1802-1804)

Napoleon's Cynicism: The Gap Between Revolutionary Rhetoric and Calculated Power

This is where the documentary evidence becomes critical. Napoleon Bonaparte rose to power riding Revolutionary principles—*liberté, égalité, fraternité*. He claimed to defend Revolutionary legacy against European monarchies. But regarding Saint-Domingue and slavery, Napoleon systematically betrayed every Revolutionary principle for calculated political and economic gain.

Napoleon's private racism (revealed in Council of State proceedings, August 1800):

When discussing Saint-Domingue in the Council of State, Napoleon stated (recorded in official minutes):

"I support the Whites because I am white; that reason suffices. How could freedom have been granted to Africans, to people without civilization, who did not even understand what a colony or France was? If the majority of the Convention had understood its actions and the colonies, would it have granted freedom to the Blacks? Certainly not."

This is remarkable for its clarity. Napoleon explicitly justified slavery through racial hierarchy, not economics or policy. "I am white" was sufficient reason. Africans were "without civilization" and incapable of understanding freedom. The 1794 abolition was mistake that needed correction.

Napoleon's public promises (1799-1801, repeated multiple times):

To Toussaint and Saint-Domingue population, Napoleon repeatedly promised:

December 25, 1799: *"The sacred principles of liberty and equality for blacks will never suffer any infringement or modification among you."*

May 1800: Again promised permanent abolition

January 1801: Again promised permanent abolition

March 1801: Again promised to Toussaint personally

Napoleon publicly proclaimed that abolition was permanent and Revolutionary principle that would never be betrayed. This was **deliberate lie**—he was already planning restoration.

The economic calculation: Napoleon's Colonial Minister, Denis Decrès, and colonial lobby (dispossessed planters) persuaded Napoleon that restoring plantation production would generate enormous revenue. Pre-revolution Saint-Domingue produced approximately **180 million francs annually** in export value. Napoleon needed money for his armies and European wars. Restoring slavery meant restoring wealth.

Napoleon to Decrès, August 7, 1802 (after the expedition began): *"Everything must be prepared for the reestablishment of slavery" (il faut tout préparer au rétablissement de l'esclavage).*

This was the calculation: Revolutionary principles vs. 180 million francs per year. Napoleon chose the money.

The Leclerc Expedition: Three-Stage Deception (December 1801 - November 1802)

In December 1801, Napoleon sent massive military expedition to Saint-Domingue under command of his brother-in-law, General Charles Victoire Emmanuel Leclerc. Leclerc was married to Napoleon's sister Pauline—this was family expedition with Napoleon's direct involvement.

The force: This was largest French military expedition ever sent to Caribbean:

- Initial force: approximately 20,000-22,000 troops in December 1801-February 1802
- Reinforcements: February 1802 through November 1803, another 50,000-60,000 troops arrived
- Total deployed: approximately 70,000-80,000 French soldiers, 1801-1803
- Fleet: 86 warships carrying initial force, hundreds more ships in subsequent waves

The soldiers were veterans from Napoleon's European campaigns—Army of the Rhine, Italian campaign veterans, battle-hardened professionals. Napoleon sent some of his best troops.

Napoleon's secret instructions to Leclerc (three-stage plan):

Stage 1 - Deception (February-May 1802): Land peacefully by **lying to the Black population**

- Publicly promise to maintain abolition
- Claim you're only restoring French authority, not slavery

- Offer Toussaint and other Black generals continued command if they submit peacefully
- Use Black and mulatto officers in expedition (André Rigaud, Alexandre Pétion, and others) to provide racial cover
- Get control of ports and strategic positions through false promises

Stage 2 - Elimination (May-August 1802): **Destroy Black leadership**

Napoleon's explicit order to Leclerc: *"Do not allow any blacks having held a rank above that of a captain to remain on the island."*

- Arrest Toussaint and other top Black leaders
- Deport them to France
- Eliminate Black officer corps—kill or exile anyone with leadership capacity
- Disarm Black population

Stage 3 - Re-enslavement (August 1802 onward): **Restore slavery fully**

- Force Black population back onto plantations
- Restore pre-1789 slavery conditions
- Bring back slave trade
- Restore white planter control

This was calculated deception: lie to get them to submit, then systematically destroy Black leadership, then restore slavery once resistance was impossible.

Stage 1 execution (February-May 1802): The lies worked initially.

Leclerc's fleet arrived in January-February 1802. When they landed, Leclerc issued proclamations:

"People of Saint-Domingue! The French Republic guarantees your liberty! General Bonaparte, First Consul, has sent me to restore order and French authority. Slavery will never be restored. All people of Saint-Domingue are French citizens with equal rights."

These were **deliberate lies**. Leclerc already had secret orders to restore slavery. But the proclamations were convincing enough that many were deceived.

Toussaint initially resisted: When French fleet appeared, Toussaint understood what it meant. He ordered Henri Christophe to burn Cap-Français rather than let French occupy it. Christophe did—the colony's second-largest city burned to ground. This "scorched earth" response showed Toussaint knew this was existential threat.

But Leclerc's forces were overwhelming. Over 20,000 French regulars landed at multiple ports simultaneously. Toussaint's forces (perhaps 12,000-15,000) were outnumbered and couldn't defend everywhere at once.

Black generals defected: Here is where Leclerc's deception paid off. Leclerc offered Black generals continued command and French commissions if they submitted. Several accepted:

Henri Christophe: After burning Cap-Français, he negotiated surrender and accepted French commission (March 1802). He became French general with his troops incorporated into French army.

Jean-Jacques Dessalines: Toussaint's most ruthless lieutenant also submitted (April 1802) and accepted French commission. He became French general.

Other generals: Clervaux, Maurepas, Paul Louverture (Toussaint's brother), and others submitted.

Why did they defect? Combination of factors:

- Leclerc's overwhelming force seemed invincible
- French promises sounded genuine (backed by Leclerc's public proclamations)
- They could keep military command and status
- Perhaps calculation that collaboration was safer than resistance

Toussaint isolated: By April 1802, most Black generals had submitted to French. Toussaint's position was hopeless. In May 1802, he negotiated surrender. Leclerc offered him retirement on his plantations with full pension and honors. Toussaint accepted and retired to his estate at Ennery.

Stage 2 execution - The treachery (June 1802):

On June 7, 1802, Leclerc invited Toussaint to meeting to "discuss arrangements." When Toussaint arrived, French soldiers arrested him. He was put on ship and sent to France in chains. He never saw Saint-Domingue again.

This was **pure betrayal**: Leclerc had promised retirement with honor. Instead, once Toussaint was isolated, Leclerc kidnapped him and deported him.

Toussaint's fate: Toussaint was imprisoned at Fort de Joux in the Jura Mountains (eastern France, near Swiss border). It was cold, damp, stone fortress at high altitude. Toussaint, who had spent entire life in tropical heat, was imprisoned in freezing conditions. He was denied adequate food, clothing, and warmth. The conditions were deliberately harsh—France wanted him to die.

On April 7, 1803, Toussaint Louverture died in prison. Official cause: pneumonia and malnutrition. Actual cause: France killed him through deliberate neglect. He was 59 years old.

Toussaint's famous last words (written in prison, months before death):

"In overthrowing me, you have cut down in Saint-Domingue only the trunk of the tree of liberty. It will spring up again by the roots, for they are numerous and deep."

He was correct.

Stage 3 Attempted - The Mask Removed (May-August 1802)

After Toussaint's deportation, Leclerc began implementing Stage 3: restoring slavery. But the deception couldn't be maintained.

May 20, 1802 - Napoleon's Law: While Leclerc was in Saint-Domingue, Napoleon signed the Law of 20 May 1802 in Paris. This law **formally restored slavery** in French colonies where it had been abolished:

The law stated: Slavery is maintained in Martinique, Tobago, Saint Lucia, and will be maintained according to laws existing before 1789 in all colonies. (These were colonies that Britain had occupied and returned to France by Treaty of Amiens—slavery had never been abolished there because of British occupation).

The law was carefully worded to avoid explicitly mentioning Saint-Domingue and Guadeloupe. But the implication was clear: Napoleon was restoring slavery in French empire.

June 14, 1802 - The Secret Orders: Napoleon's Colonial Minister Denis Decrès sent **secret instructions** to Leclerc:

"Re-establish the pre-1789 slavery conditions and encourage the resumption of the slave trade."

These were SECRET orders—not published, not announced. Leclerc was to implement slavery restoration quietly, gradually, without provoking general revolt.

July 16, 1802 - Guadeloupe: Napoleon's general Antoine Richepance formally restored slavery in Guadeloupe by decree. This was French colony in Caribbean, similar to Saint-Domingue. Slavery had been abolished there in 1794. Now it was restored by force.

The restoration was brutal. Louis Delgrès, a Black French officer commanding Fort Matouba in Guadeloupe, refused to submit to slavery restoration. On May 28, 1802, facing French assault, Delgrès and 300 followers blew themselves up with gunpowder rather than return to slavery. They wrote declaration: *"Live free or die"* and chose death.

Richepance then conducted systematic repression:

- Executed hundreds of Black soldiers who had fought for France
- Deported thousands to mainland France or other colonies
- Re-enslaved the population
- Killed anyone who resisted

News reached Saint-Domingue: Escaped prisoners from Guadeloupe reached Saint-Domingue and told what happened. Delgrès' heroic death became known. The Guadeloupe massacre revealed Napoleon's true intentions.

The Black population of Saint-Domingue understood: **they were next**. All Leclerc's promises were lies. France intended to restore slavery. The Black generals who had submitted—Dessalines, Christophe, and others—realized they had been deceived.

Leclerc begins disarmament (July-August 1802): Following his secret orders, Leclerc ordered disarmament of Black troops. He claimed it was routine military reorganization. But combined with Guadeloupe news, the meaning was clear: disarm them, then enslave them.

Leclerc to Napoleon (August 6, 1802):

"The moral authority I had gained here is destroyed... Now, Citizen Consul, your plans for the colonies are perfectly known."

Leclerc was admitting that his deception had failed. Everyone knew Napoleon intended to restore slavery. Leclerc had lost all credibility.

The Final Revolt (August-November 1802): Yellow Fever and Black Resistance Destroy French Army

Black generals defect again: In August-September 1802, the Black generals who had submitted to France in March-May 1802 now rebelled:

Jean-Jacques Dessalines: Switched back to resistance in September 1802. He became supreme military leader of final phase. His motto: *"Koupe tèt, boule kay"* (Cut their heads, burn their houses)—scorched earth total war.

Henri Christophe: Also defected back to resistance in October 1802.

Alexandre Pétion: Free colored general who had arrived with French expedition switched to resistance.

The revolutionary army reformed with experienced, battle-hardened leaders who had spent months observing French tactics and organization.

Leclerc's increasing desperation and genocide advocacy:

By September 1802, Leclerc understood his mission was failing. His army was dying from yellow fever at catastrophic rates. Black resistance was intensifying. His response: advocate **genocide**.

Leclerc to Napoleon (October 7, 1802):

"We must destroy all the blacks of the mountains—men and women—and spare only children under 12 years of age. We must destroy half of those in the plains and must not leave a single colored person in the colony who has worn an epaulette."

This was explicit call for **racial extermination**. Kill all Black adults in mountains, kill half in plains, kill all Black military leaders. Spare only children young enough to be enslaved without memory of freedom.

Leclerc continued: *"My soul is withered, and no joyful thought can ever make me forget these hideous scenes."*

Even Leclerc, who was advocating mass murder, recognized the moral horror of what he proposed. But he saw no alternative—either exterminate Black population or lose the colony.

Leclerc begins implementing genocide:

Mass drownings: French forces drowned captured rebels in boats. They would pack 100-150 people into ship holds, seal them, and sail out to sea. Then they would open valves and sink the ships, drowning everyone. This was systematic—thousands were killed this way.

Mass shootings: Villages suspected of supporting resistance were destroyed, inhabitants massacred.

Dogs imported from Cuba: Rochambeau (Leclerc's subordinate) ordered 600 attack dogs from Cuba. The dogs were starved, then fed human flesh to make them attack Black people. French soldiers would release dogs to kill prisoners. This created terror but also intensified resistance.

Gas chambers (sulfur dioxide): French commanders experimented with burning sulfur in ship holds to create sulfur dioxide gas, killing prisoners by asphyxiation. This was literally genocidal technique—mass killing through poison gas.

These atrocities are documented in French military correspondence and contemporary accounts. France attempted systematic mass murder to restore colonial control.

Yellow fever destroys French army:

While Leclerc implemented genocide, yellow fever was destroying his army even faster than he could kill Black population:

Casualty estimates:

- February-May 1802: 5,000-8,000 French soldiers dead (mostly disease)
- June-August 1802: 10,000-15,000 more dead
- September-November 1802: another 8,000-12,000 dead
- Total by November 1802: approximately 25,000-30,000 French soldiers dead

Of 20,000-22,000 in initial expedition, perhaps 8,000 remained alive and fit for duty by October 1802. The reinforcements arriving throughout 1802 also died rapidly—yellow fever killed them within weeks of arrival.

Leclerc himself died of yellow fever on November 1, 1802. He was 30 years old. His wife Pauline Bonaparte (Napoleon's sister) was devastated. She cut off her hair and put it in his coffin, had his heart preserved in urn, and had his body sent back to France.

Rochambeau's even worse atrocities (November 1802 - November 1803):

Donatien-Marie-Joseph de Vimeur, Vicomte de Rochambeau (son of the American Revolution's Rochambeau) took command after Leclerc's death. If Leclerc was brutal, Rochambeau was sadistic.

Rochambeau intensified genocide:

- Mass executions by firing squad, hanging, drowning
- Dog attacks publicized to terrorize population
- Torture of prisoners before execution
- Systematic destruction of villages
- No mercy, no negotiations

But yellow fever continued killing French: By summer 1803, perhaps 40,000-50,000 French soldiers had died since the expedition began. Napoleon kept sending reinforcements, but they kept dying.

Dessalines' response - matching atrocities:

Dessalines matched French brutality with his own. When Rochambeau hanged 500 Black prisoners in Cap-Français, Dessalines killed 500 white prisoners and put their heads on stakes surrounding the city so French could see them.

Dessalines declared total war: *"The whites have shown us what they intend. We will show them what we can do."*

This wasn't idealistic revolutionary struggle—it was racial war of extermination on both sides.

The Final Battles and Haitian Victory (May-November 1803)

British intervention (again): In May 1803, Britain and France renewed war (Wars of the Third Coalition). This changed everything for Saint-Domingue.

British Royal Navy immediately blockaded French Caribbean forces. French reinforcements couldn't arrive. French garrisons couldn't evacuate. French forces were isolated.

British naval commander John Duckworth coordinated with Dessalines' forces. Britain saw opportunity to eliminate French presence from Caribbean. British ships prevented French escape while Dessalines' army destroyed French garrisons.

Louisiana Purchase connection: Napoleon's Saint-Domingue disaster directly caused Louisiana Purchase. Napoleon had planned to use Saint-Domingue as base and breadbasket for French North American empire centered on Louisiana. Without Saint-Domingue, Louisiana was indefensible and useless. So in May 1803, Napoleon sold Louisiana to United States for \$15 million.

The United States gained 828,000 square miles (doubled US territory) because France lost Saint-Domingue. Haitian Revolution had North American consequences.

Battle of Vertières (November 18, 1803): The final battle occurred at Vertières, near Cap-Français.

Dessalines commanded approximately 27,000 revolutionary troops—large, organized army with artillery, cavalry, and disciplined infantry. Rochambeau commanded perhaps 8,000 French troops (all that remained of 70,000+ deployed).

The battle lasted several hours. Revolutionary forces, commanded by François Capois (nicknamed "Capois-la-Mort" / "Death-Capois" for his fearlessness), assaulted French fortifications. Capois' horse was shot from under him; he continued on foot. His hat was shot off; he kept advancing. He became legend.

Revolutionary forces broke through French lines. French army collapsed. Rochambeau requested armistice.

French evacuation (November-December 1803):

Rochambeau negotiated surrender with Dessalines on November 19, 1803. Terms:

- French forces would evacuate within 10 days
- Revolutionary forces would not attack during evacuation
- French could leave with personal property and weapons

But Rochambeau delayed evacuation—perhaps hoping reinforcements would arrive, perhaps simply paralyzed. Dessalines grew impatient and threatened to shell French ships if they didn't leave immediately.

British intervention in evacuation: Ironically, British Royal Navy carried out the evacuation. Admiral Duckworth negotiated with both Rochambeau and Dessalines. British ships carried French survivors away—as British prisoners of war. This was humiliation: French army defeated by former slaves, evacuated by British enemies, leaving as prisoners.

Final French departure occurred in late November-early December 1803. Perhaps 7,000-8,000 French soldiers and colonists evacuated. Of approximately 70,000-80,000 French soldiers sent to Saint-Domingue 1801-1803, **over 50,000 died** (perhaps 60,000+). Most died of yellow fever. Many died in combat. Some were executed as prisoners. This was one of the most catastrophic military disasters in French history.

Independence: January 1, 1804

On January 1, 1804, Jean-Jacques Dessalines declared independence at Gonaïves. He proclaimed:

"We have avenged America... Independence or death!"

The new nation was named **Haiti**—indigenous Taíno word meaning "land of mountains." This rejected colonial name Saint-Domingue and claimed connection to indigenous inhabitants (exterminated by Spanish in 1500s).

Dessalines' Act of Independence declared:

- Eternal abolition of slavery
- Independence from France
- All Haitians are Black (citizenship redefined racially, not legally)
- Whites forbidden from owning property in Haiti
- Remaining whites to be killed (with exceptions for some priests, doctors, needed artisans)

The 1804 massacres: Dessalines ordered systematic killing of remaining white population in early 1804. Estimates suggest 3,000-5,000 whites were killed—men, women, children. Some were spared: Polish soldiers who had deserted French army and joined Haitians; some French doctors and priests; some technical specialists.

This was retribution and elimination: retribution for centuries of slavery and French atrocities 1802-1803, elimination of potential fifth column that might support future French invasion.

The massacres were war crimes. But they occurred in context of French genocide attempt and total war. Both sides committed atrocities. Dessalines was explicit: *"We have paid the whites back for what they did. Now we start fresh."*

Haiti's achievement:

- First successful slave revolution in history
- Second independent nation in Western Hemisphere (after USA)
- First Black republic in world
- First nation to permanently abolish slavery
- Defeated three European powers (Spain, Britain, France) in 13 years of warfare

This was extraordinary achievement against overwhelming odds.

But elite capture was already evident:

- Dessalines crowned himself Emperor Jacques I (September 1804)—monarchy, not republic
- Revolutionary generals became large landowners
- Forced labor system continued under Dessalines
- Property concentrated in elite hands
- Political power monopolized by military elite

The revolution was genuine rupture—slavery abolished, white planters destroyed, Black sovereignty established. But the new Black elite reproduced extraction patterns under new forms.

Parts 3 and 4 of the case study will examine how this pattern developed: French indemnity (1825-1947) continuing extraction through financial means, chronic elite competition for state power causing instability, contemporary crisis representing culmination of these patterns.

But first, the second artifact will apply the analytical framework to revolutionary period, examining land control, legal transformations, and competing narratives that legitimated the revolutionary struggle.

[End of Part 2, Artifact 1]

Artifact 2 will cover:

- Section III: Land and Resource Control (Revolutionary Period)
- Section IV: Legal and Institutional Frameworks (Revolutionary Period)
- Section V: Narrative Construction (Revolutionary Period)
- Conclusion to Part 2

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 2 of 4: The Revolution and Elite Power Struggles (1791-1804)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Analytical Framework

Section III: Land and Resource Control (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804)

The revolution fundamentally disrupted Saint-Domingue's land ownership patterns—but did it transform them? Understanding what happened to land during the revolutionary period reveals the pattern of elite capture that would shape independent Haiti.

The Destruction of White Planter Property (1791-1804)

Phase 1 - Plantation destruction (1791-1793): The August 1791 uprising targeted plantations systematically. Enslaved people burned cane fields, destroyed sugar mills, and razed plantation houses. This wasn't random violence—it was calculated destruction of the system that enslaved them.

By December 1791, the northern plain—Saint-Domingue's richest sugar region—was devastated. Hundreds of plantations burned. Contemporary accounts describe smoke visible for miles, sky red with fires, entire landscape transformed from ordered plantation to scorched earth.

The destruction continued through 1792-1793 as war spread across the colony. Sugar plantations suffered worst damage because:

- Sugar mills required substantial capital investment—once destroyed, difficult to rebuild
- Sugar cultivation required synchronized labor across planting, harvesting, and processing—disruption broke the system
- Sugar estates were concentrated in plains, most accessible to revolutionary forces

Coffee plantations in mountains suffered less destruction—smaller scale, less capital-intensive, easier to maintain with reduced labor. This differential damage would affect post-independence economy.

Planter flight and death (1791-1804): White planters were killed, fled, or lost property through various mechanisms:

Direct violence: Thousands of white planters and their families were killed during the initial uprising (1791-1793). Exact numbers are impossible to determine, but contemporary estimates suggest 2,000-4,000 whites killed 1791-1793.

Flight: Perhaps 10,000-15,000 whites fled Saint-Domingue during the revolution. Many went to Cuba, Jamaica (British), Louisiana, or Charleston (South Carolina). They took portable wealth (jewelry, cash, some enslaved people) but had to abandon land and fixed property.

British occupation losses: During British occupation (1793-1798), some white planters sided with British and lost property when British withdrew. Revolutionary forces seized these estates as "collaborator property."

Toussaint's consolidation: During his rule (1798-1801), Toussaint seized property of whites who had fled or died. He declared it "state property" or allocated it to his officers.

Dessalines' final elimination: After independence (1804), remaining whites were killed or expelled. All white-owned property was seized. Dessalines' 1804 constitution explicitly forbade whites from owning land in Haiti.

Result by 1804: The white planter class was eliminated completely. This was unique among Caribbean plantation societies—only Haiti achieved total elite destruction. But what replaced them?

Land Redistribution: Who Got the Plantations?

The pattern of elite capture:

State ownership: Toussaint declared many abandoned plantations to be state property. In theory, this meant they belonged to the nation. In practice, it meant Toussaint's government controlled them and allocated them to loyalists.

Military officers: Revolutionary generals received plantations as rewards for military service. This was explicit policy—Toussaint granted estates to:

- **Toussaint himself:** acquired multiple plantations as personal property
- **Dessalines:** received several large estates
- **Henri Christophe:** received plantations in the north
- **Other generals** (Clervaux, Maurepas, Paul Louverture, and others): each received estate grants

This created new Black landowning elite. The officers who led the military struggle became plantation owners.

Free colored elite: Wealthy free coloreds who supported the revolution maintained their property and sometimes acquired additional plantations. They were already substantial property owners before 1791—they retained this status.

Some distribution to cultivators: Pétion's land reforms (1809-1818, discussed in Part 3) would distribute some land to former enslaved people. But during revolutionary period (1791-1804), very little land went directly to former field workers.

The numbers: By 1804, Haiti had perhaps 2,000-3,000 large estates (down from 8,000+ in 1789, because many plantations had been subdivided or abandoned). Of these:

- State ownership: perhaps 30-40%
- New Black elite (generals, officials): perhaps 30-40%
- Free colored elite: perhaps 20-30%
- Small cultivator holdings: perhaps 10-15%

These are rough estimates—precise data doesn't exist. But the pattern is clear: **large estates remained in elite hands, ownership changed from white to Black/colored elites.**

Resource Control: Production Collapse and Elite Attempts at Restoration

Production statistics (approximate):

Product	1789 Production	1804 Production	Decline
Sugar	93,000 tons	~15,000 tons	84% decline
Coffee	43,000 tons	~20,000 tons	54% decline
Cotton	3,500 tons	~1,000 tons	71% decline
Indigo	750 tons	~100 tons	87% decline

Why production collapsed:

1. **Physical destruction:** Plantations burned, mills destroyed, infrastructure devastated
2. **Labor resistance:** Former enslaved people refused to work at slavery-era pace
3. **Knowledge flight:** White managers and skilled workers fled, taking technical expertise
4. **Capital shortage:** No credit available, no investment in equipment or repairs
5. **War disruption:** 13 years of warfare (1791-1804) prevented normal agriculture

Toussaint's forced labor system partially restored production: As described in Artifact 1, Toussaint's "cultivator" system compelled former enslaved people back to plantations. This increased production modestly:

- By 1801, sugar production reached perhaps 30-40% of pre-revolution levels
- Coffee recovered better—perhaps 60-70% of pre-revolution levels by 1801
- This demonstrated that forced labor could extract increased production

But cultivators resisted: When given choice, former enslaved people preferred:

- Growing food for family consumption on small plots (provision grounds)
- Producing for local markets rather than export
- Working less intensively than under slavery
- Maintaining family cohesion and autonomy rather than plantation gang labor

Elite goals vs. cultivator goals:

New Black elite wanted: Restoration of plantation production to generate wealth and state revenue. They needed export earnings to fund military, government, and their own wealth.

Cultivators wanted: Land access, subsistence farming, family autonomy, escape from plantation labor.

This conflict—elite extraction needs vs. cultivator autonomy desires—would define Haitian political economy for next 200+ years.

Resource Exhaustion: The Costs of War

The revolution consumed enormous resources:

Human capital: Perhaps 100,000-150,000 people died during revolution (1791-1804)—enslaved rebels, white planters, free coloreds, French soldiers, British soldiers. This was 15-20% of 1789 population of approximately 600,000.

Physical capital: Most plantations damaged or destroyed. Sugar mills burned. Coffee drying facilities destroyed. Irrigation systems collapsed. Roads deteriorated. Ports damaged.

Livestock: Cattle, horses, mules were slaughtered for food during war or died from neglect. By 1804, livestock population was perhaps 25-30% of 1789 levels.

Soil depletion: 13 years of neglect meant soil erosion, fertility decline, reforestation of former fields.

Knowledge loss: Technical knowledge of sugar processing, coffee cultivation, and plantation management largely fled with white managers.

By 1804, Haiti's productive capacity was perhaps 25-30% of 1789 levels. The revolution liberated people but destroyed the colonial economy. Rebuilding would require massive investment—which Haiti didn't have and couldn't access (international isolation, no credit).

The fundamental pattern: Land concentration persisted. Large estates remained but changed ownership from white to Black/colored elites. Production collapsed due to war destruction and cultivator resistance. Elite attempted to restore production through forced labor, partially succeeding but unable to reach slavery-era levels.

This pattern—concentrated ownership, elite attempts at extraction, cultivator resistance, resulting in economic stagnation—would characterize independent Haiti. The revolution broke legal slavery but couldn't break the basic structure: elite controlling land, attempting to extract surplus from cultivators, with state power used to enforce labor discipline.

Section IV: Legal and Institutional Frameworks (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804)

The revolution destroyed one legal order (Code Noir, slavery law) and created new legal frameworks. Understanding these legal transformations reveals both rupture and continuity.

The Destruction of Code Noir (1794)

France's February 4, 1794 abolition decree eliminated the Code Noir in territories where slavery was abolished (Saint-Domingue, Guadeloupe, French Guiana). This was genuine legal rupture:

Code Noir's racial hierarchy abolished: Enslaved people were no longer property. They became legally free persons with rights. Racial categories (grand blancs, petits blancs, gens de couleur libres, esclaves) were nominally eliminated—all were citizens.

Property rights transformed: People couldn't be bought, sold, inherited, or used as collateral. Families couldn't be separated legally. Children born to formerly enslaved parents were born free.

Criminal law changed: Enslaved people gained legal standing to bring complaints, testify in court, and receive legal protections. They were no longer subject to unlimited violence from masters.

Labor relations formalized: The relationship between plantation owners and workers became contractual (in theory), not ownership.

This was revolutionary transformation: The comprehensive slave code that had governed Saint-Domingue for 109 years (1685-1794) was abolished. Legal slavery ended.

But What Replaced It? Toussaint's Labor Code

Toussaint's regulations (culminating in 1801 Constitution) created new legal framework for labor control:

The "Cultivator" system codified:

Toussaint's 1801 Constitution, Article 14: *"The state in which each cultivator was at the establishment of the present constitution will determine his future residence. Every individual is obligated to employ his time usefully."*

This meant:

- Cultivators must remain on the plantation where they were employed when constitution was promulgated
- They were "attached to the soil"—couldn't leave without permission
- Mandatory labor was legal requirement

Work requirements: Toussaint's Rural Code (issued separately) specified:

- Work hours: typically dawn to 2-3pm, six days per week
- Wages: typically one-quarter of crop value after plantation expenses
- Labor discipline enforced by military officers serving as plantation managers
- Punishments for "laziness" or refusal to work

Property rights: Toussaint's system maintained large estates. Officers and loyalists received property grants. The plantation structure persisted—only ownership changed.

Military enforcement: The army enforced labor discipline. This was explicit policy—military officers commanded plantations or supervised civilian managers. Soldiers compelled cultivators to work.

Comparison: Code Noir vs. Toussaint's System

What changed:

Element	Code Noir (1685-1794)	Toussaint's System (1798-1803)
Legal status	Enslaved (property)	Free (persons)
Family integrity	Could be separated	Families protected
Violence	Unlimited	Legally limited
Wages	None	Yes (1/4 crop value)

Sale	Could be sold	Could not be sold
Legal standing	None	Could bring complaints

What persisted:

Element	Code Noir	Toussaint's System
Mandatory labor	Yes	Yes
Attached to location	Yes (owned by master)	Yes (attached to soil)
Coerced work	Violence	Military enforcement
Property concentration	Large plantations	Large plantations
Elite extraction	White planters	Black/colored elite

The analytical insight: Legal freedom didn't equal economic autonomy. Toussaint abolished slavery but created coerced labor system that maintained plantation extraction under new legal forms. This was **legal transformation without structural transformation**.

From cultivators' perspective: Freedom meant not being property, not being sold, keeping family together, receiving wages. These were real and valuable. But it didn't mean autonomy—they still had to work plantations, couldn't leave, faced military coercion, and remained poor while elite captured most wealth.

From elite perspective: The system successfully maintained plantation production (partially) without slavery. Elite controlled land, labor, and state power. Elite legitimacy rested on having led liberation struggle—they were liberators who deserved power and property.

Dessalines' Legal Framework (1804-1806)

After independence, Dessalines issued Haiti's first constitution (1805). Key provisions:

Article 2: Slavery is forever abolished. This was permanent and absolute.

Article 3: All Haitians are Black, regardless of skin color. This redefined citizenship racially—even white Poles who fought for Haiti were declared "Black" citizens. This inverted colonial racial hierarchy completely.

Article 12: No white person, whatever his nation, may put foot in this territory as master or proprietor, and cannot in the future acquire property.

This legally excluded whites from land ownership permanently. It was retribution and protection—ensuring whites couldn't return and reclaim property.

Article 20: Dessalines named himself Emperor Jacques I with power for life.

This created imperial monarchy—Dessalines crowned himself emperor in September 1804. Haiti's first constitution was monarchical, not republican.

Labor provisions: Dessalines maintained forced labor system similar to Toussaint's. The 1805 Constitution and subsequent regulations required:

- Cultivators to remain on plantations
- Mandatory work requirements
- Military enforcement of labor discipline
- State ownership or elite ownership of plantations

The pattern continues: Different legal language (cultivator vs. slave, emperor vs. governor-general) but structure persisted—elite controlled land, state enforced labor discipline, cultivators remained poor.

Legal Rupture vs. Structural Continuity

Genuine ruptures:

1. **Slavery permanently abolished** - This was real, absolute, irreversible (unlike French 1794-1802 abolition which was reversed)
2. **Racial hierarchy inverted** - From white supremacy to Black sovereignty
3. **Property rights transformed** - People could no longer be property
4. **White ownership forbidden** - Legal barrier preventing planter class return

Structural continuities:

1. **Plantation system maintained** - Large estates persisted
2. **Forced labor legalized** - Mandatory work enforced by state
3. **Elite property concentration** - Revolutionary elite became landowners
4. **Military enforcement** - State violence maintained labor discipline
5. **Dictatorship** - Toussaint governor-for-life, Dessalines emperor—no democracy

The legal framework analysis reveals elite capture pattern: The revolution abolished slavery and destroyed white planters. But the new Black elite created legal frameworks that maintained extraction—forced labor, plantation concentration, military coercion—while using revolutionary legitimacy to justify their power.

This wasn't betrayal of revolution necessarily—the elite genuinely believed (probably) that plantation production was necessary for Haiti's survival and defense against potential French reconquest. But their solution reproduced extraction patterns under new legal forms.

Section V: Narrative Construction (Revolutionary Period, 1791-1804)

The revolution generated competing narratives to justify actions, mobilize support, and legitimate power. Understanding these narratives reveals how ideology functions in revolutionary struggles—not as sincere belief necessarily, but as **strategic tool**.

French Revolutionary Ideology: Tool of Elite Mobilization

The 1789 French Revolution's motto—*liberté, égalité, fraternité*—reached Saint-Domingue and was interpreted differently by different groups.

White planters interpreted it as: Autonomy from metropolitan France, freedom from royal/commercial restrictions, but not racial equality. They wanted colonial self-government while maintaining slavery.

Free people of color interpreted it as: Civil equality, political rights, property protections. They demanded rights to vote, hold office, and be legally equal to whites while accepting (initially) that slavery would continue. Vincent Oge's 1791 revolt demanded these rights—not abolition.

Enslaved people heard: Freedom. When French Revolutionary commissioners proclaimed *liberté*, enslaved people understood this as promise of liberation. Sonthonax's 1793 abolition explicitly invoked Revolutionary principles.

The Revolutionary ideology's function: It provided **legitimacy** for different claims. Each group could cite Revolutionary principles to justify their demands. This wasn't cynicism exactly—people genuinely believed their interpretation was correct. But it was strategic—claiming Revolutionary legitimacy was powerful tool.

Napoleon's betrayal of Revolutionary principles (documented in Artifact 1): Napoleon rose to power claiming to defend Revolutionary legacy. But regarding slavery, he:

- Publicly promised permanent abolition while secretly planning restoration
- Stated privately that he supported whites because he was white
- Calculated that 180 million francs annual revenue was worth betraying Revolutionary principles
- Used Revolutionary rhetoric to deceive Black population while implementing three-stage plan to restore slavery

Napoleon's cynicism reveals that **elite don't necessarily believe their own rhetoric**—they use ideology strategically to gain following, justify actions, and mobilize support. When ideology conflicts with power/wealth, elite often choose power/wealth.

This is the analytical insight your comment emphasized: People at the top don't believe the narratives they promote. Narratives are tools for controlling followers, justifying positions, mobilizing support. Napoleon's documented deception—public promises vs. secret orders—proves this explicitly.

Toussaint's Legitimation Narratives

Toussaint constructed multiple legitimating narratives:

1. Republican legitimacy (1794-1801): After switching to France in 1794, Toussaint claimed to fight for French Republic and Revolutionary principles. He:

- Wore French uniform and used French military rank
- Proclaimed loyalty to French Republic
- Claimed to defend abolition against European monarchies (Spain, Britain)
- Positioned himself as Black French Republican general

This provided legitimacy in eyes of French Revolutionary government and Black population who understood revolution as liberation.

2. Order and prosperity narrative: Toussaint justified his forced labor system by claiming:

- Saint-Domingue must restore production to survive economically
- Export revenues fund military defense against European reconquest
- Plantation labor is necessary for collective prosperity
- Individual freedom must be balanced against collective needs

His famous statement: "*Without cultivation, no colony; without a colony, no Haiti*" expressed this logic. Production was necessary for survival, therefore labor could be compelled.

3. Pragmatic authoritarianism: Toussaint's 1801 Constitution made him Governor-for-Life. He justified this as:

- Strong leadership necessary during crisis
- Democratic institutions too weak for revolutionary situation
- Military expertise required to defend against external threats
- Stability more important than political participation

These narratives served elite interests: They legitimated Toussaint's power, justified forced labor, and deflected demands for land redistribution or democratic governance. They weren't necessarily insincere—Toussaint probably believed Haiti needed strong leadership and plantation production. But they served his interests perfectly.

Dessalines' Revolutionary Nationalism

After independence, Dessalines constructed different narrative:

1. Anti-French nationalism: Dessalines rejected all French connection:

- Renamed country Haiti (indigenous name, rejecting Saint-Domingue)
- Ordered killing of remaining whites
- Declared Haitians to be Black regardless of actual ancestry
- Portrayed Haiti as complete break from France

This inverted colonial racial hierarchy completely—from white supremacy to Black sovereignty.

2. Liberation narrative: Dessalines positioned himself as liberator who completed Toussaint's work:

- He defeated Napoleon's army
- He expelled French colonialism permanently
- He abolished slavery absolutely
- He established independent Black nation

His proclamation: "*We have avenged America*"—claimed Haiti liberated New World from colonial oppression.

3. Imperial legitimacy: Dessalines crowned himself Emperor Jacques I, creating monarchy. He justified this by:

- Strong leadership necessary for defense
- Imperial grandeur demonstrated Haiti's equality with European powers
- Military glory deserved imperial honors
- Authoritarian power necessary for reconstruction

The narrative's function: Legitimated Dessalines' dictatorship, justified 1804 massacres as necessary retribution, and deflected criticism of continued forced labor by emphasizing liberation achievement.

Cultivator Counter-Narratives (Largely Unrecorded)

The cultivators—the masses who fought and died—had different understanding:

We know less about cultivator perspectives because they left few written records (most were illiterate). But their actions reveal their narrative:

Freedom meant:

- Not being property
- Not being sold or separated from family
- Ability to grow food for themselves
- Working less than under slavery
- Having time for family, community, religion (Vodou)

The gap between elite and cultivator definitions of "freedom":

Elite definition: Legal freedom from slavery, paid labor, no sale/purchase of people, but mandatory plantation work to fund state and defense.

Cultivator definition: Autonomy over their labor, access to land for subsistence, escape from plantation discipline.

This gap would cause constant conflict in independent Haiti—elite trying to compel labor, cultivators resisting.

Vodou as Resistance Narrative

Vodou served multiple functions during revolution:

Religious practice: Genuine spiritual system combining West African religions (Dahomey, Kongo, Yoruba) with Catholic elements. Provided meaning, community, ritual healing.

Organizational network: Vodou ceremonies enabled communication and coordination among enslaved people from different plantations. Shared religious practice created trust and solidarity.

Resistance ideology: Vodou ceremonies could invoke warrior spirits (like Ogou, spirit of war and iron) to legitimate armed resistance. The Bois Caïman ceremony (August 14, 1791) used Vodou ritual to consecrate revolutionary commitment.

Counter-hegemonic narrative: Vodou rejected Catholic/European cultural domination. It maintained African cultural forms, inverted Christian symbolism (Catholic saints identified with African spirits), and provided alternative worldview where African heritage was sacred, not inferior.

White planters and French authorities feared Vodou as subversive—they saw it (correctly) as potential organizing tool for resistance. After independence, elite (including Toussaint and Dessalines) were ambivalent about Vodou—they used it for legitimacy but also feared its potential to organize cultivator resistance against elite.

The Pattern: Narratives Serve Elite Power

The analytical insight:

1. **Revolutionary ideology mobilizes:** French Revolutionary principles provided powerful legitimating narrative that diverse groups used to justify resistance to slavery and colonialism.
2. **Elite capture narratives:** Toussaint and Dessalines constructed narratives justifying their dictatorship, forced labor, and property concentration as necessary for Haiti's

survival and defense.

3. **Cynical deployment:** Napoleon's documented deception (public promises vs. secret orders) proves that elite use narratives strategically, not sincerely. They claim principles they don't believe to manipulate followers.
4. **Cultivator resistance:** Masses had different understanding of liberation than elite. This gap—elite extraction vs. cultivator autonomy—would define post-independence conflicts.
5. **Vodou as counter-narrative:** African cultural forms provided alternative legitimacy outside European/elite control, enabling cultivator resistance to elite narratives.

The revolution succeeded through narrative mobilization—claims of *liberté, égalité, fraternité* mobilized masses to fight. But after victory, elite constructed new narratives justifying their power and continued extraction. The revolutionary narrative—freedom, equality, liberation—was genuine aspiration for masses but became elite legitimization tool to maintain hierarchy under new forms.

This is the pattern you wanted emphasized: Elite don't believe their rhetoric. They use narratives to control, justify, mobilize. When revolutionary rhetoric conflicts with elite interests, elite abandon or reinterpret the rhetoric to preserve their power.

Conclusion to Part 2: Revolutionary Rupture and Elite Capture

What the Haitian Revolution achieved (genuine ruptures):

1. **Abolished slavery permanently:** This was absolute achievement. Haiti never restored slavery. This distinguished Haiti from all other Caribbean plantation societies where slavery continued until 1830s-1860s.
2. **Destroyed white planter elite completely:** Through death, exile, and property seizure, the white planter class was eliminated. No other Caribbean society achieved this—only Haiti.
3. **Established Black sovereignty:** First Black republic in world, second independent nation in Western Hemisphere. This was extraordinary political achievement against overwhelming odds.
4. **Defeated three European powers:** Defeated Spanish forces, British invasion (15,000+ British casualties), and Napoleon's massive expedition (50,000+ French casualties). This

military achievement was unprecedented.

5. **Inverted racial hierarchy:** From white supremacy to Black sovereignty. Legal code forbade white land ownership. Citizenship redefined as Black regardless of ancestry.

What the revolution didn't achieve (continuities):

1. **Property relations transformed minimally:** Large estates remained, ownership changed from white to Black/colored elites. Land concentration persisted.
2. **Forced labor continued:** "Cultivator" system used military coercion to maintain plantation production. Legal form changed (not slavery) but coercion persisted.
3. **Elite dictatorship:** Toussaint governor-for-life, Dessalines emperor. No democratic institutions. Political power monopolized by revolutionary elite.
4. **Extraction patterns adapted:** Elite captured plantation wealth, state power, and revolutionary legitimacy to justify their dominance. New Black elite attempted to reproduce plantation extraction.
5. **Economic devastation:** Production collapsed 70-85%, physical capital destroyed, population reduced by war. Haiti gained freedom but lost the economic base the colonial system had created.

The elite power struggles pattern:

The revolution succeeded because **competing elite factions created openings:**

- White planters divided by French Revolution
- Free coloreds demanded civil equality
- Spanish armed and trained enslaved rebels
- British invasion created chaos
- French metropolitan weakness prevented effective response
- Revolutionary ideology provided legitimacy for resistance

Enslaved people and free coloreds exploited these openings brilliantly. But the revolutionary leadership came from enslaved elite (Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe) and free colored property owners—not field hands. Field hands provided mass base and rage. Enslaved elite provided leadership, strategy, and organization.

After victory, the **new Black elite captured revolution:**

- Revolutionary generals became landowners
- They enforced labor discipline through military power

- They constructed narratives legitimating their authority
- They concentrated political and economic power

This pattern—revolutionary rupture but elite capture—shaped independent Haiti's trajectory. The white planter elite was destroyed, but extraction patterns adapted under new elite control.

Napoleon's documented cynicism proves the analytical point: Elite use ideology strategically, not sincerely. Napoleon publicly promised permanent abolition while secretly ordering slavery restoration. His calculation was explicit: Revolutionary principles vs. 180 million francs annually. He chose the money. His three-stage deception plan—false promises, eliminate Black leadership, restore slavery—reveals elite manipulation of revolutionary rhetoric for power and wealth.

Toussaint and Dessalines weren't as cynical as Napoleon—they genuinely believed in Black liberation. But they also used revolutionary legitimacy to justify their dictatorship and forced labor system. They constructed narratives (order, prosperity, defense necessity) that served their elite interests while claiming revolutionary principles.

The central question for Parts 3 and 4: Did this pattern of elite capture and extraction adaptation continue, or did subsequent developments transform it?

Part 3 (1804-1915) will examine:

- How elite competition for state power caused chronic instability
- How French indemnity (1825-1947) continued extraction through financial mechanisms
- How lack of productive economic base perpetuated poverty despite political independence
- Whether any Haitian leaders managed structural transformation or just reproduced extraction

Part 4 (1915-2025) will examine:

- How US occupation (1915-1934) reinforced authoritarian patterns
- How Duvalier dictatorship (1957-1986) represented extraction culmination
- How contemporary crisis (gang control, state collapse) reflects 220 years of adapted extraction
- Final assessment: Did revolutionary elite destruction break extraction patterns, or did they prove so embedded that new elites reproduced them?

Haiti tests whether revolutionary rupture can break extraction. Part 2 shows the revolution was genuine (slavery abolished, planters destroyed, Black sovereignty) but elite capture was immediate (new elite reproduced extraction patterns). Parts 3-4 will reveal whether this pattern persisted across 220 years or was eventually transformed.

[End of Part 2, Artifact 2]

Part 2 Complete - Both Artifacts

Part 3 will cover 1804-1915: Independence, French Indemnity, Elite Competition, Political Instability, and Economic Stagnation

Bibliography for Part 2 (to be included in final Part 4 bibliography section):

- Dubois, Laurent. *Avengers of the New World: The Story of the Haitian Revolution*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004.
- Fick, Carolyn E. *The Making of Haiti: The Saint Domingue Revolution from Below*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1990.
- Geggus, David Patrick. *Haitian Revolutionary Studies*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2002.
- Geggus, David Patrick. *Slavery, War and Revolution: The British Occupation of Saint Domingue, 1793-1798*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1982.
- Girard, Philippe R. *The Slaves Who Defeated Napoleon: Toussaint Louverture and the Haitian War of Independence, 1801-1804*. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press, 2011.
- James, C.L.R. *The Black Jacobins: Toussaint L'Ouverture and the San Domingo Revolution*. New York: Vintage Books, 1963 [1938].
- Popkin, Jeremy D. *A Concise History of the Haitian Revolution*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- Dubois, Laurent and John D. Garrigus. *Slave Revolution in the Caribbean, 1789-1804: A Brief History with Documents*. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's, 2006.

Primary sources on Napoleon's orders:

- Napoleon's correspondence in *Correspondance générale*, particularly letters to Decrès, August 7, 1802.
- Denis Decrès secret instructions to Leclerc, June 14, 1802 (Archives Nationales, France).
- Leclerc's letters to Napoleon, published in *Lettres du Général Leclerc*.
- Law of 20 May 1802 (official French decree restoring slavery).
- Consular decrees of July 16, 1802 (Guadeloupe) and December 7, 1802 (Guiana).

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 3 of 4: Independence, Indemnity, and Elite Capture (1804-1915)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: The Indemnity and Elite Competition

Introduction: Freedom Without Transformation

On January 1, 1804, Haiti declared independence—the first Black republic in history, the only successful slave revolution, a beacon of hope for enslaved people throughout the Americas. But within 21 years, France would force Haiti to pay for its own freedom, extracting wealth for 122 years (1825-1947) and crushing any possibility of development.

This is the central tragedy of Haitian independence: **The revolution destroyed the white planter elite completely, but extraction patterns adapted rather than disappeared.** The new Black elite competed for state control, attempting to reproduce plantation production. When that largely failed, extraction continued through:

1. **French indemnity** (1825-1947): Financial extraction replacing direct plantation extraction
2. **Elite competition for state power**: Coups and instability as elite factions fought for control of state revenues
3. **Chronic underdevelopment**: No investment in education, infrastructure, or productive capacity

Part 3 examines the period 1804-1915, revealing how extraction persisted through new mechanisms even after revolutionary rupture. The analytical question: **Did independence break extraction patterns, or did they adapt and continue?**

The answer, documented across this part, is clear: **Extraction adapted and continued.** The mechanisms changed—slavery became forced labor, became financial debt, became elite state capture—but the pattern persisted. A small elite (changing composition over time) captured resources while the masses remained poor and powerless.

This part is organized chronologically first, then applies the analytical framework (Wealth Extraction Mechanisms and Information/Education Control) to reveal patterns:

Chronological narrative:

- Dessalines' empire and forced labor (1804-1806)
- Haiti splits: Christophe's kingdom vs. Pétion's republic (1806-1820)
- Boyer's reunification and the French indemnity (1820-1843)
- Post-Boyer instability and elite competition (1843-1915)

Framework analysis:

- Section VI: Wealth Extraction Mechanisms (who got what, how indemnity crushed development)
 - Section VII: Information and Education Control (language, literacy, elite privilege)
 - Conclusion assessing whether extraction broke or persisted
-

Dessalines' Empire: Liberation and Authoritarianism (1804-1806)

The Emperor Jacques I

Jean-Jacques Dessalines declared independence on January 1, 1804, proclaiming himself Governor-General. But within eight months (September 22, 1804), he crowned himself **Emperor Jacques I** of Haiti.

This was extraordinary political theater: A man born enslaved in 1758, who had worked as field laborer and driver, who had fought in revolutionary armies for 13 years, now wore imperial crown and ermine robes in elaborate coronation ceremony at Cap-Haïtien. Haiti's first constitution created not a republic but an empire—complete with hereditary nobility, imperial court, and absolute monarchical power.

Why empire?: Dessalines' reasoning (and his supporters' justification) combined multiple factors:

Emulation of European power: Creating monarchy demonstrated Haiti's equality with European empires (France, Britain, Spain). If those nations had emperors and kings, Haiti would too. This was claim to legitimacy through imperial forms.

Military necessity: Dessalines argued that defense against French reconquest required strong centralized authority. Democratic institutions were too weak and divisive for revolutionary situation. Only absolute power could maintain unity and military readiness.

Personal ambition: Dessalines wanted power and glory. He had led final military victory, he believed he deserved supreme authority.

Precedent: Toussaint had been Governor-for-Life. Napoleon had crowned himself Emperor of France (1804). Monarchy was the norm in Atlantic world—republics (United States, briefly France) were exceptions.

Dessalines' Economic Policy: Forced Labor Continues

Despite revolutionary rhetoric about freedom, Dessalines maintained and intensified Toussaint's forced labor system:

The 1805 Constitution (Haiti's first) and subsequent agricultural regulations required:

Mandatory plantation labor: Article 17 stated that agriculture is the foundation of prosperity and all citizens must contribute. Former enslaved people were classified as "cultivators" who must work plantations.

Labor discipline: Cultivators could not leave plantations without permission. They had to work assigned hours (typically dawn to early afternoon, six days per week). Wages were typically one-quarter to one-third of crop value.

Military enforcement: Dessalines used army to enforce labor discipline. His generals commanded plantations or supervised managers. Soldiers compelled cultivators to work and punished resistance.

State and elite ownership: Dessalines declared that abandoned plantations (those whose white owners had fled or been killed) were state property. He allocated many of these to his officers and allies as rewards. He himself owned multiple large estates.

The rationale: Dessalines justified forced labor with economic logic: Haiti needed export revenue to fund military defense. Sugar and coffee exports generated revenue. Export production required disciplined plantation labor. Therefore, labor could be compelled.

Production under Dessalines: The forced labor system achieved modest increases:

- Sugar production reached perhaps 25-30% of pre-1791 levels by 1806
- Coffee production reached perhaps 40-50% of pre-1791 levels
- This was increase from revolutionary chaos but far below colonial peaks

Cultivator resistance: Former enslaved people resisted plantation labor. They wanted to:

- Grow food for family consumption on small plots
- Work less intensively than under slavery or Toussaint's system
- Maintain family and community autonomy
- Participate in markets on their own terms

Many cultivators fled plantations, moved to mountains, established small provision grounds. Dessalines' response was military force—soldiers searched for "vagrants" and compelled them back to plantations.

The 1804 Massacres: Elimination of White Population

In early 1804 (February-April), Dessalines ordered systematic killing of remaining white population. This was deliberate policy:

Who was killed: French whites, Polish deserters from French army who had fought for Haiti were generally spared, some French priests and doctors were protected, some technical specialists needed for plantation operations were spared. But most French whites were killed—men, women, children, elderly. Estimates suggest 3,000-5,000 deaths.

The justification: Dessalines declared this was necessary for security. As long as whites remained, they could serve as fifth column for French reconquest. The massacres were presented as defensive measure—eliminating potential collaborators.

The reality: This was also retribution for 300 years of slavery and atrocities, elimination of potential property claimants (whites who might demand plantations back), and racial purge creating all-Black society.

The methods: Varied by location. In some areas, whites were killed quickly by soldiers. In others, mobs killed them. In Port-au-Prince and other cities, the killing was systematic—going house to house.

International reaction: The massacres horrified European powers and the United States. They reinforced view that Haiti was dangerous, barbaric, and couldn't be recognized diplomatically. This contributed to Haiti's international isolation.

The analytical significance: The massacres permanently eliminated any possibility of white planter class restoration. Unlike other Caribbean colonies where white elites persisted through abolition, Haiti had no white elite by 1804. This was **complete elite destruction**.

But this didn't break extraction—it transferred extraction capacity to new Black elite who attempted to reproduce plantation patterns.

Dessalines' Assassination (October 17, 1806)

Dessalines' authoritarianism, forced labor system, and increasingly erratic behavior created opposition among elite:

The opposition: Henri Christophe (Dessalines' general in the north), Alexandre Pétion (mulatto general in south/west), and other officers resented Dessalines' absolute power and feared his unpredictability.

The plot: On October 17, 1806, while traveling from Port-au-Prince to Cap-Haïtien, Dessalines was ambushed at Pont-Rouge (Red Bridge). His escorts fled or joined the attack. He was shot multiple times and killed. His body was mutilated—dismembered, reportedly with pieces distributed as trophies.

The aftermath: No single leader could claim succession. This led to Haiti splitting into two separate states (1806-1820): northern kingdom under Christophe, southern/western republic under Pétion.

Pattern emerging: The revolution's military elite competed for power. When one leader (Dessalines) monopolized too much power, rivals killed him. This pattern—elite competition through violence—would define Haitian politics for a century.

Haiti Divided: Two States, Two Systems (1806-1820)

Henri Christophe's Kingdom: Northern Haiti (1806-1820)

Political structure: After Dessalines' death, Henri Christophe established control of northern Haiti (approximately 1/3 of country's territory). Initially he was president (1806-1811), then crowned himself **King Henry I** in 1811, creating formal monarchy with hereditary nobility.

Christophe created elaborate royal court:

- Duke of Marmalade, Duke of Limonade, Count of Lemonade (these were actual titles)
- European-style etiquette and ceremonies
- Royal guards and military pageantry
- Attempted to demonstrate Haiti's civilization and European-style governance

Economic system—Forced labor intensified: Christophe maintained and intensified plantation forced labor:

The corvée system: Christophe required "corvée" labor (French term for mandatory unpaid labor for public works). Cultivators had to:

- Work plantations assigned hours (enforced strictly)
- Perform road maintenance and construction labor
- Contribute to building royal structures

Labor discipline: Christophe's system was more regimented than Dessalines'. Plantation managers kept detailed records. Soldiers supervised work constantly. Punishments for "laziness" were harsh—flogging, imprisonment, forced labor on public works.

Production: Under Christophe's discipline, northern Haiti achieved highest post-independence production:

- Sugar: perhaps 35-40% of pre-1791 levels
- Coffee: perhaps 60-70% of pre-1791 levels
- This demonstrated that intense coercion could increase production

Elite enrichment: Christophe and his officers became wealthy. They owned plantations, captured export revenues, and lived lavishly. Christophe built:

- **Sans-Souci Palace:** Elaborate royal palace near Milot (still partially standing today as ruins)
- **Citadelle Laferrière:** Massive stone fortress on mountain peak overlooking Cap-Haïtien—one of Caribbean's most impressive fortifications
- These structures required enormous labor—thousands of workers, years of construction

Cultivator resistance: The masses in Christophe's kingdom resented forced labor. They called it "slavery with wages" or "slavery renamed." Many fled to mountains or southern Haiti (Pétion's republic) where labor discipline was less rigid.

Christophe's suicide (1820): By 1820, Christophe's health declined (possibly stroke). Facing rebellion among his troops and general unrest, he shot himself with golden bullet on October 8, 1820, rather than be overthrown. His kingdom collapsed immediately, and northern Haiti reunified with the south under Boyer's rule.

Alexandre Pétion's Republic: Southern/Western Haiti (1806-1818)

Political structure: Alexandre Pétion (wealthy mulatto, educated, fought in revolutionary wars) controlled southern and western Haiti after Dessalines' death. He established **republic** (not monarchy) with elected president (himself, repeatedly re-elected) and senate.

Pétion's government was less autocratic than Christophe's but still authoritarian—power remained in elite hands, elections were controlled, opposition suppressed.

Economic system—Land distribution: Pétion implemented radically different approach from Christophe: **he distributed land to soldiers and cultivators.**

Land distribution program (1809-1814):

- Pétion granted small plots (typically 5-15 acres, some sources say up to 50 acres) to veterans and some cultivators
- Recipients received title to land—actual ownership, not tenancy
- They could work land as they chose—subsistence farming, market gardening, coffee cultivation (small scale)
- No forced labor requirement
- This created peasant class with land access

The significance: This was **closest Haiti came to structural transformation**. Pétion broke up large estates and distributed land to formerly enslaved people. Property concentration decreased. Cultivators gained economic autonomy.

The trade-off: Export production collapsed in southern Haiti:

- Sugar production virtually ceased (sugar requires large-scale operations)
- Coffee production continued on small peasant plots but at much lower volume
- State revenues declined dramatically—without export duties, Pétion's government had little income

Elite vs. mass interests:

- **Cultivators benefited:** They got land, autonomy, ability to feed families, escape from plantation coercion
- **Elite lost:** Large landowners saw estates broken up, export revenues declined, state had less money for military and patronage
- **State weakened:** Without export revenues, Pétion couldn't fund large military or administrative apparatus

Pétion's death (1818): Pétion died in 1818 and was succeeded by Jean-Pierre Boyer, his lieutenant. Boyer would eventually reunify Haiti (1820) after Christophe's death.

The Two Systems Compared

Element	Christophe's North	Pétion's South
Political form	Monarchy (King)	Republic (President)
Labor system	Forced labor/corvée	Voluntary labor
Land distribution	Large estates, elite ownership	Small plots, peasant ownership
Production	Higher (35-40% sugar, 60-70% coffee)	Lower (minimal sugar, 40-50% coffee)
State revenues	Higher (export duties)	Lower (limited exports)
Cultivator conditions	Worse (coerced labor)	Better (land autonomy)
Elite wealth	Greater	Less

The analytical pattern: The two systems represented competing visions:

Christophe's model: Reproduction of plantation extraction—forced labor, large estates, elite enrichment, high production, strong state. This continued extraction patterns under new elite control.

Pétion's model: Structural transformation—land distribution, peasant autonomy, declining production, weak state. This broke extraction patterns but left Haiti poor and militarily weak.

Neither was sustainable: Christophe's system generated rebellion and collapsed with his death. Pétion's system created prosperity for cultivators but state poverty.

Jean-Pierre Boyer: Reunification and the Indemnity (1820-1843)

Boyer's Consolidation of Power (1820-1822)

Jean-Pierre Boyer (1776-1850), a wealthy mulatto officer who had served under Pétion, succeeded Pétion as president in 1818. When Christophe committed suicide in 1820, Boyer moved quickly to reunify Haiti.

Northern Haiti reunification (1820): After Christophe's death, Boyer's forces entered northern Haiti. There was minimal resistance—Christophe's kingdom collapsed, and the population welcomed reunification and end of Christophe's harsh labor regime. By November 1820, Boyer controlled all of Haiti.

Conquest of Spanish Santo Domingo (1822): In February 1822, Boyer invaded and conquered Spanish-controlled eastern Hispaniola (Santo Domingo). Spain had recently returned to control (it had been independent briefly as "República del Haití Español" 1821-1822). Boyer's 12,000-man army occupied Santo Domingo with minimal resistance.

Boyer proclaimed abolition of slavery in Santo Domingo (there were approximately 9,000-10,000 enslaved people in eastern Hispaniola). He declared all of Hispaniola to be Haiti. For 22 years (1822-1844), Haiti controlled the entire island.

Why Boyer conquered Santo Domingo: Multiple reasons:

- Security: prevent European power from using eastern territory to attack Haiti
- Prestige: controlling entire island demonstrated Haitian power
- Abolition: liberate enslaved people in Spanish territory
- Land: access to fertile eastern plains and cattle

The result: By 1822, Boyer ruled all of Hispaniola—approximately 1.2 million people, entire island of 29,530 square miles. This was peak of Haitian territorial control.

Boyer's Economic Policy: Attempted Compromise

Boyer attempted to balance competing interests:

In northern Haiti (former Christophe's kingdom): Boyer reduced labor discipline somewhat but maintained state control over large estates and required cultivators to work plantations. He tried to preserve some export production.

In southern Haiti (former Pétion's republic): Boyer largely maintained Pétion's land distribution, though he stopped new distributions. Small peasant farming continued.

In eastern Haiti (Santo Domingo): Boyer attempted to implement Haitian system, alienating Dominican elites who lost their plantations and faced land redistribution.

Rural Code of 1826: Boyer issued comprehensive labor regulations attempting to:

- Maintain some plantation production through labor requirements
- Provide cultivators with wages and protections
- Balance elite needs for revenue with cultivator demands for autonomy

The code was compromise that satisfied no one: Elite complained production remained too low, cultivators complained labor was still coerced, and enforcement was difficult.

Production under Boyer: Haiti's export production remained far below colonial levels:

- Sugar: perhaps 20-25% of pre-1791 levels
- Coffee: perhaps 40-50% of pre-1791 levels

Boyer's government had modest revenues from export duties but nothing approaching colonial-era wealth.

The French Indemnity: Extortion and Financial Extraction (1825-1947)

CRITICAL SECTION: This Was Continued Extraction Through Financial Means

This is where extraction patterns adapted from direct plantation coercion to financial coercion. France couldn't militarily reconquer Haiti (too expensive, yellow fever risk, British opposition). So France extracted wealth through **debt**.

The 1825 Extortion

French pressure (1816-1825): After Napoleonic Wars ended (1815), restored Bourbon monarchy in France refused to recognize Haitian independence. French officials and dispossessed planters demanded:

- Recognition of French sovereignty (Haiti return to colonial status), or
- Compensation for "lost property" (enslaved people, plantations)

France threatened military invasion if Haiti didn't comply. French navy conducted threatening maneuvers near Haitian coast. French officials made clear: pay or face war.

Haiti's vulnerability: Haiti was internationally isolated. No European power or United States recognized Haitian independence. Britain saw Haiti as dangerous example for its Caribbean colonies. United States (still practicing slavery) feared recognizing successful slave revolution. Haiti had no allies.

Haiti's military was weak after 30 years of war and internal conflicts. French navy could blockade and bombard ports. Haiti couldn't defend against European invasion.

Boyer understood: resistance meant destruction. France had resources to reconquer Haiti if willing. Better to pay than face war.

The Ordinance of April 17, 1825: French King Charles X issued royal ordinance demanding Haiti pay **150 million francs** as compensation for "lost property."

The ordinance stated:

- France would recognize Haitian independence
- Haiti must pay 150 million francs compensation to former colonists
- Haiti must reduce import duties on French goods to half the rate charged to other nations
- France reserved right to reimpose colonial control if Haiti didn't comply

This was extortion: France had no legal or moral right to compensation. Haiti had won independence through revolution. Enslaved people didn't owe former masters payment for being freed. But France had military power to enforce demands.

July 11, 1825—Boyer accepts under duress: French warships arrived at Port-au-Prince harbor with cannons trained on the city. French Admiral Pierre Roch Jurien de la Gravière delivered ultimatum: accept the ordinance or face bombardment and invasion.

Boyer had no choice. On July 11, 1825, he signed agreement accepting the 150 million franc indemnity.

The Scale of the Extortion

How large was 150 million francs?

Haiti's economy (1825): Haiti's GDP was approximately 15-20 million francs annually. The indemnity was **8-10 times Haiti's annual GDP**.

For comparison: Imagine demanding that modern United States (GDP ~\$27 trillion) pay \$216-270 trillion. That's proportionally equivalent to what France demanded from Haiti.

Alternative comparison: France sold Louisiana to United States (1803) for \$15 million (approximately 60-80 million francs). France demanded from Haiti **twice what it received for 828,000 square miles of North American territory**.

Could Haiti pay?: No. Haiti's annual government revenues were approximately 3-4 million francs. The indemnity was 37-50 times Haiti's annual government revenues. This was mathematically impossible to pay from revenues.

France's calculation: French officials and dispossessed planters calculated Haiti's productive capacity at slavery-era levels and demanded compensation based on that. But Haiti's production was 70-80% below slavery levels. France demanded payment based on capacity Haiti no longer had.

The Borrowing Trap: Double Extraction

Since Haiti couldn't pay from revenues, Boyer had to borrow from French banks:

The 1825 loan: Boyer arranged loan of 24 million francs from French banks (Ternaux, Hagerman & Co., and others) to make first indemnity payment.

The terms: Interest rate was approximately 6% annually, with various fees and commissions. The effective cost was much higher—historians estimate Haiti received only about 60% of nominal loan value after bank fees and commissions.

This was double extraction:

1. **Indemnity itself:** France extracted 150 million francs for Haiti's own freedom
2. **Interest on loans:** French banks extracted additional wealth through interest payments

The pattern: French planters lost direct plantation profits when Haiti became independent, but they extracted wealth through financial mechanisms (indemnity + interest) for 122 years afterward.

The 1838 Reduction: Still Crushing

Negotiation: Boyer negotiated with France (1838) to reduce the indemnity. After paying approximately 30 million francs, France agreed to reduce remaining debt.

The Treaty of 1838: France reduced total indemnity from 150 million francs to **90 million francs**. Boyer had already paid about 30 million, so about 60 million remained.

This was presented as French "generosity"—reducing demands by 60 million. But 90 million francs was still:

- 6 times Haiti's annual GDP
- 22-30 times Haiti's annual government revenues
- Equivalent to demanding modern US pay ~\$160 trillion

Haiti continued paying: The reduced indemnity still required massive payments:

- Annual payments varied but averaged 1-2 million francs per year
- This was 30-40% of government revenues in typical year
- Some years, debt service consumed 50-80% of revenues

The Crushing Burden: What the Indemnity Prevented

80-90% of government revenues went to debt service (indemnity payment + interest on loans). This meant:

No investment in education:

- By 1900, Haiti had virtually no public education system
- Literacy rate: approximately 10-15%
- Few schools outside Port-au-Prince
- Most population illiterate and excluded from political participation

No infrastructure investment:

- Roads: minimal construction, existing roads deteriorated
- Bridges: few built, many in disrepair
- Ports: minimal improvement
- Irrigation: systems from colonial era collapsed, not rebuilt
- Telegraph/telephone: limited to major cities

No healthcare system:

- Few hospitals or clinics outside capital
- No public health programs
- High mortality rates (especially maternal and child mortality)
- Disease prevention minimal

No economic development:

- No credit available for agricultural improvement
- No industrial development
- No technical education or vocational training
- Economy remained subsistence agriculture and low-volume coffee exports

No military modernization:

- Army remained poorly equipped and trained
- Navy virtually nonexistent
- Coastal defenses minimal
- This left Haiti vulnerable (would enable US occupation 1915)

The pattern: Every franc sent to France was a franc not invested in Haitian development. For 122 years, the indemnity drained resources that could have built schools, roads, hospitals, and industries.

Finally Paid Off: 1947

Haiti made final indemnity payment in **1947—122 years** after France first demanded it. Three generations of Haitians paid for their ancestors' freedom.

Total paid: Estimates vary because accounting is complex (principal + interest + refinancing), but historians estimate:

- Principal: 90 million francs (after 1838 reduction)
- Interest and fees: massive additional costs
- Total in 1947 dollars: difficult to calculate precisely
- **Modern equivalent:** Estimates range from \$21 billion to \$115 billion in present-day value

The New York Times investigation (2022): Recent research by *The New York Times* used modern economic methods to estimate the total cost. They calculated that the indemnity and related debt service cost Haiti approximately \$21 billion in present-day dollars—equivalent to all foreign aid Haiti received 1990-2022.

Other scholars estimate higher costs (\$60-115 billion) when calculating compound lost development—not just direct payments but also lost opportunities for investment and economic growth.

Analytical Assessment: Extraction Adapted, Not Ended

The French indemnity was continuation of colonial extraction through financial means:

Colonial period (1697-1791):

- France extracted wealth through slavery and plantation production
- Mechanism: Direct coercion, forced labor, export of commodities
- Beneficiaries: French merchants, planters, refiners, ship owners

Revolutionary period (1791-1804):

- Extraction temporarily disrupted by revolution and war
- White planters destroyed, production collapsed

Independence period (1825-1947):

- France extracted wealth through financial coercion
- Mechanism: Indemnity payments + interest on debt
- Beneficiaries: French government, French banks, dispossessed French planters who received compensation

The pattern persisted: France extracted enormous wealth from Haiti for 250 years (1697-1947):

- First 94 years (1697-1791): through plantation slavery (~2.8 billion francs in cumulative exports, rough estimate)
- Next 122 years (1825-1947): through debt service (90 million francs principal + interest, total cost ~150-200 million francs with compound interest)

Haiti paid France for 122 years for the right to be free. This was one of greatest injustices in modern history—enslaved people who won their freedom through revolution, then forced to compensate former masters for "lost property" (themselves).

Why this matters analytically: The indemnity proves that **extraction patterns can adapt and survive even revolutionary rupture**. France lost military and direct political control but maintained economic extraction through debt. Elite tactics adapted from coercion to financial mechanisms.

This pattern—extraction surviving through adaptation—is key to understanding Haitian development failure. It wasn't simply mismanagement or cultural factors. It was **systematic financial extraction for 122 years** that prevented any possibility of development.

Post-Boyer Political Instability: Elite Competition (1843-1915)

Boyer Overthrown (1843)

By 1843, Boyer's rule had lasted 25 years (1818-1843). Opposition grew from multiple sources:

Elite dissatisfaction: Black elite (officers, government officials) resented mulatto dominance in Boyer's government. Boyer and his close associates were mostly mulatto (light-skinned mixed-race), and darker-skinned Blacks felt excluded from power and wealth.

Dominican resistance: Eastern Hispaniola (Santo Domingo) resented Haitian rule. Dominican elites wanted independence. They rebelled repeatedly against Boyer's government.

Indemnity burden: The crushing debt payments caused widespread poverty and resentment.

Authoritarian fatigue: Boyer had been president for 25 years without real elections or political competition.

In March 1843, coalition of army officers and elite factions overthrew Boyer. He fled to Jamaica and eventually France, where he died in 1850. He never returned to Haiti.

Chronic Instability: 1843-1915

The statistics are shocking: Between Boyer's overthrow (1843) and US occupation (1915), Haiti had:

22 different heads of state in 72 years

Removed by coup or assassination: Most (approximately 15-16 of 22) were removed violently rather than through elections

Average tenure: Approximately 3-4 years per ruler

Pattern: A general or politician would seize power through coup, rule for a few years, extract what wealth they could, then be overthrown by the next general or politician.

Why Such Instability? Elite Competition for State Control

The analytical explanation: Haiti had no productive economic base. Plantation production had collapsed 70-85% from colonial levels. Peasant subsistence farming didn't generate taxable surplus. Export revenues (coffee primarily) were modest.

The state was the only source of wealth accumulation. Controlling the state meant:

- Access to customs revenues (import/export duties)
- Ability to grant contracts and concessions
- Control over patronage appointments
- Power to demand "contributions" from merchants and landowners

Since state control was only path to wealth, elite competed ruthlessly for it. Coups were elite power struggles over access to limited state revenues.

The pattern:

1. General X overthrows President Y through military coup
2. General X becomes president, distributes positions to his allies

3. They extract what wealth they can from state revenues
4. General Z (rival faction) organizes coup against General X
5. General Z becomes president, repeat cycle

This wasn't random violence—it was **rational elite competition** for scarce resources. But it prevented any stable government from making long-term investments or reforms.

Elite Factions: Color and Regional

Color divisions: Haiti's elite fractured partly along color lines:

Mulatto elite (light-skinned, mixed-race): Tended to be:

- Wealthier (descendants of free coloreds who owned property pre-independence)
- More educated (access to French education)
- Concentrated in Port-au-Prince and southern cities
- Favored "civilized" governance modeled on France

Black elite (darker-skinned): Tended to be:

- Military officers and their descendants
- Concentrated in rural areas and north
- Favored strong military leadership
- Resented mulatto dominance

These divisions weren't absolute—plenty of exceptions existed. But color became proxy for elite factions competing for power. Coups often had racial dimension: Black officers overthrowing mulatto president, or vice versa.

Regional divisions: North vs. south/west rivalries persisted from Christophe/Pétion split. Northern elites resented Port-au-Prince's dominance. Southern elites controlled capital and commerce.

Sample Instability (Selected Presidents 1843-1915)

Charles Rivière-Hérard (1843-1844): Overthrew Boyer. Lost Santo Domingo independence war (Dominicans declared independence 1844). Overthrown after 14 months.

Philippe Guerrier (1844-1845): President for 13 months. Died in office (possibly poisoned).

Faustin Soulouque (1847-1859): Former slave who became general. Declared himself Emperor Faustin I (1849). Attempted to reconquer Dominican Republic (failed). Overthrown 1859.

Fabre Geffrard (1859-1867): Overthrew Soulouque. Relatively stable rule. Signed concordat with Vatican (1860) giving Catholic Church official status. Overthrown 1867.

Sylvain Salnave (1867-1869): Ruled during civil war. Captured and executed by rivals (1870).

Lysius Salomon (1879-1888): Relatively long rule. Black nationalist. Built some infrastructure. Faced multiple rebellions. Overthrown 1888.

Florvil Hyppolite (1889-1896): Died in office (stroke or poisoning suspected).

Pierre Nord Alexis (1902-1908): Age 83 when he took power. Overthrown at age 89.

Antoine Simon (1908-1911): Overthrown after 3 years.

Cincinnatus Leconte (1911-1912): Presidential palace exploded (August 8, 1912), killing him. Possibly assassination, possibly accident with ammunition.

Tancrède Auguste (1912-1913): Died mysteriously after 4 months (poison suspected).

Michel Oreste (1913-1914): Overthrown after 8 months.

Oreste Zamor (1914): Held power 9 months. Overthrown.

Joseph Davilmar Théodore (1914-1915): Held power 4 months. Overthrown.

Vilbrun Guillaume Sam (1915): Held power 4 months. Massacred 167 political prisoners. Angry mob stormed French legation where he had taken refuge, dragged him out, killed him, dismembered his body. **This provoked US intervention** (July 1915).

The Pattern: Elite Competition, No Development

What elites competed for: State revenues, patronage, commercial concessions. Since Haiti had minimal productive economy, controlling the state was only path to wealth.

What elites didn't do: Build schools, construct infrastructure, develop industries, invest in agriculture, create institutions. Each government focused on enriching itself and its faction before being overthrown.

The result: 72 years of instability (1843-1915) left Haiti desperately poor:

- No roads connecting major cities
- Minimal education system
- No healthcare infrastructure
- No industrial capacity
- Coffee exports as only significant revenue source
- Most population living in rural subsistence poverty
- Government unable to maintain order even in capital

By 1915, Haiti was "failed state" by modern standards: Government couldn't control territory, provide basic services, or maintain order. This vulnerability invited US intervention.

The Dominican Independence: Loss of Eastern Territory (1844)

A brief but important development: **Dominican Republic declared independence from Haiti in 1844.**

Background: Boyer had conquered eastern Hispaniola in 1822. For 22 years, Haiti controlled entire island. But Dominicans resented Haitian rule:

- Land redistribution hurt Dominican plantation owners
- Spanish language and culture suppressed in favor of French/Kreyòl
- Taxes sent to Port-au-Prince rather than invested locally
- Military occupation by Haitian forces

February 27, 1844: Dominican elites declared independence. Haitian forces initially tried to reconquer Dominican Republic but failed. By 1856, Haiti recognized Dominican independence.

The significance: Haiti lost one-third of island's territory. This reduced Haiti's potential resource base and demonstrated military weakness. It also meant Dominican development trajectory would diverge completely from Haitian—providing natural comparison case (same island, different colonial legacy, different post-independence trajectory).

Dominican Republic would eventually develop better infrastructure, education, and economy than Haiti—partly due to avoiding French indemnity burden, partly due to Spanish colonial legacy being somewhat less destructive than French, partly due to later independence (1844 vs. 1804) meaning less time isolated.

Economic Stagnation: 1804-1915

Production data (approximate, various sources):

Coffee (Haiti's primary export by 1850s):

- 1791: 43,000 tons
- 1850: ~20,000 tons (47% of 1791)
- 1900: ~30,000 tons (70% of 1791)

Coffee production partially recovered because:

- Grew on small peasant plots (didn't require plantation scale)

- Suited to mountain terrain where small farmers settled
- Required less capital investment than sugar

Sugar (collapsed almost completely):

- 1791: 93,000 tons
- 1850: ~3,000 tons (3% of 1791)
- 1900: ~5,000 tons (5% of 1791)

Sugar production never recovered because:

- Required large-scale plantation operations
- Required substantial capital for mills and equipment
- Cultivators refused to work sugar plantations
- Haiti had no credit to invest in sugar machinery

Other exports: Cotton and indigo production essentially ceased after 1804.

The economy by 1900:

- 90% of population engaged in subsistence agriculture
- Coffee exports generated modest revenues
- Virtually no manufacturing
- No industrial development
- Imports exceeded exports (trade deficit)
- Government dependent on customs revenues (import/export duties)

Why didn't economy develop?

1. **French indemnity drained resources** (80-90% of revenues to debt service)
2. **No credit available** (international banks wouldn't lend to Haiti)
3. **International isolation** (no diplomatic recognition meant no trade treaties or investment)
4. **Political instability** (22 governments in 72 years, no long-term planning)
5. **Cultivator resistance to wage labor** (understandable given slavery history, but meant no labor force for industries)
6. **Elite extraction rather than investment** (elite captured state revenues for consumption, not productive investment)

Elite behavior: Haitian elite consumed rather than invested. They:

- Built mansions in Port-au-Prince
- Imported luxury goods from Europe
- Sent children to France for education
- Extracted from state and consumed

They did NOT:

- Build factories
- Develop export industries
- Invest in agriculture
- Create technical schools
- Fund infrastructure

This pattern—elite consumption rather than investment—characterized ruling class behavior 1804-1915.

Why Elite Didn't Invest: Structural Explanation

Elite rational response to constraints:

Given that:

1. State was only reliable source of income
2. Each government lasted only 3-4 years average
3. Next coup could kill you or exile you
4. International investment was impossible (no credit)

Rational elite strategy was: Extract what you can while in power, move wealth to safe foreign accounts, consume or store portable wealth (gold, foreign currency), prepare for exile.

Long-term productive investment made no sense because:

- You wouldn't control government long enough to benefit
- Next government would seize assets
- International instability made any business risky

This was rational calculation given structural constraints. But it perpetuated underdevelopment.

[End of Part 3, Artifact 1]

Artifact 2 will cover:

- Section VI: Wealth Extraction Mechanisms (detailed analysis of who got what wealth)
- Section VII: Information and Education Control (language politics, literacy, elite privilege)
- Conclusion to Part 3 (assessing whether extraction broke or persisted)

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 3 of 4: Independence, Indemnity, and Elite Capture (1804-1915)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Framework Analysis

Section VI: Wealth Extraction Mechanisms (1804-1915)

This section systematically analyzes who captured wealth in independent Haiti, how they captured it, and where that wealth went. The analysis reveals that extraction patterns adapted but persisted through elite capture and French financial coercion.

The Wealth Flows: Who Got What?

Where wealth came from (Haiti's limited revenue sources):

1. Coffee exports: Primary source, generating perhaps 60-70% of export revenues by 1850s
2. Sugar exports: Minimal after 1804, only 5-10% of export revenues
3. Customs revenues: Import and export duties (tariffs)
4. Internal taxes: Minimal—difficult to collect from subsistence farmers
5. Foreign loans: Borrowed from French banks to pay indemnity

Total annual revenues (approximate):

- 1810s: ~2-3 million francs
- 1830s: ~3-4 million francs
- 1850s: ~4-5 million francs
- 1900s: ~6-8 million francs

These were modest revenues for a nation of 400,000-500,000 people (1850) growing to 1.5-2 million (1900).

Where wealth went (the extraction flows):

1. To France: The Indemnity and Debt Service (1825-1947)

The dominant extraction mechanism:

Annual payments to France (approximate):

- 1825-1838: ~2 million francs/year (before reduction)
- 1838-1915: ~1-1.5 million francs/year on average

As percentage of government revenues:

- 1830s: 50-70% of revenues to France
- 1840s-1850s: 40-60% of revenues to France
- 1860s-1900s: 30-50% of revenues to France
- 1900s-1915: 20-40% of revenues to France

The percentage declined over time as Haiti's economy grew slightly, but absolute amounts remained crushing.

Cumulative extraction (rough estimate):

- 1825-1947: 90 million francs principal + 60-100 million francs interest/fees
- Total: 150-190 million francs paid to France over 122 years
- Modern equivalent: \$21-115 billion (depending on calculation method)

Who received this wealth in France?

French government: Collected indemnity payments and distributed to:

- Dispossessed planters and their heirs: Received compensation for "lost property"
- French treasury: Used funds for general budget

French banks: Lent money to Haiti to pay indemnity, collected interest:

- Ternaux, Hagerman & Co.
- Rothschild Bank (later involvement)
- Other French financial institutions

The pattern: French planters who lost direct profits from Haitian plantations (1791-1804) received compensation through indemnity payments (1825-1947). The mechanism changed from direct exploitation to financial extraction, but the recipients remained the same (French elite).

2. To Haitian Elite: State Capture and Consumption

The remaining government revenues (after debt service) went primarily to elite consumption:

Military expenditures:

- **Typical government: 30-40% of budget to military (after debt service)**
- **This funded army of 3,000-5,000 soldiers**
- **Officers were elite family members—military positions were patronage**

Government salaries:

- **President and cabinet ministers: substantial salaries**
- **Government bureaucrats: patronage appointments for elite families**
- **These consumed perhaps 20-30% of remaining budget**

Presidential/elite consumption:

- **Presidential palace maintenance and ceremonies**
- **Elite imported luxury goods (French wines, European furniture, clothing)**
- **Travel to Europe for elite families**
- **Education in France for elite children**

Minimal public investment: After debt service, military, and elite consumption, perhaps 5-15% of budget remained for:

- **Roads (minimal construction)**
- **Schools (almost none)**
- **Healthcare (virtually none)**
- **Economic development (zero)**

Elite wealth sources beyond state:

Land ownership: Revolutionary elite and their descendants owned large estates:

- **Toussaint's descendants owned plantations**
- **Dessalines' heirs owned estates**
- **Christophe's family owned northern properties**
- **Pétion's associates owned land in south**
- **Boyer's mulatto elite owned properties**

These families collected rents from cultivators working their land or exported coffee from their estates.

Commerce: Elite families controlled:

- **Import/export businesses**
- **Merchant operations in Port-au-Prince**

- Wholesale trade
- Coffee export operations

Government contracts: Those with political connections received:

- Supply contracts for government
- Concessions for public works
- Tax collection contracts (individuals paid government for right to collect taxes, keeping portion)

The pattern: A small elite class (perhaps 1-3% of population—200-1,000 families) captured:

- State revenues (after debt service)
- Land ownership (large estates)
- Commercial operations (import/export)
- Government patronage

They consumed this wealth rather than investing productively. Their strategy was rational given instability: extract while in power, store wealth safely, prepare for next coup.

3. What Average Haitians Received: Minimal and Declining

Cultivators and peasants (85-90% of population):

Positive:

- Freedom (not enslaved, couldn't be sold)
- Land access (some, especially in south after Pétion's distributions)
- Family integrity (couldn't be separated)
- Subsistence autonomy (could grow food for families)

Negative:

- No education (no schools in rural areas)
- No healthcare (no doctors or clinics)
- No infrastructure (roads terrible, no bridges, no markets)
- No credit (no banks serving small farmers)
- Low coffee prices (merchants and exporters captured most value)
- Taxation without services (paid taxes, received nothing)

Urban workers (5-10% of population):

- Port workers, artisans, domestic servants
- Wages were low

- No labor protections
- No schools for their children
- No social services

The reality: Average Haitians gained legal freedom but remained desperately poor. They had autonomy over their labor (positive) but no state support, no investment, no development, no services.

Their material conditions improved from slavery (absolutely better) but remained worse than virtually any other independent nation in the Americas. By 1900, Haiti had:

- GDP per capita: perhaps \$200-250 (1900 dollars), among lowest in hemisphere
- Life expectancy: ~35 years
- Literacy: ~10-15%
- Child mortality: extremely high (estimates 200-300 per 1,000 births)

Quantitative Summary: Where Haiti's Wealth Went (1825-1915)

Total government revenues (cumulative, rough estimate): ~500-600 million francs over 90 years

Distribution:

- To France (indemnity + interest): ~150-190 million francs (30-35% of total)
- To military (mostly elite officers): ~150-180 million francs (30% of total)
- To elite consumption (salaries, luxuries, patronage): ~120-150 million francs (20-25% of total)
- To minimal public investment (roads, schools, infrastructure): ~30-50 million francs (5-10% of total)

The pattern: 85-90% of Haiti's wealth went to France, military, and elite consumption. Only 5-10% went to public investment that might benefit average Haitians.

Comparison: What Could Have Been

If Haiti had not paid indemnity: 150-190 million francs over 90 years could have funded:

Education: Building and operating schools

- Cost per primary school: ~20,000-30,000 francs (construction + 10 years operation)
- With 150 million francs: 5,000-7,500 schools
- Could have achieved universal primary education

Infrastructure: Roads, bridges, ports, irrigation

- Cost per mile of road: ~10,000-15,000 francs
- With 150 million francs: 10,000-15,000 miles of roads (enough to connect entire country)

Healthcare: Hospitals and clinics

- Cost per small rural clinic: ~30,000-50,000 francs
- With 150 million francs: 3,000-5,000 clinics (enough for comprehensive rural healthcare)

Agricultural development:

- Irrigation systems
- Agricultural extension services
- Credit cooperatives
- Processing facilities

Instead, Haiti paid France. Every franc sent to Paris was a franc not invested in Haitian development.

The counterfactual (thought experiment): If Haiti had retained those 150-190 million francs and invested them wisely, Haiti in 1915 might have:

- Near-universal literacy
- Good road system
- Basic healthcare infrastructure
- Productive agriculture
- Some industrial development

Instead, Haiti in 1915 was among the poorest, least developed nations in hemisphere.

Elite Consumption Patterns: Evidence of Extraction

Where elite spent their wealth:

Import data (fragmentary, but indicative):

- French wines, champagnes: imported by elite for consumption
- European furniture and decorative goods: for elite mansions
- Fine clothing and fabrics: for elite families
- Jewelry and luxury items: elite consumption

Port-au-Prince elite district: By late 1800s, wealthy elite quarter of Port-au-Prince had:

- Large mansions built in European style
- Imported furnishings and decorations

- European-educated servants
- Carriages and horses

Education abroad: Elite sent children to France for education:

- Cost per child: 2,000-5,000 francs per year (tuition, living expenses)
- Dozens of elite children studied in France annually
- This was enormous expense—enough to fund rural schools

European travel: Elite families vacationed in France:

- Travel costs: 1,000-3,000 francs per person
- Staying months in Paris or French resorts
- Purchasing European goods to bring back

The contrast: Elite lived European lifestyle with French wines, European education, European fashions, while:

- 85% of population couldn't read
- Most children never attended school
- Rural areas had no roads, no clinics, no markets
- Average life expectancy was 35 years

This wasn't accidental inequality—it was extraction system functioning. Elite captured state revenues and commercial profits, consumed them conspicuously, while masses received nothing.

The Adaptation Pattern: Extraction Through Three Mechanisms

Colonial period (1697-1791): Direct extraction through slavery

- Mechanism: Forced labor producing exports
- Beneficiaries: French planters, merchants, Crown

Early independence (1804-1825): Elite attempt to restore plantation extraction

- Mechanism: Forced labor under new legal forms
- Beneficiaries: New Black elite (Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe)
- Outcome: Largely failed—production collapsed, cultivators resisted

Financial extraction (1825-1947): France extracts through debt

- Mechanism: Indemnity payments + interest
- Beneficiaries: France (government and banks), dispossessed planters
- Outcome: Successful—drained 30-35% of Haiti's wealth for 122 years

Elite state capture (1804-1915): New elite capture government revenues

- **Mechanism:** Control of state, patronage, commerce, land ownership
- **Beneficiaries:** Revolutionary elite families and their descendants
- **Outcome:** Successful—elite consumed 50-60% of remaining wealth

The pattern across all three: Small elite captured wealth, masses remained poor, no productive investment, extraction continued through adapted mechanisms.

Section VII: Information and Education Control (1804-1915)

Education and information control maintained elite power by:

1. Keeping masses illiterate and politically disempowered
2. Using French language as barrier to political participation
3. Limiting access to knowledge that might enable resistance
4. Reproducing elite privilege through exclusive education access

The Education System: Elite Privilege Institutionalized

Post-independence education policy:

After independence, Haitian elite expressed commitment to education. The 1805 Constitution mentioned education as right. But these were words without implementation.

Reality vs. rhetoric:

Constitutional promises: Various Haitian constitutions (1805, 1816, 1843, 1846, 1867, 1879, 1889) proclaimed:

- Education is important for citizens
- Government should provide schools
- Literacy necessary for participation

Actual investment: Minimal. Education typically received 2-5% of government budget (and budget was small after debt service).

School construction (1804-1900):

Primary schools:

- Port-au-Prince: 5-10 primary schools by 1900
- Other cities: 1-2 schools each
- Rural areas: almost none

Total: Perhaps 50-75 primary schools in entire country by 1900

Coverage: For population of ~1.5 million (1900), with perhaps 250,000-300,000 children of school age, schools served maybe 5,000-10,000 students (2-4% of school-age children).

Secondary schools:

- Port-au-Prince: 2-3 secondary schools (lycées)
- Cap-Haïtien: 1 secondary school
- Total enrollment: perhaps 500-1,000 students nationally (1900)

Higher education:

- No university in Haiti until 1920 (after this period)
- Elite children studied in France

Literacy Rates: Masses Excluded

Estimates (imprecise, but indicative):

1804: Literacy ~1-2% (only some formerly free coloreds and educated enslaved people)

1850: Literacy ~5-8%

1900: Literacy ~10-15%

1915: Literacy ~15-20%

By comparison (1900 literacy rates):

- United States: ~90%
- France: ~85%
- Argentina: ~50%
- Brazil: ~35%
- Jamaica (British): ~40%
- Cuba (Spanish): ~45%

Haiti's literacy rate was lowest in Western Hemisphere despite being first to achieve independence (1804) and first to abolish slavery (1791-1794).

Why so low?

1. **Minimal investment:** 2-5% of budget to education, and budget was small after debt service
2. **Elite disinterest:** Elite educated children in France; they didn't need Haitian schools
3. **Rural neglect:** 90% of population lived in rural areas with zero schools
4. **Language barrier:** Schools taught in French, but 95% spoke only Kreyòl
5. **No compulsory education:** No law requiring school attendance
6. **Poverty:** Families needed children's labor for survival; couldn't spare them for school

Language Politics: French vs. Kreyòl as Class Barrier

The linguistic situation:

French: Official language

- Language of government, law, education, elite culture
- Spoken by ~5% of population (elite)
- Required for government employment, political participation, legal proceedings

Haitian Kreyòl: Spoken language

- Spoken by ~95% of population (and by elite in informal settings)
- Not recognized as official language
- Not used in government, schools, or courts
- Stigmatized as "corrupt French" or "peasant language"

The barrier effect:

Political participation:

- Voting technically open to literate males (property requirements varied by period)
- But "literate" meant French literacy
- This excluded 85-90% of men
- Elections were elite affairs—small percentage voting

Legal access:

- Courts conducted in French
- Peasants couldn't understand proceedings
- Needed intermediaries (who might exploit them)
- Legal system inaccessible to most Haitians

Government employment:

- Required French literacy

- This limited positions to elite families
- Perpetuated elite dominance of state apparatus

Education barrier:

- Schools taught in French
- Children arriving speaking only Kreyòl faced enormous barrier
- Many dropped out early because they couldn't understand instruction
- This perpetuated illiteracy

Cultural hierarchy:

- Speaking French was marker of elite status
- Kreyòl associated with poverty, ignorance, peasant status
- Elite viewed Kreyòl as inferior, corrupted language
- This cultural stigma reinforced class hierarchy

Comparative note: Other Caribbean colonies also had language divisions (English vs. Creole in Jamaica, Spanish vs. Creole in Cuba), but nowhere was the divide as extreme or exclusionary as Haiti's French/Kreyòl split.

Catholic Church: Ideological Control

Post-independence, the Catholic Church played complex role:

Initial period (1804-1860): Church-state conflict

- Haiti broke with Vatican (Dessalines killed French priests)
- Vatican didn't recognize Haiti
- Few priests, minimal Church presence
- Vodou flourished in this vacuum

Concordat of 1860: President Geffrard negotiated agreement with Vatican

- Vatican recognized Haiti
- Catholic Church given official status
- French priests returned to Haiti
- Church ran most schools (the few that existed)

Church role in education:

- Church schools charged fees—only elite could afford
- Curriculum emphasized French language and culture
- Catholic doctrine taught, Vodou condemned
- This reinforced elite cultural dominance

Ideological function:

- Church preached obedience to authority
- Condemned "superstition" (meaning Vodou and African cultural practices)
- Promoted French/European culture as civilized
- This legitimated elite dominance

But Church also:

- Provided some literacy education (limited)
- Ran some charity operations
- Created social institutions beyond state

The pattern: Church reinforced elite dominance through cultural hierarchy (French/Catholic = civilized, Kreyòl/Vodou = backward) while providing minimal social services.

Information Control: Limiting Political Consciousness

Press and publications:

Newspapers: By 1900, Port-au-Prince had 5-10 newspapers

- Published in French
- Read only by literate elite (10-15% of population)
- Politically partisan—represented elite factions
- Rural population had no access to press

Books and literature:

- Elite imported French books
- Haitian authors published in French for elite audience
- Almost no Kreyòl publications
- Illiterate majority had no access to written information

Political discourse:

- Conducted entirely in French
- In newspapers, government documents, political speeches
- Masses excluded from formal politics
- Rural cultivators disconnected from national politics

Elite monopoly on information:

- Elite controlled newspapers and publications
- Elite controlled education system

- Elite controlled government communications
- This maintained elite power by preventing mass political organization

Vodou as alternative information network:

- Vodou ceremonies allowed communication among cultivators
- Oral traditions preserved history and resistance narratives
- This was beyond elite control—which is why elite (and Church) condemned it

Elite Education: Reproducing Privilege

How elite educated their children:

Primary: Private tutors or elite schools in Port-au-Prince

- Instruction in French
- European curriculum
- Fees: 100-300 francs per year (enormous for average Haitian earning 50-100 francs/year)

Secondary: Lycées in Port-au-Prince

- French curriculum (similar to France)
- Prepared students for French baccalaureate exam
- Fees: 200-500 francs per year

Higher education: France

- Elite sent children to French universities
- Cost: 2,000-5,000 francs per year (tuition, living, travel)
- Duration: 4-7 years typical
- Total cost per child: 10,000-30,000 francs
- Only wealthiest families could afford this

The reproduction of privilege:

Elite children received:

- French-language education
- European cultural capital
- University degrees
- Professional credentials (law, medicine, etc.)
- Social networks with other elite families

This prepared them for:

- Government positions
- Professional careers
- Continuing elite dominance

Peasant children received:

- No education (85-90% never attended school)
- No French literacy
- No credentials
- No access to government or professional careers

This ensured:

- Intergenerational poverty
- Continued elite dominance
- Reproduction of class structure

The pattern: Education system functioned as class reproduction mechanism, not as pathway to mobility. Elite invested heavily in their children's education while ensuring masses remained illiterate.

Comparative Context: Why Haiti Was Extreme

Other Caribbean societies also had:

- Elite monopoly on education
- Language barriers (colonial language vs. creole)
- Limited rural schools

But Haiti was extreme because:

1. Lowest literacy in hemisphere (10-15% in 1900 vs. 35-50% typical elsewhere)
2. Minimal investment (2-5% of budget vs. 10-20% typical elsewhere)
3. Most rigid language barrier (French vs. Kreyòl, with no overlap)
4. Longest elite control (1804-1915 = 111 years of independence with no expansion of education)
5. French indemnity (drained resources that could have funded education)

Why extreme?:

- French indemnity absorbed resources that could have funded schools
- Elite disinterest (they educated children in France, didn't need Haitian schools)
- Elite fear (educated masses might challenge elite power)
- Political instability (no government lasted long enough to implement education programs)

The Consequences: Political Disempowerment

By 1915, the education/information system had created:

Masses: 85-90% of population

- Illiterate (couldn't read French or anything else)
- Politically excluded (couldn't vote, didn't understand government)
- Economically marginalized (subsistence farming)
- Culturally stigmatized (Kreyòl seen as inferior)
- No access to formal institutions

Elite: 5-10% of population

- French-literate
- Politically dominant (only they could participate)
- Economically privileged (government jobs, professions, commercial operations)
- Culturally "French" (identified with France, disdained Haitian masses)
- Total control of formal institutions

This was information/education system functioning as control mechanism: By keeping masses illiterate, excluding them through language barriers, and reproducing elite privilege through education access, the system maintained elite power generation after generation.

Conclusion to Part 3: Extraction Adapted, Not Broken

Assessment: Did the Revolution Break Extraction Patterns?

What the revolution destroyed (genuine ruptures, 1791-1804):

1. Slavery legally abolished—permanent and absolute
2. White planter class destroyed—killed, exiled, property seized
3. Black sovereignty established—first Black republic
4. Racial hierarchy inverted—white supremacy replaced by Black power

These were real, substantial achievements.

But what persisted (continuities, 1804-1915):

1. Elite domination: New Black elite replaced white planters
2. Forced labor: "Cultivator" system initially continued plantation coercion (though partially broke down)

3. **Property concentration:** Large estates remained in elite hands
4. **Political authoritarianism:** No democracy—dictators, emperors, presidents-for-life
5. **Education exclusion:** Masses kept illiterate, elite monopolized education
6. **Language barriers:** French vs. Kreyòl maintained class divisions

What emerged (adaptations, 1825-1915):

1. **French financial extraction:** Indemnity drained 30-35% of wealth for 122 years—this was continuation of colonial extraction through financial means
2. **Elite state capture:** Elite competed for state control as only source of wealth
3. **Chronic instability:** 22 governments in 72 years—elite competition for scarce resources
4. **Underdevelopment:** No investment in education, infrastructure, productive capacity
5. **Consumption not investment:** Elite consumed rather than invested

The Central Finding: Extraction Adapted Through Three Mechanisms

Mechanism 1—Direct plantation extraction (1697-1804):

- France extracted wealth through slavery and forced plantation labor
- Eliminated by revolutionary rupture (1791-1804)

Mechanism 2—Elite attempted reproduction (1804-1825):

- New Black elite tried to restore plantation production using forced labor
- Partially successful (production rose modestly) but cultivators resisted
- Largely broke down as peasants gained land access (especially in south)

Mechanism 3—Financial extraction (1825-1947):

- France extracted wealth through indemnity and debt service
- Successful—drained 150-190 million francs over 122 years
- This was adaptation of extraction from direct coercion to financial coercion

Mechanism 4—Elite state capture (1804-1915):

- Elite controlled state revenues, land, commerce
- Competed through coups for access to scarce state resources
- Consumed wealth rather than investing
- This was new elite reproducing extraction patterns at lower intensity

Why Extraction Persisted: Structural Analysis

International factors:

1. **French indemnity:** Forced by French military threat, drained resources for 122 years
2. **International isolation:** No diplomatic recognition, no trade treaties, no investment
3. **No credit access:** International banks wouldn't lend (except for indemnity-related loans)
4. **Hostile powers:** US and European powers feared Haitian example, isolated Haiti

Domestic factors:

1. **No productive economic base:** Plantation production collapsed 70-85%, no alternative developed
2. **Elite competition:** With no productive economy, state was only source of wealth, causing coups
3. **Elite consumption:** Rational response to instability was extract and consume, not invest
4. **Cultivator resistance:** Understandably refused plantation labor, but limited economic development options
5. **No state capacity:** Chronic instability prevented any government from building institutions

Ideological factors:

1. **Elite French identification:** Elite identified culturally with France, disdained Haitian masses
2. **Language barriers:** French/Kreyòl divide excluded masses from political participation
3. **Education exclusion:** Elite kept masses illiterate to maintain power
4. **Cultural stigma:** Kreyòl and Vodou stigmatized as backward, French and Catholic as civilized

Comparison to Pétion's Alternative: The Road Not Taken

Pétion's land distribution (1809-1818) represented potential structural transformation:

- **Broke up large estates**
- **Distributed land to cultivators and veterans**
- **Created peasant class with property ownership**
- **Eliminated forced labor**

The results were mixed:

Positive:

- **Cultivators gained land, autonomy, ability to feed families**
- **Small-scale coffee production continued on peasant plots**
- **Escaped plantation coercion**

- This was genuine improvement in material conditions for masses

Negative:

- Export production declined (sugar collapsed, coffee reduced)
- State revenues fell (no export duties from large-scale production)
- State weakened militarily and administratively
- Elite couldn't enrich themselves as easily

Why Pétion's model didn't spread:

1. Elite opposed: Large landowners didn't want estates broken up
2. State opposed: Governments needed export revenues for military and patronage
3. International pressure: Indemnity required revenues that peasant farming couldn't generate
4. After Pétion's death: Boyer and successors stopped land distributions
5. Elite captured state: After 1843, elite factions focused on state control, not land reform

The counterfactual: If Pétion's model had become national policy:

- Haiti might have become nation of small landowners
- Export revenues would have been minimal
- Elite would have been weaker
- State would have been weaker
- But masses would have had better material conditions

The trade-off: Pétion's model improved lives for masses but weakened state and elite. Elite preferred strong state they could capture, even if it meant masses remained poor.

The French Indemnity: Critical Assessment

This was the single most important factor in Haitian underdevelopment 1825-1947:

What the indemnity prevented:

- Universal education (150-190 million francs could have built 5,000+ schools)
- Infrastructure (10,000+ miles of roads)
- Healthcare (3,000+ rural clinics)
- Agricultural development (irrigation, credit, technical services)
- Industrial development (capital for factories and industries)

What the indemnity enabled:

- French wealth extraction for 122 years
- French bank profits from loan interest

- Dispossessed French planters received compensation
- France continued exploiting Haiti financially long after losing it politically

The moral dimension:

This was among most unjust extractions in modern history:

- Enslaved people won their freedom through revolution
- France forced them to pay for that freedom
- Haiti paid for 122 years
- This was making victims compensate their victimizers

No other nation was forced to pay former colonial power for independence. Haiti's uniqueness reflects:

- Racial factor (Black nation treated differently than white ones)
- Power imbalance (France had military and diplomatic leverage)
- Isolation (no allies to support Haiti against French demands)

Elite Behavior: Rational Given Constraints, But Perpetuating Underdevelopment

Elite consumed rather than invested—this seems irrational, but made sense given:

Structural constraints:

1. State was only reliable source of wealth (no productive economy)
2. Each government lasted 3-4 years average (instability)
3. Next coup might kill you or exile you (personal risk)
4. International investment impossible (no credit)
5. Peasants resisted wage labor (no labor force for industries)

Rational elite strategy:

- Extract wealth while in power
- Consume immediately or store in portable form
- Maintain foreign bank accounts
- Prepare for exile
- Don't invest long-term (next government will seize assets)

But this strategy perpetuated underdevelopment:

- No productive investment
- No job creation
- No economic growth
- Continued poverty for masses

- Continued instability as elite competed for state

Elite were trapped in bad equilibrium: Each individual's rational strategy (extract and consume) produced collective irrationality (perpetual underdevelopment and instability).

Pattern Across Part 3: Extraction Persisted Through Adaptation

The fundamental pattern revealed across 1804-1915:

Slavery (1697-1791) → Forced labor (1804-1820s) → Financial extraction (1825-1947) + Elite state capture (1804-1915)

Beneficiaries changed:

- Colonial period: French planters, merchants, Crown
- Early independence: New Black elite (Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe)
- Later independence: France (through indemnity), Haitian elite (through state)

Mechanisms changed:

- Colonial: Direct coercion (slavery)
- Early independence: Legal coercion (forced labor)
- Later independence: Financial coercion (debt) + political capture (elite state control)

But the pattern persisted:

- Small elite captured wealth
- Masses remained poor and powerless
- No investment in productive capacity
- Extraction continued

Answer to Central Question: Did Revolution Break Extraction?

No. The revolution destroyed the white planter elite but didn't break extraction patterns.

What broke:

- Slavery (absolutely)
- White planter class (completely)
- Direct French political control (totally)

What persisted:

- Elite domination (new Black elite)
- Wealth concentration (property, state revenues, commerce)

- Mass poverty and exclusion (no land access for most, no education, no political power)
- Extraction mechanisms (adapted from direct to financial and political)

The revolution was genuine transformation in some dimensions (legal freedom, racial sovereignty, planter destruction) but continuity in others (elite domination, extraction patterns, mass poverty).

Part 4 will examine whether this pattern continued or was broken during:

- US occupation (1915-1934)
- Post-occupation instability (1934-1957)
- Duvalier dictatorship (1957-1986)
- Contemporary crisis (1986-2025)

The prediction from Part 3's pattern: Extraction will continue to adapt through new mechanisms while masses remain poor and elite capture persists.

[End of Part 3, Artifact 2]

Part 3 Complete - Both Artifacts

Part 4 will cover 1915-2025: US Occupation, Duvalier Era, Contemporary Crisis, and final comprehensive assessment of whether extraction patterns broke or persisted across 220 years of independence.

Bibliography for Part 3 (to be included in final Part 4 bibliography):

French Indemnity and Financial Extraction:

- Schmidt, Hans. *The United States Occupation of Haiti, 1915-1934*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1971.
- Trouillot, Michel-Rolph. *Haiti: State Against Nation*. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1990.
- Dubois, Laurent. *Haiti: The Aftershocks of History*. New York: Metropolitan Books, 2012.
- Schuller, Mark. "Haiti's 'Disaster Capitalism'?" *NACLA Report on the Americas* 43, no. 4 (2010).
- *New York Times* investigation: "The Ransom" (May 2022) - modern calculation of indemnity costs

Political History and Elite Competition:

- Nicholls, David. *From Dessalines to Duvalier: Race, Colour and National Independence in Haiti*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1996.
- Dupuy, Alex. *Haiti in the World Economy: Class, Race, and Underdevelopment since 1700*. Boulder: Westview Press, 1989.

Economic History:

- Lundahl, Mats. *Peasants and Poverty: A Study of Haiti*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1979.
- Moral, Paul. *Le Paysan haïtien*. Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose, 1961.

Education and Language:

- DeGraff, Michel. "Language Creation and Language Change: Creolization, Diachrony, and Development". Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1999.
- Schieffelin, Bambi B., and Rachelle Charlier Doucet. "The 'Real' Haitian Creole." *American Ethnologist* 21 (1994).

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 4 of 4: Occupation, Dictatorship, and Contemporary Crisis (1915-2025)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 1: The Final Century

Introduction: 220 Years of Adapted Extraction

On July 28, 1915, United States Marines invaded and occupied Haiti. They would remain for 19 years (1915-1934), imposing direct foreign control, restructuring government, training new military, and reinforcing patterns of authoritarian rule and extraction that persisted long after they left.

The US occupation was not random intervention—it was response to Haiti's chronic instability, culmination of patterns documented in Parts 1-3:

- White planter elite destroyed (1791-1804)
- New Black elite attempted to reproduce plantation extraction (1804-1825)
- French indemnity drained resources for 122 years (1825-1947)
- Elite competed for state control through coups (1843-1915)
- No productive economic base developed
- Masses remained poor, illiterate, and powerless

By 1915, Haiti was "failed state": government couldn't maintain order, provide services, or control territory. This vulnerability invited foreign intervention.

Part 4 examines the final 110 years (1915-2025), revealing that extraction patterns continued to adapt:

- US occupation reinforced authoritarianism and created new military elite (1915-1934)
- Post-occupation instability continued elite competition (1934-1957)

- Duvalier dictatorship represented extraction intensification through kleptocracy (1957-1986)
- Post-Duvalier period showed state weakness and gang emergence (1986-2010)
- Contemporary crisis represents extraction culmination: state collapse, gang control, international dependency (2010-2025)

The central question: After 220 years of independence (1804-2025), did extraction patterns ever break, or did they adapt and persist through every regime change?

This part provides the answer through chronological narrative (Artifact 1) and framework analysis (Artifact 2), culminating in comprehensive assessment synthesizing all four parts.

The US Occupation: Imposed Order and Reinforced Authoritarianism (1915-1934)

Why the US Invaded: Haitian Instability and US Strategic Interests

Immediate trigger: On July 27, 1915, President Vilbrun Guillaume Sam ordered massacre of 167 political prisoners held in Port-au-Prince prison. These were elite opponents—government officials, army officers, wealthy merchants who had opposed his government.

When news spread, angry mob stormed French legation where Sam had taken refuge. They dragged him out, killed him, and dismembered his body. His corpse was paraded through streets. This was culmination of decades of instability—the final president in cycle of coups that had seen 7 presidents in 4 years (1911-1915).

US strategic calculation: United States had been considering intervention for several years:

Panama Canal (opened 1914): US viewed Caribbean as vital strategic zone. Panama Canal's defense required US control over Caribbean sea lanes. Haiti's northern coast faced Windward Passage—major shipping route.

German influence: Germany had significant commercial presence in Haiti. German merchants controlled much of import/export trade. US feared Germany might establish naval base in Haiti (World War I had begun July 1914). This fear was probably exaggerated, but US officials believed it.

Financial interests: US banks (particularly National City Bank of New York) had acquired stakes in Haitian national bank and railroad concessions. Chronic instability threatened these investments.

Racial paternalism: US officials viewed Haiti as incapable of self-government. Secretary of State Robert Lansing wrote that Haitians were "almost incapable of real self-government owing to... African racial weakness." This racist ideology justified intervention as "helping" Haiti.

July 28, 1915—Marines land: The day after Guillaume Sam's lynching, US Marines landed at Port-au-Prince. Within weeks, 2,000 US troops occupied Haiti. They would remain 19 years.

The Occupation Regime: Direct Control (1915-1934)

Political control: US didn't formally annex Haiti. Instead, it established client government:

President Sudre Dartiguenave (1915-1922): US selected him from Haitian senate. He was pliable—signed treaty giving US control over:

- Haitian finances (customs revenues)
- Public works
- Police force (Gendarmerie d'Haïti, trained and commanded by US Marines)
- Foreign relations (Haiti couldn't sign treaties without US approval)

Dartiguenave was president in name only. Real power rested with US High Commissioner and US military commanders.

Treaty of 1915: Imposed on Haiti (Haiti had no choice), the treaty gave US:

- Control of customs revenues (to pay Haiti's debts and fund occupation)
- Power to organize Gendarmerie (Haitian police/military under US command)
- Right to intervene in Haiti's internal affairs
- Ten-year term (later extended to 1934)

Financial control: US officials took over Haitian treasury and customs houses:

- Collected import/export duties
- Paid Haiti's debts (including remaining French indemnity payments)
- Funded occupation costs
- Provided modest amount to Haitian government for operations

The 1918 Constitution: US rewrote Haitian constitution to allow foreign (meaning US) land ownership. Previous constitutions had forbidden foreigners from owning Haitian land (protection dating to 1805 constitution forbidding whites from owning property). US wanted American corporations to invest in Haitian plantations.

The constitution was approved by plebiscite in which US Marines supervised voting. Official results: 98,225 votes for, 768 against. This was clearly fraudulent—most Haitians were illiterate and had no idea what they were voting for. US Marine officers "encouraged" participation and "explained" the correct vote.

Forced Labor: Corvée System Reimposed

One of most resented US occupation policies: corvée labor (forced unpaid labor for public works).

US officials wanted roads built to facilitate military control and commerce. They revived corvée system that had existed under French colonialism and early independence but had largely lapsed:

How corvée worked:

- Rural Haitians (males age 16-55) were required to provide labor for road construction
- Local officials (chefs de section) rounded up men and delivered them to work sites
- No wages paid (or minimal wages insufficient for food)
- Work was hard: breaking rocks, moving earth, building roads in tropical heat
- Armed guards (Gendarmerie) supervised, punished those who resisted
- Duration: typically several days to weeks

Haitian reaction: Intense resentment. Corvée looked like slavery—forced labor, no payment, armed supervision, punishment for resistance. The fact that US Marines (white men) were imposing this on Black Haitians had obvious racial dimension.

Contemporary accounts describe:

- Men chained together while working
- Beatings for those who worked slowly
- Food deprivation
- Deaths from exhaustion and mistreatment

Roads built: US did build approximately 1,000 miles of roads during occupation. These improved infrastructure and facilitated commerce. But the human cost was enormous and method was brutally coercive.

The Caco Rebellion: Charlemagne Peralte (1918-1919)

Armed resistance to occupation: Charlemagne Peralte, a former Haitian army officer, organized armed rebellion in 1918.

Who were Cacos?: Historical term for Haitian irregular fighters/bandits who operated in rural mountains. They had participated in various coups and rebellions throughout 19th century. The term came to mean any armed rural resistance.

Péralte's rebellion:

- Began in 1918 in northern mountains
- Attracted thousands of followers (estimates 3,000-5,000 fighters)
- Conducted guerrilla warfare against US Marines and Gendarmerie
- Attacked US positions, ambushed patrols, sabotaged infrastructure
- Péralte proclaimed himself "Chief of the Armies" fighting to liberate Haiti

US response: Counterinsurgency campaign

- Marines conducted "pacification" operations in mountains
- Aerial bombardment of suspected rebel areas (early use of air power in counterinsurgency)
- Search and destroy missions
- Collective punishment of villages suspected of supporting rebels
- Targeted assassination of leaders

Péralte's death (October 31, 1919): US Marine Sergeant Herman H. Hanneken, disguised as Haitian, infiltrated Péralte's camp and shot him. Péralte's body was photographed tied to a door (the famous photo resembles crucifixion imagery). US distributed photo as proof of death and warning to other rebels.

Casualties: Estimates suggest:

- Cacos: 2,000-3,000 killed
- US/Gendarmerie: 150-250 killed
- Civilians: unknown, but significant (villages bombed, collective punishment)

The rebellion's end: After Péralte's death, his lieutenant Benoît Batrville continued rebellion into 1920 but was also killed by US forces. The rebellion collapsed by mid-1920.

Significance: Demonstrated Haitian resistance to occupation, created martyr (Péralte became symbol of resistance in Haitian historical memory), but also demonstrated US military superiority and willingness to use overwhelming force.

What the Occupation Built

Infrastructure:

- Roads: ~1,000 miles built (using corvée labor)
- Bridges: several hundred constructed
- Telegraph lines: expanded communication network

- Port facilities: improvements at Port-au-Prince and Cap-Haïtien
- Some urban water systems

These were genuine improvements—Haiti's infrastructure had been minimal.

Health:

- Hospitals: several built in major cities
- Public health campaigns: against yellow fever, smallpox
- Some reduction in disease: particularly yellow fever (mosquito control)

Education:

- Rural schools: US built several hundred rural primary schools
- Vocational training: emphasis on agricultural and technical education
- But: French classical education for elite continued; rural schools were basic

By 1930, perhaps 150,000-200,000 children attended school (out of 500,000+ school-age children). This was improvement from 1915 (perhaps 50,000-75,000), but still left majority without education.

Economic:

- HASCO (Haitian-American Sugar Company): US-owned sugar plantation established near Port-au-Prince, became major employer
- Foreign investment: Some US companies invested in plantations and businesses
- Export growth: Modest increase in coffee and sisal exports

What the Occupation Didn't Change (or Made Worse)

Elite structure: Occupation reinforced traditional elite:

- Mulatto elite remained dominant in government and commerce
- US supported existing elite families as collaborators
- Land ownership patterns unchanged
- Wealth concentration persisted

Political culture: US imposed order through authoritarianism:

- No democracy (client government, not elected)
- Military/police used to suppress opposition
- Censorship of press
- Repression of dissent
- This reinforced authoritarian patterns that would persist post-occupation

Racial hierarchy: US Marines brought Jim Crow attitudes:

- Segregated facilities (US Marines had separate areas)
- Racial contempt for Haitians
- This humiliated educated Haitian elite and radicalized intellectuals

Economic structure: Plantation model reinforced:

- US wanted large-scale plantations for export production
- 1918 constitution allowed foreign land ownership
- Small peasant farming marginalized
- No industrial development

The Gendarmerie: US created and trained new Haitian military/police force:

- Officers were Haitian (mostly from elite families)
- Training emphasized discipline, hierarchy, obedience
- Equipped with modern weapons
- This force would dominate Haitian politics for decades after US withdrawal

Pattern: US occupation built infrastructure and imposed order, but reinforced authoritarian governance, created military elite that would capture power after withdrawal, and maintained extraction-oriented economic structure.

US Withdrawal (1934): Roosevelt's "Good Neighbor Policy"

By early 1930s, occupation had become politically costly for US:

- International criticism (Latin American nations condemned it)
- Domestic criticism in US (anti-imperialist groups opposed occupation)
- Haitian resistance continued (students, intellectuals, passive resistance)
- Great Depression (1929) made occupation expensive

President Franklin D. Roosevelt (inaugurated March 1933) adopted "Good Neighbor Policy"—pledge to end US military interventions in Latin America and Caribbean.

August 1934: US Marines withdrew from Haiti. Financial control continued until 1941 (US officials continued managing Haitian finances).

What remained:

- Gendarmerie (renamed Garde d'Haïti, later Haitian Army)
 - Officer corps trained by US Marines
 - Roads and infrastructure
 - Patterns of authoritarian governance
 - No transformation of political or economic structures
-

Post-Occupation Instability and Military Dominance (1934-1957)

Sténio Vincent: Managed Democracy (1930-1941)

Sténio Vincent had been selected as president in 1930 (under US supervision). He remained after US withdrawal in 1934.

Vincent was mulatto elite—educated, sophisticated, nationalist critic of occupation (though he had worked within system). He managed relatively stable presidency by:

- Balancing elite factions (mulatto vs. Black, urban vs. rural)
- Using Garde d'Haïti to maintain order
- Modest economic development (coffee exports increased slightly)
- Political repression of opposition (press censorship, arrests of critics)

Foreign relations: Vincent improved relations with neighboring Dominican Republic (historically hostile). But in 1937, Dominican dictator Rafael Trujillo ordered massacre of Haitians living in Dominican Republic:

The Parsley Massacre (October 1937): Trujillo's army killed 12,000-20,000 Haitians (estimates vary) living near Dominican border. The massacre was ethnic cleansing—Trujillo wanted to "whiten" Dominican Republic by eliminating Haitian presence. Method included linguistic test: soldiers asked people to say "perejil" (Spanish for parsley)—Spanish speakers could pronounce it, Kreyòl speakers couldn't, and were killed.

Vincent negotiated modest compensation from Trujillo (\$750,000, about \$25-50 per victim's family). This was shameful settlement, but Haiti was too weak militarily to retaliate.

Vincent stepped down in 1941 (served 11 years, relatively long for Haitian president).

Élie Lescot: Dictatorship and Corruption (1941-1946)

Élie Lescot (mulatto elite, diplomat) became president in 1941 with military support. His presidency was characterized by:

Authoritarianism:

- Censorship intensified
- Political opposition suppressed
- Elections were fraudulent (he "won" by huge margins)
- Garde d'Haïti used to intimidate opposition

Corruption:

- Lescot and associates enriched themselves
- Government contracts awarded to cronies
- Customs revenues diverted to personal accounts
- Elite enrichment while masses remained poor

World War II: Haiti declared war on Axis powers (1941), aligned with US. This brought some US aid and investment but minimal benefit to average Haitians.

Opposition grew: By 1946, students, intellectuals, and some military officers opposed Lescot's dictatorial rule.

January 1946—Popular uprising: Strikes, protests, and student demonstrations erupted in Port-au-Prince. Lescot couldn't suppress them. On January 11, 1946, military officers forced him to resign. He fled to exile.

This was significant: First time since 1915 that popular mobilization (not just military coup) removed president. It showed possibility of mass political participation.

The Brief Democratic Opening (1946-1950)

Dumarsais Estimé (Black, from northern elite) was elected president in August 1946 in relatively free election. He was member of **Noiriste** movement—Black nationalism opposing mulatto elite dominance.

Estimé's presidency (1946-1950) represented brief democratic opening:

Positive:

- Relative press freedom
- Political organizing allowed
- Some infrastructure investment (roads, schools)
- Labor organizing permitted (unions formed)
- Tried to diversify economy (modest industrialization attempts)
- Black middle class empowerment (government jobs for darker-skinned Haitians)

Economic:

- Coffee prices rose post-WWII (Korea War commodity boom)
- This increased government revenues
- Some investment in development

But also:

- Elite opposition (mulatto elite resented Black empowerment)

- Corruption continued
- Limited reforms (no land redistribution, no fundamental changes)

May 1950—Military coup: Garde d'Haïti officers overthrew Estimé. They installed Paul Magloire, a general, as president.

Why the coup? Multiple factors:

- Elite feared Estimé was too populist
- Military officers wanted direct power
- Estimé had antagonized military by trying to assert civilian control
- Traditional elite viewed him as threatening their interests

Paul Magloire: Military Dictatorship (1950-1956)

General Paul Magloire (light-skinned, military career) ruled as military strongman:

Economic boom: Early 1950s saw economic growth:

- Coffee prices high due to Korean War (1950-1953)
- Tourism increased (Haiti marketed as "exotic" destination)
- Some US investment in light industry
- Government revenues increased

Magloire invested in:

- Urban infrastructure (Port-au-Prince improvements)
- Electricity generation
- Some schools
- Public celebrations and spectacles (projecting prosperity)

But:

- Elite captured growth (masses saw minimal benefit)
- Corruption enormous (Magloire and officers enriched themselves)
- Repression continued (opposition suppressed)
- No structural reforms

Decline: By 1954-1955, coffee prices fell, tourism declined, revenues dropped. Magloire's rule became more repressive. Opposition grew.

Hurricane Hazel (October 1954): Devastating hurricane killed hundreds, destroyed infrastructure, damaged crops. Magloire's government response was inadequate. This damaged his legitimacy.

December 1956—Magloire resigns: Facing strikes, protests, and military dissatisfaction, Magloire resigned and fled to Jamaica (later New York).

Political Chaos (December 1956 - September 1957)

Nine months of instability:

- **Multiple provisional governments (4-5 different presidents in 9 months)**
- **Military coups and counter-coups**
- **Election violence**
- **Political factions competing for power**
- **Elite paralyzed by divisions**

This chaos created opening for François Duvalier.

The Duvalier Dictatorship: Kleptocracy and Terror (1957-1986)

François "Papa Doc" Duvalier: Rise to Power (1957)

François Duvalier (1907-1971) was physician from Black middle class. He had worked in rural health campaigns, was involved in Noiriste movement (Black nationalism), and cultivated image as intellectual and champion of Black masses against mulatto elite.

September 22, 1957—Election: In chaotic election, Duvalier won presidency. The election was fraudulent (ballot stuffing, violence, intimidation), but Duvalier had genuine support among Black middle class and rural poor who resented mulatto elite.

Initial image: Duvalier presented himself as:

- **Champion of Black Haitians against mulatto elite**
- **Intellectual and patriot**
- **Advocate for rural poor**
- **Contrast to corrupt military rulers**

Reality: Duvalier was cunning authoritarian who would establish one of Caribbean's most brutal dictatorships.

Consolidating Power: Destroying Rivals (1957-1964)

Eliminating military threat: Duvalier knew military had overthrown previous presidents. He systematically neutralized army:

Created parallel force: Tontons Macoutes (Volunteers for National Security—VSN)

- Paramilitary force loyal only to Duvalier
- Recruited from Duvalier supporters (rural poor, urban lumpen)
- Armed with weapons
- Given power to arrest, torture, kill without legal constraint
- Named after Haitian folklore figure (Tonton Macoute = Uncle Gunnysack, bogeyman who kidnaps children)

By early 1960s, Tontons Macoutes numbered 10,000-15,000 (compared to 7,000-man army). They were better armed and more loyal to Duvalier than army.

Purging military: Duvalier:

- Executed officers suspected of disloyalty
- Exiled others
- Promoted loyalists (often incompetent but faithful)
- Rotated commanders frequently (preventing power consolidation)
- Kept army weak and divided

Crushing opposition:

- Arrested opposition politicians (many killed or "disappeared")
- Shut down opposition press
- Banned political parties (except his own)
- Used Tontons Macoutes to terrorize opponents

Using Vodou for legitimacy: Duvalier cleverly exploited Vodou:

- Presented himself as Vodou priest (houngan)
- Associated himself with Baron Samedi (death loa/spirit)
- Used Vodou symbolism (dressed in black, spoke cryptically)
- This gave him supernatural aura among rural poor

1964—President-for-Life: Through fraudulent referendum (Duvalier claimed 99.9% approval), he declared himself President-for-Life. This made dictatorship explicit.

The Terror Apparatus: How Duvalier Maintained Power

Tontons Macoutes:

- Operated nationwide, particularly in rural areas
- Functioned as secret police, neighborhood spies, death squads
- Could arrest anyone, anytime, without warrant
- Torture was routine (Fort Dimanche prison was notorious for brutality)
- Killings were public warnings (bodies left in streets)

- "Disappearances" common (people arrested, never seen again)

Estimates of victims (1957-1971):

- Killed: 30,000-60,000 (estimates vary, precise numbers impossible)
- Tortured: tens of thousands
- Imprisoned: thousands at any given time
- Exiled: 100,000+ fled Haiti during his rule

Methods:

- Arbitrary arrest (no legal process)
- Torture (electric shock, beatings, sexual violence)
- Extrajudicial execution
- Forced disappearances
- Collective punishment (families of opponents targeted)

Fort Dimanche: Notorious prison outside Port-au-Prince:

- Political prisoners held in horrific conditions
- Overcrowding, no sanitation, minimal food
- Torture routine
- Many prisoners died from abuse or starvation
- Feared throughout Haiti

The system's logic: Create pervasive fear so population self-censors. When anyone could be arrested, tortured, killed at any time without reason, people avoid any action that might attract attention. Terror atomizes society—prevents collective resistance.

Economic Policy: Kleptocracy

Duvalier regime's economic "strategy": Enrich Duvalier family and cronies, extract maximum wealth.

Methods:

- State monopolies: Duvalier family controlled import licenses, concessions
- Customs skimming: Officials pocketed customs revenues before reporting to treasury
- Foreign aid theft: International aid diverted to personal accounts
- Extortion: Businesses paid "protection" to Tontons Macoutes
- Drug trafficking: Evidence suggests regime profited from drug smuggling (cocaine to US)

Duvalier personal wealth: Estimates suggest Duvalier family accumulated \$300-\$800 million during his rule. Most was deposited in Swiss and French banks.

Development ignored: While enriching himself, Duvalier invested almost nothing in:

- Education (literacy rate remained ~25-30%)
- Healthcare (minimal rural access)
- Infrastructure (roads deteriorated)
- Agriculture (production stagnated)
- Industry (virtually none)

Brain drain: Educated Haitians fled:

- Doctors, teachers, engineers emigrated (to US, Canada, France)
- Middle class fled to escape repression
- By 1970, thousands of educated professionals had left
- This devastated Haiti's human capital

Economic stagnation:

- GDP per capita essentially flat 1960-1970
- Coffee production declined
- No industrial development
- Foreign investment minimal (who invests in terror state?)
- Most population remained in subsistence poverty

Foreign Relations: US Support

Why US tolerated Duvalier:

Cold War logic: US viewed Duvalier as anti-communist. When Fidel Castro's revolution succeeded in Cuba (1959), US became obsessed with preventing "another Cuba." Duvalier claimed to be bulwark against communism.

US aid continued: Despite human rights abuses, US provided:

- Military aid (weapons, training)
- Economic aid (\$100+ million over his rule)
- Political support (no condemnation at UN or OAS)

US calculation: Better a brutal right-wing dictator than risk communist revolution. This was standard Cold War logic applied throughout Latin America.

Tourism: Ironically, 1950s-1960s, Haiti marketed itself as tourist destination. Graham Greene's novel *The Comedians* (1966) exposed Duvalier's regime to international audience. Tourism collapsed by late 1960s.

François Duvalier's Death and Succession (1971)

April 21, 1971: François Duvalier died (age 64, heart disease and diabetes). He had groomed his son to succeed him.

Jean-Claude "Baby Doc" Duvalier (age 19) became President-for-Life. His father had arranged constitutional amendment (1971) lowering minimum age from 40 to 18, explicitly to enable succession.

This created dynastic dictatorship—son inheriting father's dictatorship. Unique in Caribbean, though common in monarchies and some authoritarian regimes.

Jean-Claude "Baby Doc" Duvalier: Dictatorship Lite (1971-1986)

Jean-Claude Duvalier (1951-2014) was very different from his father:

- **Young, inexperienced, pleasure-seeking**
- **Less ideological (Papa Doc had Noiriste ideology; Baby Doc had none)**
- **Focused on enriching himself and enjoying luxury**
- **Delegated actual governance to advisers and Tontons Macoutes leaders**

Early reign (1971-1980):

Continued repression: Tontons Macoutes continued operating, political opposition banned, arrests and torture continued. But intensity was slightly less than Papa Doc era (fewer killings, though still thousands of victims).

Modest economic opening: Baby Doc attempted to improve economy:

- **Some foreign investment encouraged**
- **Light manufacturing developed (assembly plants for US companies—textiles, baseballs, electronics)**
- **Tourism attempts (largely failed due to regime's reputation)**

US aid: US increased aid under Baby Doc:

- **Reagan administration (1981-1989) strongly supported him (Cold War anti-communism)**
- **Haiti received \$100-200 million in aid during his rule**
- **Most was stolen by regime**

Corruption intensified:

- **Baby Doc and his wife Michele Bennett (married 1980) lived in extreme luxury**
- **Imported luxury goods while population starved**
- **Lavish parties, European shopping trips**
- **Transferred enormous sums to foreign accounts**

1980 wedding: Baby Doc married Michele Bennett (light-skinned, from mulatto elite). The wedding cost \$3-5 million—while per capita income was \$300/year. This disgusted even traditional elite.

Decline (1980-1986):

Economic crisis:

- Coffee prices collapsed (1980s)
- Foreign investment minimal
- Remittances from diaspora became major income source
- Government essentially bankrupt (revenues stolen)

Opposition grew:

- Catholic Church criticized regime (priests spoke against injustice)
- Student movements organized
- Radio stations (particularly Catholic radio) broadcast criticisms
- Rural opposition increased

US pressure: By 1985, even Reagan administration began pressuring for reforms:

- Human rights violations too egregious to ignore
- Haiti becoming international embarrassment
- US encouraged "democratization"

Popular uprising (1985-1986):

November 1985: Protests began in Gonaïves (northern city). Students and workers demonstrated against regime.

Violence: Government forces killed protesters. This sparked larger protests.

December 1985-January 1986: Protests spread nationwide:

- Daily demonstrations in cities
- General strikes
- Calls for Duvalier to resign
- Tontons Macoutes killed protesters (hundreds died)

Collapse: By February 1986, Baby Doc's position was hopeless:

- Protests couldn't be suppressed
- Military wavered (officers wouldn't commit to defend regime)
- US withdrew support (told him to leave)

February 7, 1986: Baby Doc fled Haiti on US Air Force plane (with wife, children, and reportedly several tons of luggage containing gold, cash, jewelry). He went to France, where he lived in exile until 2011.

The Duvalier Legacy: 29 Years of Extraction Through Terror

Total killed under both Duvaliers: 30,000-60,000 (estimates range widely, precise numbers impossible due to disappearances)

Exiles: 100,000-200,000 fled Haiti 1957-1986

Stolen wealth: Duvalier family accumulated \$300-900 million (estimates vary)

Economic devastation:

- **GDP per capita 1986 was essentially same as 1960 (zero growth)**
- **Literacy: ~30% (slight improvement from ~20% in 1957, but still lowest in hemisphere)**
- **Life expectancy: ~50 years (improved from ~45 in 1957, but still lowest in hemisphere)**
- **Infrastructure: roads deteriorated, minimal electricity, no water systems**
- **Healthcare: minimal (one doctor per 8,000-10,000 people)**
- **Agriculture: production per capita declined**

The pattern: Duvalier regime represented extraction through kleptocracy and state terror. The family and cronies stole everything they could while using terror to prevent resistance. No investment in development, no services for population, just extraction and consumption by tiny elite.

This was continuation of pattern documented in Parts 1-3, now intensified: Elite captured state, extracted wealth, consumed rather than invested, used violence to suppress resistance.

Post-Duvalier Instability: Failed Democratization (1986-2004)

The Democratic Hope: Jean-Bertrand Aristide (1990-2004)

After Baby Doc fled (February 1986), Haiti attempted democratic transition. The period 1986-2004 saw coups, unstable governments, violence, and repeated cycles of hope and disappointment.

1987 Constitution: New democratic constitution written:

- Banned Duvalierists from politics
- Established separation of powers
- Guaranteed rights
- Called for elections

But: Tontons Macoutes weren't eliminated. Army remained powerful. Elite remained entrenched. Violence continued.

Failed elections (1987): First election under new constitution (November 1987) was attacked by Tontons Macoutes. Voters massacred at polling stations. Elections canceled.

1988-1990: Series of military-backed governments, coups, instability.

December 16, 1990—Aristide elected: Jean-Bertrand Aristide, a Catholic priest who had preached against Duvalier and championed the poor, won presidential election with 67% of vote. This was first free election in Haitian history.

Who was Aristide?:

- Catholic priest (Salesian order)
- Liberation theology advocate (Catholic left-wing movement)
- Charismatic speaker in Kreyòl
- Championed the poor ("preferential option for the poor")
- Advocated land reform, education, healthcare
- Opposed by elite, military, and Catholic Church hierarchy

February 7, 1991—Inauguration: Aristide became president amid enormous popular enthusiasm. Masses believed he would transform Haiti.

His agenda:

- Disband Tontons Macoutes and reform military
- Reduce corruption
- Invest in education and healthcare
- Land reform (redistribute land to peasants)
- Empower the poor politically

Elite reaction: Terrified. Aristide threatened their interests directly.

September 30, 1991—Military coup (Aristide served only 7 months):

- General Raoul Cédras led military coup
- Aristide forced into exile (US, then Venezuela)
- Military junta ruled 1991-1994

- Brutal repression (3,000-5,000 killed)

Why the coup?: Elite, military, and US (CIA) all opposed Aristide:

- Elite feared land reform and empowerment of poor
- Military feared disbanding
- US viewed him as radical leftist
- Aristide had antagonized all powerful actors

US Intervention and Aristide's Return (1994)

International pressure: UN imposed sanctions on Haiti. Refugees fled to US (thousands in boats). This created political pressure on US President Clinton.

September 19, 1994—US invasion: US military (20,000 troops) invaded Haiti to restore Aristide. This was unique—US invaded to restore democratically elected president.

Aristide returned (October 1994), but:

- Served remainder of term (until February 1996)
- US occupation limited his actions
- Military was disbanded (replaced by new police force)
- Elite remained powerful
- Political opposition entrenched

René Préval (Aristide's ally) elected president (1996-2001). Relatively stable but weak government.

Aristide's Second Term and Second Overthrow (2001-2004)

2000 election: Aristide elected again (constitution allowed non-consecutive terms). But election was contested—opposition claimed fraud.

Aristide's second term (2001-2004):

- Grew increasingly authoritarian
- Used armed gangs to intimidate opposition
- Corruption increased
- International aid suspended
- Economy deteriorated
- Opposition grew

2004 rebellion: Armed rebellion in northern Haiti (Gonaïves). Rebels were mix of:

- Former military officers (from disbanded army)
- Former Tontons Macoutes

- **Anti-Aristide activists**

February 29, 2004—Aristide removed: US pressured him to resign and leave Haiti. He claimed he was kidnapped by US forces (US denies this). He went to exile in Central African Republic, later South Africa.

UN peacekeeping: UN established peacekeeping mission (MINUSTAH) with troops from Brazil, Argentina, Chile, others. They would remain until 2017.

The Aristide Era's Significance

Pattern: Hope for democratic transformation, followed by elite resistance, military coup, instability, and return to authoritarian patterns.

Why Aristide failed:

- 1. Elite opposition: Threatened their interests directly**
- 2. Military hostility: Wanted to disband them**
- 3. International ambivalence: US supported him rhetorically but undermined practically**
- 4. Weak institutions: State capacity minimal, couldn't implement reforms**
- 5. Aristide's errors: Became increasingly authoritarian, used gangs, alienated allies**
- 6. Structural constraints: Economic crisis, no resources for reforms**

The pattern continues: Elite competed for power, used violence, extracted wealth. Brief democratic opening (1991) was crushed. Extraction patterns persisted.

Contemporary Crisis: Earthquake, Cholera, State Collapse (2004-2025)

The 2010 Earthquake: Catastrophe and Failed Reconstruction

January 12, 2010—Earthquake: Magnitude 7.0 earthquake struck near Port-au-Prince:

Casualties:

- **Deaths: 220,000-316,000 (estimates vary)**
- **Injured: 300,000+**
- **Homeless: 1.5 million displaced**

Destruction:

- **Presidential palace collapsed**

- Government ministries destroyed
- Hospitals collapsed
- Schools destroyed
- 250,000+ homes destroyed or damaged
- Infrastructure devastated

Response: Massive international aid effort:

- \$13+ billion pledged by international donors
- NGOs flooded into Haiti (10,000+ NGOs operating at peak)
- UN peacekeepers increased
- International contractors for reconstruction

What happened to the money?:

Elite capture: Much of reconstruction money was captured by:

- Foreign contractors (US and European companies got most contracts)
- International NGOs (administrative overhead, salaries)
- Haitian elite (corruption, kickbacks from contracts)
- Very little reached affected population

Failed reconstruction:

- Five years after earthquake, 80,000+ people still lived in tent camps
- Most rubble not cleared
- Few buildings reconstructed
- Infrastructure not rebuilt
- Money disappeared through corruption and inefficiency

The pattern repeated: International aid flooded in after disaster, elite captured it, masses received minimal benefit. This was extraction through disaster—"disaster capitalism."

Cholera Epidemic (2010-2019): UN Negligence

October 2010: Cholera outbreak began near UN peacekeepers base (MINUSTAH).

Source: Scientific evidence confirmed cholera was introduced by UN peacekeepers from Nepal (cholera endemic there, not endemic in Haiti for a century).

Impact:

- 10,000+ deaths from cholera (2010-2019)
- 800,000+ infected
- Epidemic spread throughout Haiti
- Contaminated water systems (already poor)

UN response: Initially denied responsibility. Eventually (2016) acknowledged "role" but refused legal liability or compensation. Some compensation fund created (inadequate).

The significance: UN mission supposedly helping Haiti instead caused health catastrophe through negligence. This exemplified international intervention's failures.

Political Instability Continues (2004-2025)

Presidents 2004-2025:

- **René Préval (2006-2011): Second term, relatively stable**
- **Michel Martelly (2011-2016): Popular musician ("Sweet Micky"), populist, corrupt, authoritarian tendencies**
- **Jovenel Moïse (2017-2021): Businessman, Martelly protégé, increasingly dictatorial**
- **Assassinated July 7, 2021: Moïse shot dead in presidential residence by mercenaries (Colombian, Haitian-American involved). Conspiracy unclear.**
- **Ariel Henry (2021-2024): Acting PM, never elected, resigned 2024 amid gang crisis**

Pattern: Weak governments, corruption, elite competition, no capacity to govern, increasing violence.

Gang Takeover and State Collapse (2018-2025)

Gang emergence: In Port-au-Prince and other cities, gangs became dominant force:

Why gangs emerged:

- **Police weak and corrupt**
- **No jobs (youth unemployment 60-80%)**
- **Politicians used gangs as armed enforcers**
- **Drug trafficking lucrative (cocaine to US)**
- **Weapons easily available (smuggled from US)**

Major gangs:

- **G9 (gang federation led by Jimmy "Barbecue" Chérizier, former police officer)**
- **G-Pep (rival federation)**
- **Dozens of smaller gangs**

Gang control (by 2023-2024):

- **80-90% of Port-au-Prince controlled by gangs**
- **Government controlled small zone around palace and airport**
- **Police outgunned and overwhelmed**
- **Kidnapping epidemic (ransoms as revenue)**

- Extortion systematic
- Sexual violence routine
- Massacres of rival gang members and civilians

State collapse:

- Government couldn't provide security
- No control over territory
- No public services
- Economy collapsed
- Port shut down by gang control
- Food crisis (couldn't distribute supplies)

2024 crisis: Gangs attacked state institutions:

- Prisons stormed (inmates released)
- Police stations attacked
- Government buildings burned
- Prime Minister Ariel Henry resigned (March 2024)
- Transitional council created (still ineffective)

International response (2024):

- Kenya offered to send police force (delayed)
- UN debated intervention (no consensus)
- US provided some support
- Crisis continues

Current Conditions (2025)

Economic collapse:

- GDP per capita: ~\$1,700 (2023 estimate, declining)
- Inflation: High (20-30% annually)
- Unemployment: 60-80% (especially youth)
- Currency collapse: Gourde lost value dramatically
- Food insecurity: 4.5+ million (40% of population) facing acute hunger

Violence:

- Homicides: 5,000+ per year (underreported)
- Kidnappings: 1,000+ reported annually (many unreported)
- Sexual violence: Epidemic (gangs use rape as weapon)
- Internal displacement: 200,000+ fled homes due to violence

Health:

- Life expectancy: 64 years (lowest in Western Hemisphere)
- Infant mortality: 45 per 1,000 births (very high)
- Maternal mortality: 350 per 100,000 (catastrophic)
- Malnutrition: 22% of children stunted

Education:

- Literacy: 61% (improved from historical ~30% but still low)
- School enrollment: 50% (many schools closed due to violence)
- Quality: Very poor (underfunded, untrained teachers)

Infrastructure:

- Electricity: 40% have access (irregular)
- Clean water: 65% have access (often contaminated)
- Sanitation: 35% have improved sanitation
- Roads: Many impassable

Migration:

- Diaspora: 2+ million Haitians live abroad (US, Dominican Republic, Canada, France)
- Remittances: \$3+ billion annually (largest income source)
- Continued exodus: Thousands flee annually

Comparison to 1804:

After 220 years of independence (1804-2025), Haiti has:

- Lowest HDI in Western Hemisphere (0.535 in 2023)
- Poorest country in Western Hemisphere
- State collapse: Government cannot govern
- Gang control: Non-state actors control territory
- Economic dependence: On remittances and aid
- Population in crisis: 40% food insecure, health catastrophic

Why Worst Outcomes Despite Earliest Freedom?

This is the central question: Haiti achieved independence first (1804), abolished slavery first (1791-1794), destroyed planter elite completely. Why, 220 years later, does it have worst outcomes in hemisphere?

The answer (synthesizing Parts 1-4):

1. French indemnity (1825-1947): Extracted wealth for 122 years, prevented development

2. International isolation: No allies, no trade, no investment (1804-1862 US recognition)
3. Elite capture: New Black elite reproduced extraction, competed for state control
4. No productive base: Plantation production collapsed 70-85%, no alternative developed
5. Chronic instability: Elite competition caused coups, prevented institution-building
6. External interventions: US occupation (1915-1934) reinforced authoritarianism
7. Duvalier dictatorship: 29 years of kleptocracy and terror (1957-1986)
8. Failed democratization: Elite resistance crushed democratic attempts
9. Disaster capitalism: Earthquake aid captured by elite and internationals
10. State collapse: Culmination—government cannot govern, gangs control territory

The pattern across 220 years: Extraction adapted through multiple mechanisms (slavery → forced labor → financial extraction → elite state capture → kleptocracy → disaster capitalism → gang extortion), but extraction never broke. Elite always captured wealth, masses always remained poor, no productive investment ever occurred.

[End of Part 4, Artifact 1]

Artifact 2 will cover:

- Section VIII: Resistance Suppression (violence throughout history)
- Section IX: Elite Network Coordination (how elite maintained power across regime changes)
- Comprehensive Conclusion (synthesizing all four parts, final assessment)
- Complete Bibliography

Haiti: Revolution, Elite Capture, and the Adaptation of Extraction (1697-2025)

Part 4 of 4: Occupation, Dictatorship, and Contemporary Crisis (1915-2025)

French Creole Societies Project - Artifact 2: Final Framework Analysis and Comprehensive Conclusion

Section VIII: Resistance Suppression Mechanisms (1697-2025)

This section examines how elite maintained power through violence across 328 years. The pattern: Violence adapted from colonial brutality to revolutionary terror to dictatorial repression to contemporary gang violence, but function remained constant—suppress resistance to extraction.

Colonial Violence (1697-1791): Disciplinary Terror

Purpose: Break enslaved people's will, prevent rebellion, maximize labor extraction.

Methods (documented in Part 1):

- Mutilation (cutting off ears, hands, breaking legs)
- Public torture (whipping, burning alive, breaking on wheel)
- Execution (hanging, beheading, burning)
- Collective punishment (punishing many for one's resistance)
- Sexual violence (systematic rape)

Scale: Routine, systematic, public. Violence was everyday feature of plantation life.

Logic: Make resistance more painful than submission. Display violence publicly to terrorize entire enslaved population.

Revolutionary Violence (1791-1804): Reciprocal and Strategic

Enslaved forces' violence:

- Killed planters, managers, overseers (retribution for centuries of brutality)
- Burned plantations (destroying extraction apparatus)
- Strategic terror against white population (eliminating potential French fifth column)
- 1804 massacres of remaining whites (3,000-5,000 killed)

Purpose: Eliminate oppressor class, prevent restoration, claim sovereignty.

French forces' violence:

- Leclerc's genocide orders (1802): "destroy all blacks of the mountains"
- Mass drownings in ships
- Attack dogs from Cuba
- Gas chambers (sulfur dioxide)
- Mass shootings

Purpose: Restore slavery through extermination of revolutionary leadership.

Pattern: Violence was tool both for liberation (by enslaved) and for restoration (by French). Both sides used extreme violence because stakes were total.

Early Independence Violence (1804-1843): Elite Competition

Dessalines assassination (1806): Elite rivals killed him, mutilated body.

Christophe's forced labor regime (1806-1820): Flogging, imprisonment for labor resistance.

Elite faction warfare: Coups involved killing rival leaders, purging opponents.

Boyer's repression (1820-1843): Less intense than Christophe but still authoritarian—arrests, exile of opponents.

Pattern: Violence by elite against each other (competition for power) and against masses (maintaining labor discipline, suppressing dissent).

Post-Boyer Instability Violence (1843-1915): Coups and Massacres

22 presidents in 72 years: Most removed by coups involving violence.

Examples:

- Faustin Soulouque: Massacred mulatto elite (1840s)
- Sylvain Salnave: Captured and executed (1870)

- Multiple presidents: Assassinated or killed in coups

Guillaume Sam massacre (July 1915): 167 political prisoners killed, triggering his lynching.

Pattern: Routine political violence. Coups typically involved:

- Killing president or forcing exile
- Purging supporters
- Executing or exiling rivals
- Terror to consolidate new regime

Civilian casualties: Difficult to estimate, but coups often involved urban violence killing dozens to hundreds.

US Occupation Violence (1915-1934): Counterinsurgency

Corvée enforcement: Beatings, imprisonment for those resisting forced labor.

Caco Rebellion suppression (1918-1920):

- 2,000-3,000 Caco fighters killed
- Aerial bombardment of mountain villages
- Collective punishment
- Targeted assassinations (Péralte, Batrville)
- Unknown civilian casualties

Methods: US Marines introduced modern counterinsurgency:

- Air power (machine gun strafing from planes)
- Intelligence networks
- Assassination of leaders
- Hearts and minds combined with overwhelming force

Scale: Less intense than colonial or revolutionary violence, but still thousands killed.

Duvalier Dictatorship Violence (1957-1986): Systematic State Terror

This was most systematic repression since slavery:

Tontons Macoutes (10,000-15,000 paramilitaries):

- Could arrest, torture, kill without legal constraint
- Operated nationwide
- Created pervasive fear

Fort Dimanche prison: Torture, deaths from abuse, disappearances.

Methods:

- **Arbitrary arrest (no warrants, no charges, no trials)**
- **Torture (electric shock, beatings, sexual violence, psychological torture)**
- **Extrajudicial execution (bodies left in streets as warnings)**
- **Forced disappearances (arrested, never seen again)**
- **Collective punishment (families of opponents targeted)**

Victims (1957-1986):

- **Killed: 30,000-60,000**
- **Tortured: tens of thousands**
- **Imprisoned: thousands at any time**
- **Exiled: 100,000-200,000 fled**

Logic: Create terror so pervasive that people self-censor. When anyone could be arrested/killed anytime for any reason, population avoided anything that might attract attention.

Comparison to slavery-era violence:

- **Slavery: Violence to extract labor**
- **Duvalier: Violence to extract wealth through state capture without resistance**

Both used systematic terror, but purposes differed slightly.

Post-Duvalier Violence (1986-2025): Coups, Massacres, Gang Violence

Post-Baby Doc repression (1986-1991):

- **Tontons Macoutes continued attacking opponents (hundreds killed)**
- **1987 election massacre (voters killed at polls)**
- **Military coups and repression**

1991-1994 military junta:

- **3,000-5,000 killed after coup against Aristide**
- **Systematic repression of Aristide supporters**
- **Torture, executions, disappearances**

Aristide's armed gangs (2001-2004):

- **Used armed gangs ("chimères") to intimidate opposition**
- **Hundreds killed in political violence**

Gang violence (2010-2025):

- Escalating violence as gangs controlled territory
- Massacres: Gangs conducted mass killings in rival areas (dozens to hundreds per incident)
- Kidnapping: 1,000+ per year
- Sexual violence: Systematic rape as weapon
- 5,000+ homicides annually (recent years)

Pattern: Violence shifted from state terror (Duvalier) to fragmented violence (gangs, paramilitaries, state forces all committing violence). State lost monopoly on violence.

Comparative Violence Scale (Rough Estimates)

Period	Deaths from Political/State Violence	Duration
Slavery (1697-1791)	800,000-1,000,000+ (through overwork, punishment, resistance suppression)	94 years
Revolution & Wars (1791-1804)	200,000-350,000	13 years
Early Independence (1804-1915)	10,000-30,000 (coups, repression)	111 years
US Occupation (1915-1934)	2,000-5,000	19 years
1934-1957	2,000-5,000	23 years
Duvalier Era (1957-1986)	30,000-60,000	29 years

1986-2025

30,000-50,000 (coups, gangs, massacres)

39 years

Total (1697-2025): Approximately 1.1-1.5 million deaths from slavery, war, political violence, repression over 328 years.

The Pattern Across All Periods: Violence as Control Mechanism

What changed:

- **Perpetrators:** Colonial authorities → revolutionary forces → post-independence elite → US occupiers → Duvalier regime → military juntas → gangs
- **Methods:** Plantation punishment → revolutionary warfare → coup violence → counterinsurgency → systematic terror → fragmented gang violence
- **Scale:** Varied from mass violence (slavery, revolution) to selective terror (Duvalier) to current chaos (gangs)

What remained constant:

- **Function:** Suppress resistance to extraction
- **Targeting:** Those who threatened elite power or extraction
- **Impunity:** Perpetrators rarely faced consequences
- **Normalization:** Violence became routine, expected part of Haitian life

The analytical insight: Violence was continuous across 328 years because extraction was continuous. Different elites used different methods, but all used violence to suppress resistance and maintain extraction capacity.

Why Violence Persisted: Structural Analysis

No legitimate monopoly on violence: State never established legitimate authority:

- **Colonial period:** Violence by planters/colonial state (but enslaved never accepted it as legitimate)
- **Independence:** Violence by competing elite factions (no faction achieved legitimacy)
- **Duvalier:** Violence by dictatorship (not legitimate, only feared)
- **Contemporary:** State collapsed, gangs have violence capacity

No rule of law: Legal systems never functioned to protect masses:

- **Colonial:** Code Noir legalized brutality
- **Independence:** Law applied selectively to protect elite
- **Duvalier:** Law ignored completely

- **Contemporary: No functioning justice system**

Competition for scarce resources: With no productive economy, elite competed violently for state control:

- **State was only wealth source**
- **Competition was zero-sum**
- **Violence was rational tool for gaining/maintaining power**

International enabling: External powers enabled violence:

- **France: Used violence to maintain slavery**
- **US: Supported dictators, armed regimes**
- **International community: Tolerated violence when convenient**

The vicious cycle: Violence → state weakness → elite competition → more violence → further state weakness → contemporary chaos.

Section IX: Elite Network Coordination (1697-2025)

This section examines how elite maintained power across regime changes. The pattern: Elite composition changed (white planters → Black generals → mulatto/Black elite → Duvalier cronies → contemporary elite/gangs), but elite networks coordinated to maintain extraction regardless of ideology.

Colonial Elite Coordination (1697-1791)

Who was elite:

- **White planters (grands blancs)**
- **Colonial administrators**
- **Merchants**
- **Catholic Church hierarchy**

How they coordinated:

- **Shared economic interest: All profited from slavery and plantation system**
- **Legal framework: Code Noir institutionalized their power**
- **Social networks: Intermarriage, business partnerships**
- **Metropolitan connections: Links to France (investors, markets, political influence)**
- **Racial solidarity: Whites unified against enslaved majority and free coloreds**

What they extracted: Plantation profits, colonial revenues, commercial profits.

Conflicts among elite:

- Grands blancs vs. petits blancs (large vs. small planters, wealth differences)
- Planters vs. colonial administrators (over taxation, regulations)
- Creole whites vs. metropolitan French (born in colony vs. France)

But these conflicts were minor compared to shared interest in maintaining slavery.

Free Colored Elite: Aspiring to Join (1750-1791)

Who they were: Mixed-race property owners (gens de couleur libres).

Their position:

- Owned ~1/3 of plantations by 1789
- Owned ~1/4 of enslaved people
- Excluded from political power despite wealth
- Subjected to racial discrimination despite being slaveholders

Their strategy: Sought inclusion in white elite rather than alliance with enslaved:

- Petitioned for political rights (1789-1791)
- Emphasized their role as slaveholders and "men of property"
- Only reluctantly allied with enslaved rebels when whites refused them

The significance: Free coloreds had wealth but not political power. Their example shows economic power ≠ political power without elite inclusion.

Revolutionary Elite Transformation (1791-1804)

Old elite destroyed: White planters killed, exiled, property seized. Complete elite elimination.

New elite emerged:

- Revolutionary generals (Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe, Pétion, etc.)
- Free coloreds who joined revolution
- Some enslaved elite (literate, skilled, had management experience)

How new elite coordinated:

- Military hierarchy: Army structure provided organization
- Land grants: Toussaint and Dessalines rewarded officers with plantations
- Intermarriage: Elite families intermarried (Dessalines married Toussaint's goddaughter)
- Shared interest: Maintaining order and production

What they extracted: State revenues, plantation production (attempted), land ownership.

Conflicts: Black vs. mulatto (Toussaint vs. Rigaud, later Christophe vs. Pétion).

Elite Fragmentation and Competition (1806-1915)

Two elite networks: After Dessalines assassination, elite split:

Northern/Black elite (Christophe's network):

- **Darker-skinned**
- **Military officers**
- **Northern landowners**
- **Emphasized military strength and order**

Southern/Mulatto elite (Pétion's network):

- **Lighter-skinned**
- **Educated, French-influenced**
- **Southern/western landowners**
- **Emphasized civilization and French culture**

After reunification (1820), elite continued competing but also coordinating:

Competition: Through coups, political factions, Color/shade divisions (mulatto vs. Black became proxy for elite factions).

Coordination: Despite violence, elite maintained:

- **Property rights: No matter who won coup, property remained with elite families**
- **Exclusion of masses: All elite agreed on keeping masses powerless**
- **French language barrier: Maintained to exclude masses from politics**
- **Education monopoly: Elite kept children in elite schools/France, masses illiterate**

The pattern: Elite competed violently for state control but coordinated to maintain class privileges against masses.

Occupation Era: Elite Collaboration (1915-1934)

US selected collaborators: US worked with traditional elite:

- **Mulatto elite families in government**
- **Large landowners in economic positions**
- **Educated elite in administration**

Elite adaptation: Traditional elite collaborated with US:

- Benefited from infrastructure (roads helped commerce)
- Secured property rights (US protected large landholdings)
- Maintained education monopoly
- Gained access to US markets

New elite emerged: Military officers trained by US Marines:

- Gendarmerie officers (later Haitian Army)
- These officers (mostly from elite families) would dominate post-occupation politics

The pattern: Elite collaborated with foreign occupiers to maintain privileges. When US left, elite control remained intact.

Duvalier Era: Network Disruption and New Elite (1957-1986)

Duvalier disrupted traditional elite:

Traditional mulatto elite:

- Lost political power (Duvalier was Noiriste, empowered Black middle class)
- Some fled into exile
- Those remaining accommodated to regime

New elite under Duvalier:

- Tontons Macoutes leaders: Loyalty rewarded with wealth
- Duvalier cronies: Family members, childhood friends
- Commercial elite: Those who collaborated (import licenses, monopolies)
- Black middle class: Government jobs, professional positions

How Duvalier's elite coordinated:

- Personal loyalty to Duvalier: All owed positions to him
- Corruption network: Shared in theft from state
- Tontons Macoutes: Paramilitary provided enforcement
- Terror: Anyone who threatened network was eliminated

What they extracted: State revenues, foreign aid, customs duties, monopoly profits, extortion.

Conflicts: Minimal—Duvalier eliminated rivals ruthlessly. Elite under Duvalier were unified by terror and complicity.

Post-Duvalier Elite Reconfiguration (1986-2025)

After Baby Doc fled (1986), elite networks fragmented:

Traditional elite attempted return:

- **Mulatto families reasserted influence**
- **Former opposition leaders competed for power**
- **Army officers became political actors**

New actors emerged:

- **Drug trafficking elite: Cocaine smuggling to US generated enormous wealth**
- **Gang leaders: Emerged as power brokers (some from Tontons Macoutes)**
- **Diaspora elite: Wealthy Haitians abroad who funded politics**
- **International NGO elite: Those controlling aid money**

Contemporary elite coordination (2000-2025):

Business elite: Small group of wealthy families (perhaps 50-100 families) controlling:

- **Import/export (monopolies on rice, oil, sugar, etc.)**
- **Banking**
- **Telecommunications**
- **Manufacturing (limited)**
- **Large landholdings**

Political elite: Politicians, government officials who:

- **Serve business elite interests**
- **Capture state resources**
- **Collaborate with gangs for muscle**
- **Maintain foreign bank accounts**

Gang leaders: Became elite players by:

- **Controlling territory (extortion, kidnapping revenues)**
- **Providing enforcement for political/business elite**
- **Drug trafficking**
- **Some gang leaders have become millionaires**

Diaspora elite: Wealthy Haitians abroad who:

- **Fund political campaigns**
- **Own property in Haiti**
- **Maintain business interests**
- **Influence politics remotely**

How they coordinate:

- Shared interest in status quo: All benefit from weak state and extraction
- Cross-sector collaboration: Business elite fund politicians, politicians protect gang leaders, gangs provide enforcement
- Capital flight: All keep wealth abroad (US, Dominican, Swiss banks)
- Blocking reforms: Any attempt at land reform, progressive taxation, or anti-corruption opposed by all elite sectors

What they extract: State resources, aid money, extortion, kidnapping ransoms, drug trafficking profits, monopoly profits.

The Pattern Across 328 Years: Elite Adaptation

Elite composition changed completely:

- 1697-1791: White French planters
- 1804-1915: Black/mulatto revolutionary elite and descendants
- 1915-1957: Traditional families + military officers
- 1957-1986: Duvalier cronies + Tontons Macoutes
- 1986-2025: Business families + politicians + gang leaders + diaspora

But elite function remained constant:

- Capture state resources
- Extract from economy
- Exclude masses from power
- Maintain privileges through violence
- Coordinate to block reforms

How elite reproduced across generations:

1. Education: Elite sent children to elite schools (Port-au-Prince) and abroad (France, US)
2. Intermarriage: Elite families intermarried, maintaining networks
3. Property: Land and businesses passed within elite families
4. Networks: Personal connections, business partnerships, political alliances
5. Violence: Used to eliminate challenges from below

The key insight: Elite didn't need ideological consistency or even political stability. They needed only to maintain extraction capacity and exclude masses from challenging their power.

Whether elite were monarchists (Christophe), republicans (Pétion), dictators (Duvalier), or "democratic" politicians (contemporary), they all:

- Captured wealth
- Kept masses poor and powerless

- Used violence to maintain control
- Consumed rather than invested
- Coordinated against reform

Why Elite Coordination Persisted Despite Regime Changes

Structural factors:

1. **Small elite size:** Perhaps 1-5% of population (5,000-100,000 people depending on period). Small enough for personal networks.
2. **No productive economy:** Since plantation economy collapsed and no industrial base developed, state control was only path to wealth. Elite competed for state but agreed masses must be excluded.
3. **International support:** Foreign powers (France, US) consistently supported elite against masses:
 - France extracted through indemnity
 - US supported anti-communist dictators
 - International community tolerated corruption
4. **No revolutionary threat:** After 1804, no mass movement seriously threatened elite until Aristide (1991)—and he was quickly overthrown. Elite learned they could suppress resistance.
5. **Education monopoly:** Keeping masses illiterate (10-15% literacy until 1950s) prevented political mobilization.
6. **Racial/color divisions:** Elite exploited mulatto vs. Black divisions to prevent mass unity. When elite competed, they used color as mobilizing rhetoric, but this divided masses.

The pattern: Elite could fight among themselves (coups, competition) as long as they coordinated to keep masses powerless. And they did.

COMPREHENSIVE CONCLUSION: 328 YEARS OF EXTRACTION (1697-2025)

The Central Question Answered

Did Haiti's revolutionary rupture break extraction patterns, or did extraction adapt and persist?

Answer: Extraction adapted and persisted through every regime change across 328 years.

What broke:

- **Slavery (absolutely abolished, never restored)**
- **White planter class (completely destroyed, never returned)**
- **Direct French political control (ended with independence)**

What persisted:

- **Elite domination (new elites replaced old)**
- **Wealth concentration (property, revenues captured by small elite)**
- **Mass poverty and powerlessness (95% remained poor, illiterate, excluded)**
- **Violence as control mechanism (adapted but continuous)**
- **Extraction mechanisms (adapted from direct coercion to financial to political)**

The Extraction Timeline: Mechanisms Across 328 Years

Phase 1—Colonial Plantation Extraction (1697-1791):

- **Mechanism: Direct coercion through slavery**
- **Beneficiaries: French planters, merchants, Crown**
- **Extracted: Plantation commodities (sugar, coffee, indigo) worth billions**
- **Cost to masses: 800,000-1,000,000+ deaths through slavery**

Phase 2—Revolutionary Destruction (1791-1804):

- **Mechanism: Extraction temporarily broken by revolution**
- **Cost: 200,000-350,000 deaths in wars**
- **Result: White elite destroyed, production collapsed 70-85%**

Phase 3—Elite Attempted Restoration (1804-1825):

- **Mechanism: Forced labor ("cultivator" system) on large estates**
- **Beneficiaries: New Black elite (Toussaint, Dessalines, Christophe)**
- **Extracted: Modest plantation production (20-40% of colonial levels)**
- **Partial breakdown: Cultivator resistance, Pétion's land distribution in south**

Phase 4—French Financial Extraction (1825-1947):

- **Mechanism: Indemnity payments + debt service**
- **Beneficiaries: France (government and banks), dispossessed planters**

- **Extracted:** 150-190 million francs (\$21-115 billion modern equivalent)
- **Impact:** Absorbed 30-90% of government revenues, prevented all development

Phase 5—Elite State Capture (1804-1915):

- **Mechanism:** Elite competition for state control through coups
- **Beneficiaries:** Whoever controlled state (22 different regimes 1843-1915)
- **Extracted:** Customs revenues, commercial profits, land rents
- **Impact:** Chronic instability, no investment, education/infrastructure neglected

Phase 6—US Occupation Reinforcement (1915-1934):

- **Mechanism:** Foreign control, corvée labor, elite collaboration
- **Beneficiaries:** US companies, Haitian elite collaborators
- **Extracted:** Roads built with forced labor, some economic concessions
- **Impact:** Reinforced authoritarian patterns, created new military elite

Phase 7—Duvalier Kleptocracy (1957-1986):

- **Mechanism:** State terror + systematic theft
- **Beneficiaries:** Duvalier family and cronies
- **Extracted:** \$300-900 million stolen (all state resources)
- **Cost:** 30,000-60,000 killed, 100,000-200,000 exiled, complete development failure

Phase 8—Disaster Capitalism (2010-present):

- **Mechanism:** Capturing earthquake aid, foreign assistance
- **Beneficiaries:** Foreign contractors, NGOs, Haitian elite, gang leaders
- **Extracted:** \$13+ billion in earthquake aid, ongoing aid billions
- **Impact:** Masses saw minimal benefit, state collapsed, gangs control territory

The pattern: For 328 years, different elites used different mechanisms, but extraction never stopped. The question was never "will Haiti develop?" but rather "which elite will extract and how?"

Why Extraction Persisted: The Structural Trap

1. French Indemnity—The Crushing Burden (1825-1947):

This was single most important factor preventing development:

- **Drained** 30-90% of revenues for 122 years
- **Prevented** investment in education (literacy 10-15% by 1900, lowest in hemisphere)
- **Prevented** infrastructure (roads, ports, hospitals)
- **Prevented** economic development (no credit, no investment)

- Created debt dependency that weakened state

Without the indemnity, Haiti could have:

- Built 5,000+ schools → universal education
- Built 10,000+ miles of roads → national integration
- Built healthcare system → improved life expectancy
- Developed agricultural capacity → food security
- Created industrial base → economic growth

Instead, Haiti paid France for 122 years while remaining desperately poor.

The moral dimension: Haiti paid its former enslavers for freedom. This was among greatest injustices in modern history—victims compensating victimizers.

2. No Productive Economic Base:

After plantation collapse (70-85% decline), no alternative economy developed:

- Small peasant farming provided subsistence but minimal surplus
- No industrial development (no capital, no credit, no skilled labor)
- Coffee exports were modest and vulnerable to price fluctuations
- State depended on customs revenues (taxes on trade)

Result: State was only source of wealth accumulation. Elite competed ruthlessly for state control because no productive economy existed.

3. Elite Rational Response—Extract and Consume:

Given that:

- Each government lasted 3-4 years average (1843-1915)
- Next coup might kill you or force exile
- No credit available for productive investment
- International isolation prevented trade/investment

Rational elite strategy was:

- Capture state while in power
- Extract maximum wealth quickly
- Consume or store in foreign banks
- Prepare for exile

Long-term productive investment made no sense given structural constraints.

But this perpetuated underdevelopment: No elite ever invested, so no economy ever developed, so state remained only wealth source, so elite continued competing violently.

This was bad equilibrium: Each individual's rational choice (extract and consume) produced collective irrationality (perpetual poverty and instability).

4. International Isolation and Hostility (1804-1920s):

France, US, Britain, and other powers feared Haitian example (successful slave revolution). They:

- **Refused diplomatic recognition (US recognized Haiti only in 1862)**
- **No trade treaties**
- **No investment**
- **No credit access**
- **Active hostility (France extorted indemnity, US occupied)**

Result: Haiti couldn't access international markets, capital, or support when it needed them most (early independence when building institutions).

5. Education Exclusion—Elite Privilege Institutionalized:

Elite kept masses illiterate through:

- **Minimal school investment (2-5% of budget)**
- **French language barrier (95% spoke only Kreyòl)**
- **No rural schools**
- **Elite educated children abroad**

Result:

- **Literacy 10-15% by 1900 (lowest in hemisphere)**
- **Masses politically excluded (couldn't vote, didn't understand government)**
- **Elite monopolized power generation after generation**
- **No human capital development for economic growth**

6. Violence as Routine Control Mechanism:

For 328 years, elite used violence to suppress resistance:

- **Colonial: Torture, mutilation, execution**
- **Independence: Coups, purges, repression**
- **Duvalier: Systematic terror**
- **Contemporary: Gang violence, state repression**

Result:

- **No legitimate state authority ever established**
- **Violence normalized**
- **Masses terrorized into submission**

- No rule of law

Comparative Assessment: Haiti vs. Other Caribbean Colonies

Why did Haiti have worst outcomes despite earliest independence?

Comparison to Jamaica (British):

- Jamaica: Slavery abolished 1838, compensated planters
- Jamaica: British continued investment post-emancipation
- Jamaica: Plantation economy partially maintained
- Jamaica: Education expanded gradually
- Jamaica: Independent 1962, better outcomes than Haiti

Comparison to Martinique (French):

- Martinique: Slavery abolished 1848
- Martinique: Remained French (became department 1946)
- Martinique: French investment continued
- Martinique: Education, infrastructure, healthcare from France
- Martinique: Today has GDP per capita 15-20x Haiti's

Comparison to Cuba (Spanish):

- Cuba: Slavery abolished 1886
- Cuba: Independent 1902 (US influence)
- Cuba: Sugar economy continued under different ownership
- Cuba: More infrastructure investment
- Cuba: Even after revolution (1959) and US embargo, better outcomes than Haiti

Haiti's uniqueness:

1. Only successful slave revolution: Enslaved people destroyed planter class completely (unique)
2. French indemnity: Only nation forced to pay for independence (\$21-115 billion extraction)
3. Complete elite destruction: White planters eliminated, new elite had no administrative/economic experience
4. International isolation: Most severe (1804-1862 no US recognition)
5. Production collapse: Largest (70-85% decline vs. 30-50% typical in post-emancipation colonies)
6. Earliest independence: 1804 (too early—no international support system existed)

The tragic irony: Haiti's revolutionary success (destroying planters, abolishing slavery first, achieving independence earliest) created conditions for greatest failure (international isolation, French extortion, production collapse, elite inexperience).

Had Haiti:

- Achieved independence 50 years later (1850s), international context would have been more favorable
- Maintained some plantation economy (like Jamaica), would have had economic base
- Not faced French indemnity, could have invested in development
- Had international support, could have built institutions

But the revolution happened when and how it did. The tragedy is that international powers punished Haiti for the crime of Black people claiming freedom and sovereignty.

The Contemporary Crisis (2010-2025): Culmination of 328 Years

Current conditions represent culmination of extraction patterns:

State collapse:

- Government controls small portion of capital
- Cannot provide security, services, or order
- Gangs control 80-90% of Port-au-Prince
- No functioning institutions

Elite fragmentation:

- Business elite, political elite, gang leaders all competing
- No coordination except blocking reforms
- Wealth extraction through kidnapping, extortion, aid capture
- All keep wealth abroad, no investment in Haiti

Mass suffering:

- 40% food insecure (4.5+ million people)
- 60-80% unemployment
- Minimal education (many schools closed due to violence)
- Healthcare catastrophic
- 200,000+ internally displaced

Economic dependence:

- Remittances (\$3+ billion/year) largest income source
- Foreign aid massive but captured by elite
- No productive economy
- GDP per capita ~\$1,700 (lowest in hemisphere)

Violence epidemic:

- 5,000+ homicides annually
- 1,000+ kidnappings
- Systematic sexual violence
- Massacres by gangs

The pattern's conclusion: After 328 years of extraction without investment, Haiti's state has collapsed. The endpoint of extraction without development is contemporary chaos—gangs controlling territory, elite looting remaining resources, masses suffering, no path forward visible.

Final Assessment: Why This Matters

Haiti is not a "failed state" due to culture, corruption, or incompetence (common narratives).

Haiti is a state systematically impoverished through:

1. 250 years of slave labor extraction (1697-1791 direct, 1825-1947 financial)
2. 122 years of French indemnity draining development capacity
3. 220 years of elite state capture with no productive investment
4. 328 years of violence suppressing resistance
5. International isolation and hostility punishing Black sovereignty

The responsibility:

- France: Owes Haiti reparations for slavery + indemnity (should repay \$21-115 billion minimum)
- United States: Enabled dictators, occupied Haiti, supported repression
- Haitian elite: Extracted wealth, invested nothing, used violence, maintained exclusion
- International community: Tolerated extraction, provided aid that elite captured

The lesson for the French Creole Societies Project:

Haiti demonstrates that revolutionary rupture can destroy one elite without breaking extraction patterns if:

1. International powers enforce extraction through new mechanisms (indemnity)
2. New elite capture state and reproduce extraction (even at lower intensity)
3. No productive economic base exists (making state only wealth source)
4. Elite competition prevents institution-building (chronic instability)
5. International isolation prevents development (no credit, trade, or support)

Extraction patterns are remarkably adaptive and resilient. They can survive:

- Complete elite replacement (white planters → Black generals)

- Revolutionary transformation (slavery → legal freedom)
- Regime change (monarchies → republics → dictatorships → democracy attempts)
- Foreign occupation
- Natural disasters
- State collapse

As long as:

- New elite capture wealth
- Masses remain powerless
- Violence suppresses resistance
- International powers enable or ignore extraction

For Martinique, Guadeloupe, and French Guiana case studies: Expect to find extraction patterns persisting through different mechanisms. French Antilles remained under French control, so extraction may have adapted through:

- Continued French political dominance
- Economic dependency on France
- Elite coordination with metropolitan power
- Different legal mechanisms (assimilation rather than independence)

The comparative analysis will reveal whether French direct control enabled different extraction patterns or simply more efficient versions of same patterns.

Complete Bibliography

Primary Sources

Colonial Period:

- Code Noir (1685) - available in various editions
- Moreau de Saint-Méry, Médéric Louis Élie. *Description topographique, physique, civile, politique et historique de la partie française de l'isle Saint-Domingue*. 3 vols. Philadelphia, 1797-1798.
- Plantation records and correspondence (Archives Nationales d'Outre-Mer, Aix-en-Provence)

Revolutionary Period:

- Napoleon Bonaparte. *Correspondance générale* (various editions, letters regarding Saint-Domingue 1799-1803)

- Decrès, Denis (Naval Minister). Secret instructions to General Leclerc, June 14, 1802. Archives Nationales, Paris.
- Law of 20 May 1802 (restoring slavery in French colonies)
- Leclerc, Charles. Letters to Napoleon, 1801-1802. Various archival sources.
- Toussaint Louverture. Correspondence and proclamations (various collections)

Independence Era:

- Haitian Constitutions: 1805, 1816, 1843, 1846, 1867, 1879, 1889, 1918, 1987
- French Ordinance of April 17, 1825 (demanding indemnity)
- Treaty of 1838 (reducing indemnity)
- Boyer, Jean-Pierre. Official correspondence
- Christophe, Henri. Royal decrees and correspondence

US Occupation:

- US Senate. *Inquiry into Occupation and Administration of Haiti and Santo Domingo*. 67th Congress, 1922.
- US Marine Corps records (National Archives)
- High Commissioner reports, 1915-1934

Duvalier Era:

- Duvalier, François. *Oeuvres essentielles*. Port-au-Prince, 1968.
- Human Rights reports (Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, 1970s-1980s)
- US State Department cables (declassified)

Secondary Sources - Historical Surveys

- Dubois, Laurent. *Avengers of the New World: The Story of the Haitian Revolution*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2004.
- Dubois, Laurent. *Haiti: The Aftershocks of History*. New York: Metropolitan Books, 2012.
- Fick, Carolyn E. *The Making of Haiti: The Saint Domingue Revolution from Below*. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1990.
- Geggus, David Patrick. *Haitian Revolutionary Studies*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2002.
- Geggus, David Patrick, ed. *The Impact of the Haitian Revolution in the Atlantic World*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 2001.
- Girard, Philippe R. *Haiti: The Tumultuous History*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
- James, C.L.R. *The Black Jacobins: Toussaint L'Ouverture and the San Domingo Revolution*. 1938. Reprint, New York: Vintage Books, 1989.
- Popkin, Jeremy D. *A Concise History of the Haitian Revolution*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.

- Trouillot, Michel-Rolph. *Haiti: State Against Nation: The Origins and Legacy of Duvalierism*. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1990.

Specific Topics - Colonial Economy

- Garrigus, John D. *Before Haiti: Race and Citizenship in French Saint-Domingue*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2006.
- King, Stewart R. *Blue Coat or Powdered Wig: Free People of Color in Pre-Revolutionary Saint-Domingue*. Athens: University of Georgia Press, 2001.
- Peabody, Sue. *"There Are No Slaves in France": The Political Culture of Race and Slavery in the Ancien Régime*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Stein, Robert Louis. *The French Slave Trade in the Eighteenth Century: An Old Regime Business*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1979.
- Thornton, John K. "African Soldiers in the Haitian Revolution." *Journal of Caribbean History* 25 (1991): 58-80.

Revolutionary Period Specifics

- Bell, Madison Smartt. *Toussaint Louverture: A Biography*. New York: Pantheon Books, 2007.
- Girard, Philippe R. *The Slaves Who Defeated Napoleon: Toussaint Louverture and the Haitian War of Independence*. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press, 2011.
- Girard, Philippe R. *Toussaint Louverture: A Revolutionary Life*. New York: Basic Books, 2016.
- Matthewson, Tim. *A Proslavery Foreign Policy: Haitian-American Relations during the Early Republic*. Westport, CT: Praeger, 2003.
- Scott, Julius S. *The Common Wind: Afro-American Currents in the Age of the Haitian Revolution*. London: Verso, 2018.

French Indemnity and Economic History

- *New York Times*. "The Ransom: The Roots of Haiti's Misery: Reparations to Enslavers." Special Investigation, May 20, 2022.
- Blancpain, François. *Un siècle de relations financières entre Haïti et la France (1825-1922)*. Paris: L'Harmattan, 2001.
- Lundahl, Mats. *Peasants and Poverty: A Study of Haiti*. London: Croom Helm, 1979.
- Lundahl, Mats. *The Haitian Economy: Man, Land and Markets*. London: Croom Helm, 1983.
- Moral, Paul. *Le Paysan haïtien: Étude sur la vie rurale en Haïti*. Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose, 1961.

19th Century Political History

- Nicholls, David. *From Dessalines to Duvalier: Race, Colour and National Independence in Haiti*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1996. (Originally published 1979)
- Plummer, Brenda Gayle. *Haiti and the Great Powers, 1902-1915*. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1988.
- Logan, Rayford W. *The Diplomatic Relations of the United States with Haiti, 1776-1891*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1941.

US Occupation

- Renda, Mary A. *Taking Haiti: Military Occupation and the Culture of US Imperialism, 1915-1940*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2001.
- Schmidt, Hans. *The United States Occupation of Haiti, 1915-1934*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1971.
- Gaillard, Roger. *Les Blancs débarquent* (7 volumes on the US Occupation). Port-au-Prince: Le Natal, 1981-1990.

Duvalier Dictatorship

- Diederich, Bernard, and Al Burt. *Papa Doc: Haiti and Its Dictator*. Princeton, NJ: Markus Wiener Publishers, 1991. (Originally published 1969)
- Abbott, Elizabeth. *Haiti: The Duvaliers and Their Legacy*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1988.
- Heintz, Robert Debs, Jr., and Nancy Gordon Heintz. *Written in Blood: The Story of the Haitian People, 1492-1995*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 2005.
- Greene, Graham. *The Comedians*. New York: Viking Press, 1966. (Novel exposing Duvalier regime)

Post-Duvalier and Contemporary

- Farmer, Paul. *The Uses of Haiti*. Monroe, ME: Common Courage Press, 1994.
- Farmer, Paul. *Haiti After the Earthquake*. New York: PublicAffairs, 2011.
- Fatton, Robert Jr. *Haiti's Predatory Republic: The Unending Transition to Democracy*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002.
- Fatton, Robert Jr. *The Roots of Haitian Despotism*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2007.
- Schuller, Mark. *Humanitarian Aftershocks in Haiti*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2016.
- Katz, Jonathan M. *The Big Truck That Went By: How the World Came to Save Haiti and Left Behind a Disaster*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

Economic Development and Underdevelopment

- Dupuy, Alex. *Haiti in the World Economy: Class, Race, and Underdevelopment since 1700*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1989.
- Dupuy, Alex. *The Prophet and Power: Jean-Bertrand Aristide, the International Community, and Haiti*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2007.
- Hallward, Peter. *Damming the Flood: Haiti, Aristide, and the Politics of Containment*. London: Verso, 2007.

Language, Education, Culture

- DeGraff, Michel. "Language Creation and Language Change: Creolization, Diachrony, and Development." In *Creolization, Diachrony, and Development*, edited by Michel DeGraff. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1999.
- Schieffelin, Bambi B., and Rachelle Charlier Doucet. "The 'Real' Haitian Creole: Ideology, Metalinguistics, and Orthographic Choice." *American Ethnologist* 21, no. 1 (1994): 176-200.
- Desmangles, Leslie G. *The Faces of the Gods: Vodou and Roman Catholicism in Haiti*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1992.

Comparative Caribbean

- Beckles, Hilary McD. *A History of Barbados: From Amerindian Settlement to Nation-State*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Knight, Franklin W. *The Caribbean: The Genesis of a Fragmented Nationalism*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1990.
- Mintz, Sidney W. *Caribbean Transformations*. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company, 1974.
- Price, Richard. *The Convict and the Colonel: A Story of Colonialism and Resistance in the Caribbean*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2006. (On Martinique)

Theoretical and Analytical

- Davis, David Brion. *The Problem of Slavery in the Age of Revolution, 1770-1823*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1975.
- Mintz, Sidney W. *Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History*. New York: Viking, 1985.
- Scott, David. *Conscripts of Modernity: The Tragedy of Colonial Enlightenment*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2004.
- Williams, Eric. *Capitalism and Slavery*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1944.

End Notes

Total case study length (all four parts):

- Part 1: ~30,000 words
- Part 2: ~28,000 words
- Part 3: ~28,000 words
- Part 4: ~32,000 words
- Total: ~118,000 words (~475,000 characters)

Key analytical findings:

1. Extraction persisted across 328 years through adapted mechanisms
2. French indemnity was continuation of colonial extraction through financial means (\$21-115 billion drained)
3. Elite composition changed completely but elite function remained constant (capture wealth, exclude masses)
4. Violence was continuous tool for suppressing resistance to extraction
5. Revolutionary rupture destroyed one elite but new elite reproduced patterns
6. Contemporary crisis is culmination of centuries of extraction without investment

Implications for remaining case studies (Martinique, Guadeloupe, French Guiana):

Expect to find:

- Different mechanisms but similar patterns (French control vs. independence, but extraction continues)
- Elite adaptation to French rule (collaboration vs. resistance)
- Education/language exclusion (French vs. Creole barriers)
- Economic dependency (on metropolitan France rather than self-sufficiency)
- Comparison will reveal whether French direct control produced better outcomes (likely yes, materially) but at cost of sovereignty and continued extraction through different means

The Haiti case study provides the analytical framework for understanding how extraction patterns survive revolutionary rupture and adapt across centuries of regime change.

[END OF HAITI CASE STUDY - ALL FOUR PARTS COMPLETE]

Files created:

1. Part 1, Artifact 1: Colonial Engineering (1697-1791)
2. Part 1, Artifact 2: Framework Analysis (Sections I-II)
3. Part 2, Artifact 1: Revolutionary Narrative (1791-1804)
4. Part 2, Artifact 2: Framework Analysis (Sections III-V)
5. Part 3, Artifact 1: Indemnity Era (1804-1915)

6. **Part 3, Artifact 2: Framework Analysis (Sections VI-VII)**
7. **Part 4, Artifact 1: Contemporary Period (1915-2025)**
8. **Part 4, Artifact 2: Final Framework Analysis & Conclusion (Sections VIII-IX + Bibliography)**

****Copyright and Licensing****

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

To view a copy of this license, visit: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

****You are free to:****

- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

****Under the following terms:****

- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection **Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations**
